



**Report on the Administration of  
Minors Estates in the Northern  
Central and Southern Divisions and  
in Sind for the Year Ending  
31st July 1921  
(1922)**



**Government Document**

Report on the Administration of Minors  
Estates in the Northern, Central and  
Southern Divisions and in Sind for the  
Year ending 31st July 1921

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

PARA.		PAGE.
	<b>PRESIDENCY PROPER.</b>	
1.	Number of estates under management .. ..	1
2.	Income .. ..	1
3.	Expenditure .. ..	2
4.	Collection of estates' dues .. ..	2
5.	Government revenue, cesses and rates .. ..	2
6.	Cost of management .. ..	2-3
7.	Advances granted to cultivators .. ..	3
8.	Special schemes for management .. ..	3
9.	Maintenance and education of minors .. ..	3
10.	Repayment of debts and existing liabilities .. ..	3-4
11.	Litigation .. ..	4
12.	General Remarks .. ..	4
	Statements I, II and III .. ..	5-7
	<b>SIND.</b>	
	Report .. ..	8
	Statements I, II and III .. ..	9

**Northern Division.**

**J. GHOSAL, Esqr., C.I.E., I.C.S., COMMISSIONER, N. D.**

**Central Division.**

**H. L. PAINTER, Esqr., I.C.S., COMMISSIONER, C. D.**

**Southern Division.**

**L. J. MOUNTFORD, Esqr., C.B.E., I.C.S., COMMISSIONER, S. D.**

---

**(1) Number of estates under management.**

*Northern Division.*—At the beginning of the year under report there were one large and 24 small estates under management. Two estates (in Kaira) were released, while none was taken newly under management. The year thus closed with 23 estates under management (one large and 22 small).

*Central Division.*—At the beginning of the year there were 13 small estates under management—two in each of the districts of Ahmednagar and Sholapur, three in Nasik and six in West Khandesh. No new estate was added during the year, but one estate in West Khandesh District was released from management on account of the minor having attained majority. Thus the total number of estates under management at the close of the year was 12, the statistical information regarding which is given in the accompanying three statements.

2. The estate of the minor Giram in Sholapur District was released from management in 1920, but the moveable property of the estate is still in charge of the Collector. Giram died in February 1921; his widow was not likely to manage the estate properly. After she was declared to be a landholder under section 2 (b) (ii) of the Court of Wards Act by Government Resolution No. 3972 dated 7th February 1922, the Collector requested the District Judge to declare her under section 5 (b) of the Act to be incapable of managing the estate. The proceedings are still going on in the District Court as there are other claimants, besides the widow, to the estate.

3. The estate of the minor Katdhare which was under the management of the Collector of Ahmednagar was transferred to the Collector of Ratnagiri whom the District Judge appointed as guardian of the minor's property (*vide* Government Order No. 1154, Revenue Department, dated 22nd April 1921).

*Southern Division.*—One estate in Belgaum was released and one was added in Ratnagiri.

**(2) Income.**

*Northern Division.*—The total gross income of the estates during the year amounted to Rs. 48,152 as against Rs. 32,011 during the preceding year. The increase is mainly due to the favourable nature of the season which enabled the officers concerned to collect most of the current and a portion of the authorized and unauthorized arrears of the previous year.

The decrease of Rs. 5,726 in the income of the estates in the Kaira District is explained as under :—

(a) In the previous year debts to the extent of Rs. 6,448 were recovered, whilst during the year under report such recovery amounted to Rs. 2,840.

(b) Two estates whose income was included in the previous year's report were released from management.

*Central Division.*—The gross income of the estates amounted to Rs. 10,612 as against Rs. 4,837 in the preceding year. The increase is mainly due to the increase in receipts from rent owing to favourable season and to the realization of a sum of Rs. 1,000 in composition of an old decree in respect of the Mohanlal estate in the Nasik District.

*Southern Division.*—The gross income rose from Rs. 75,577 to Rs. 93,579 due to collection of past arrears and recovery of debts.

### (3) Expenditure.

*Northern Division.*—The total gross expenditure of the estates under management rose from Rs. 33,491 to Rs. 47,653. The increase is mainly due to the payment of Jāma and suspended land revenue of previous years and to the payment of private debts.

In the Broach District about Rs. 4,560 were spent on the marriage ceremony of four of the minors and sacred thread ceremony of one of the minors and Rs. 718 were spent on account of after-death ceremonies of the grandmother of the Amod minors.

*Central Division.*—The gross expenditure rose from Rs. 7,276 to Rs. 7,800. The increase is partly attributed to the marriage expenses of the minor of Khudusgaon in West Khandesh District and of the sister of the minor of Pimprale in Nasik District and partly to the payment of suspended demands of Government revenue of the past year in the case of three estates and of Sowkari dues in the case of two estates in Nasik District.

*Southern Division.*—The total gross expenditure increased from Rs. 60,184 to Rs. 70,364. The rise is mainly due to the following causes :—

- (i) Increase in maintenance allowances to wards.
- (ii) Marriage expenses.
- (iii) Investment in the new Indian Loan of 1927.

### (4) Collection of estates' dues.

*Northern Division.*—Out of the total rental demand of Rs. 54,065, Rs. 35,366 were collected, Rs. 48 were converted into bonds or decrees and Rs. 3,430 were written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 15,221 (3,020 current and 12,201 for previous years) of which Rs. 12,859 were on account of authorized arrears and Rs. 2,362 represent unauthorized arrears. The increase of Rs. 7,536 in the total demand of the estates in the Broach District is accounted for as under :—

(1) The accounts of the two estates which were taken under management before the close of the year 1920-21 were excluded from that year's report. They have been included in the present report.

(2) There were larger suspended arrears to be collected during the year under report than in the previous year.

*Central Division.*—The collection of rent was satisfactory except in Ahmednagar and Sholapur districts where it suffered owing to unfavourable season.

The total demand was Rs. 12,634 of which Rs. 7,230 were recovered and arrears to the extent of Rs. 363 were written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 5,041 of which Rs. 4,311 pertain to the estate of Deshmukh in Sholapur District; most of the outstanding balance of this estate has been suspended on account of failure of crops.

*Southern Division.*—Out of a total rental demand of Rs. 77,184, Rs. 65,306 or 84·61 per cent. (including over collections of Rs. 190) was collected as against 70·2 per cent. and Rs. 2,236 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 9,832; the Hebli estate is responsible for Rs. 5,734 and steps are in progress for its recovery. In Ratnagiri the rental demand was collected in full and in the other districts arrears are not large. Recourse to Revenue Courts was as usual necessary for the recovery of rent in Kanara and Kolaba.

### (5) Government revenue, cesses and rates.

*Northern Division.*—All Government dues ordered to be paid according to the anna valuation of crops were paid up in full during the year under report.

*Central Division.*—Amounts due to Government have been paid except where they have been suspended owing to failure of crops.

*Southern Division.*—Government dues were paid up in full including the suspended revenue in Belgaum.

### (6) Cost of management.

*Northern Division.*—The total cost of management of all the estates amounted to Rs. 2,210 or 4·6 per cent. of the total gross income as against Rs. 2,703 or 8·4 per cent.

during the previous year. The apparent fall in the cost of management is due to the fact that in the Ahmedabad District management charges remained to be recovered from one large and one small estate. These charges will be recovered during the current year.

*Central Division.*—Only two estates—Deshmukh of Akluj and Mohanlal of Malegaon in Sholapur and Nasik districts respectively—paid towards the cost of their management. The management of the rest was conducted departmentally through the mamlatdars of the respective talukas.

*Southern Division.*—The aggregate cost of management was Rs. 7,567 or 8·1 per cent. of the total gross income as against Rs. 6,704 or 8·8 per cent. in the preceding year. Only in three estates in Belgaum and one in Dharwar the incidence cost was a little higher than the prescribed limit of 10 per cent. and calls for no remarks. There is a decided improvement in this respect.

#### (7) Advances to cultivators.

No advances were made during the year to the tenants by any of the estates.

#### (8) Special scheme for management.

*Northern Division.*—One estate in Ahmedabad was given on lease whilst the rest were managed departmentally.

*Central and Southern Divisions.*—No special scheme was framed or undertaken.

#### (9) Maintenance and education of minors.

*Northern Division.*—The total gross expenditure under this head amounted to Rs. 8,637 as against Rs. 8,705 during the previous year. The decrease is nominal and requires no explanation.

The number of minors of school-going age was 27 (three in Ahmedabad, fifteen in Broach and nine in Kaira) as against 25 in the previous year. Of these only 16 (one in Ahmedabad, six in Kaira and nine in Broach) attend schools or college—15 attend local English or Vernacular schools and one studies in the Previous Class. A special tutor continues to be engaged for the two Amod minors.

The progress in this direction is reported to be satisfactory.

*Central Division.*—All reasonable demands for the maintenance of the minors and their relatives dependent on them continued to be satisfied.

2: Of the 12 minors one is attending English school at Jalgaon, five are receiving education in local vernacular schools, one is learning commercial business, two do not attend any school, as they live with their husbands, and the remaining three cannot attend any school because their estates are very small and they have to work for their maintenance.

*Southern Division.—Belgaum.*—The Neginhal ward was sent to Devihosur to learn agriculture but he returned owing to illness. The remaining wards are reported to have obtained satisfactory reports on their studies and character.

*Bijapur.*—The minor is receiving primary education in Jamkhandi.

*Dharwar.*—Out of ten wards of school-going age one is attending the Karnatak College, three are in English schools and the rest are studying in vernacular schools. They all live with their personal guardians and are making good progress. The Hebli ward is a major; but the estate continues under management pending disposal of the appeal of the Privy Council against the decision of High Court.

*Kanara.*—The ward is studying in the Kumta primary school. His progress is reported to be moderate.

*Kolaba.*—The two are receiving primary education, one at Nizampur and the other at Panvel.

*Ratnagiri.*—The three minors (one boy and two unmarried girls) live with their personal guardian. The male ward is attending the primary school at Nivli in Chiplun Taluka.

#### (10) Repayment of debt and existing liabilities.

*Northern Division.*—The debts due by the estates on the assumption of management amounted to Rs. 1,02,109 which by the commencement of the year under

report were reduced to Rs. 46,173. The amount of additional debt incurred during the year is shown at Rs. 8,633. The total liabilities thus rose to Rs. 54,806. Claims amounting to Rs. 1,936 were remitted by creditors and Rs. 16,175 were paid from current income. The total liabilities at the end of the year thus stood at Rs. 36,695. The liquidation of debts was satisfactory.

*Central Division.*—Only two estates—Marwadi and Renukabai—in Nasik District are in debt. The aggregate debt due at the beginning of the year was Rs. 3,917 of which Rs. 467 was paid by two estates in repayment leaving a balance Rs. 3,450 at the end of the year.

*Southern Division.*—The total repayment of debt amounted to Rs. 4,290 as against Rs. 3,643.

*Belgaum.*—Only four estates are in debt which is repayable in annual instalments.

*Bijapur.*—The estate has only a debt of Rs. 200 for the liquidation of which some land of the ward has been mortgaged for ten years from 1913-14.

*Dharwar.*—Of the two estates which were in debt last year, one (Shirkol Patilki) is now free from debt and the other (Shirkol Kulkarniki estate) has repaid Rs. 1,200, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,617.

*Kolaba.*—The civil suit brought by a marwadi against the ward of the Patwardhan estate was decided unfavourably and the whole amount together with the costs of the suit was paid off. The other estate (Gujar) owes a debt of Rs. 291 out of which Rs. 225 is being paid now.

*Kanara and Ratnagiri.*—The estates are free from debt.

#### (11) Litigation.

*Northern Division.*—The suit in the Ahmedabad District that was pending at the beginning of the year has remained undisposed of.

*Southern Division.*—The Collector of Belgaum was concerned as plaintiff or defendant in seven suits, of which one was decided against him, leaving six pending at the close of the year.

The Privy Council appeal in connection with Hebli Saranjam estate is not yet disposed of.

A suit brought on behalf of the Kolaba ward was decided in his favour; but the decretal amount had to be written off owing to the death of the judgment-debtor leaving behind him neither an heir nor any property.

#### (12) General Remarks.

*Northern Division.*—The estates in Broach have an uninvested balance of Rs. 12,224. The Collector is being asked to take necessary steps to invest in Government securities the surplus balances of the estates which have more than sufficient balance to meet their current expenditure.

The administration by Government agency of the Minors' estates continued to be beneficial to the minors concerned.

*Central Division.*—The administration of the estates through local officers has been satisfactory.

*Southern Division.*—The management of the estates by the Collectors has been satisfactory and continues to be popular.

## STATEMENT No. I.

Statement showing the general position of Minors' estates under Government management in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions during the year 1921-1922.

(Details are given only for estates with a gross revenue of Rs. 10,000 or over. Figures for other estates are lumped together)

Serial No.	Name of district.	Name of estate.	Total area of estate.	Cultivated area.	Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total land revenue demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total liabilities.	Cost of management.	Percentage of cost on gross income.	Remarks.
										Invested.	Uninvested.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
<b>Northern Division.</b>															
1	Ahmedabad ..	Sher Juma Khanji Sher Mahomed Khanji (Dholka).	8,084	7,417	667	13,369	8,850	11,018	11,408	..	2,440	10,404	..	..	
2-3	Do. ..	Total for small estates.	2,875	2,464	411	4,807	7,170	5,060	6,707	..	1,203	9,122	453	7.6	
4-10	Kaira ..	Do. ..	1,865	1,040	825	1,220	5,126	8,037	11,872	2,542	5,914	14,072	872	10.8	
11-20	Broach ..	Do. ..	908	958	5	2,565	15,001	20,316	16,562	18,314	12,224	2,208	878	4.3	
21-23	Surat ..	Do. ..	85	78	7	344	1,371	1,921	1,044	2,261	8,672	799	7	..	
		Total, N. D. ..	13,872	11,957	1,915	22,395	37,524	48,152	47,653	23,117	25,552	30,005	2,210	4.6	
<b>Central Division.</b>															
1-2	Ahmednagar	Total for small estates.													
3-7	West Khandesh.														
8-9	Sholapur ..														
10-12	Nasik ..														
		Total, C. D. ..	6,260	6,166	94	1,483	6,185	10,612	7,800	18,585	8,251	3,460	156	1.5	
<b>Southern Division.</b>															
1	Belgaum ..	Sankeshwar Math	7,004	5,364	640	11,444	11,549	17,315	4,811	92,800	3,050	..	1,720	9.03	
2	Dharwar ..	Hebil	Mars. 146-1-4	Mars. 146-1-4	..	3,651	12,690	14,806	10,065	37,000	8,700	..	1,533	10.25	
3-19	Belgaum ..	Total for small estates.													
20	Bijapur														
21-27	Dharwar ..														
28	Kanara ..														
29-30	Kolaba ..														
31	Ratnagiri ..														
		Total, S. D. ..	Acres. 12,178	Acres. 10,724	1,454	22,784	61,295	93,579	70,364	3,03,687	48,939	15,358	7,507	8.1	
			Mars. 146-1-4	Mars. 146-1-4											
		Grand Total ..	32,310	28,847	3,463	46,662	1,05,004	1,52,343	1,25,817	3,45,589	82,742	55,503	9,943	6.5	

\*Management charges have remained to be recovered from the estate of minor Sher Juma Khanji as well as from one of the two small estates. They will be recovered next year.

†Difference between the figures shown in the last year's statement and those shown in this statement is due to subsequent corrections.

## STATEMENT No. II.

*Statement showing the efficiency of the rent collections in minors' estates under Government management in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions during the year 1921-22.*

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of estate.	Demand.			Collections.			Amount written off.	Balance.			Details of balance.	
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.	Total.		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
<b>Northern Division.</b>			Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Ahmedabad	Sher Junga Khanji Mahomed Khanji (Dholka)	8,856	11,231	20,087	8,112	983	9,095	2,234	..	8,758	8,758	744	8,014
2-3	Do.	Total for small estates.	7,170	2,541	9,711	5,677	283	5,960	760	..	2,991	2,991	1,493	1,498
4-10	Kaira	Do.	5,126	2,372	7,498	4,389	384	4,773	228	..	2,497	2,497	637	1,860
11-20	Broach	Do.	9,898	5,103	15,001	9,755	4,018	13,773	208	48	972	1,020	143	829
21-23	Surat	Do.	1,435	833	1,768	1,432	333	1,765	..	..	8	8	3	..
		Total, N. D.	32,485	21,580	54,065	29,865	6,001	35,866	3,430	48	15,221	15,269	3,020	12,201
<b>Central Division.</b>														
1-2	Ahmednagar	Total for small estates.												
3-7	West Khandesh		6,185	6,449	12,634	4,247	2,983	7,230	303	329	4,712	5,041	3,103	1,938
8-9	Sholapur													
10-12	Nasik													
		Total, C. D.	6,185	6,449	12,634	4,247	2,983	7,230	303	329	4,712	5,041	3,103	1,938
<b>Southern Division.</b>														
1	Belgaum	Sankeshwar Math	11,549	2,082	13,631	10,994	2,081	13,025	626	..	108	108	52	56
2	Dharwar	Hebli	12,690	8,850	21,540	11,262	8,634	14,896	910	871	5,363	5,734	4,308	1,428
3-19	Belgaum	Total for small estates.												
20	Bijapur													
21-27	Dharwar		37,056	4,957	42,013	34,557	28,28	37,385	700	626	3,304	3,990	2,158	1,832
28	Kanara													
29-30	Kolaba													
31	Ratnagiri													
		Total, S. D.	61,295	15,889	77,184	56,813	8,493	65,306	2,236	997	8,835	9,832	6,516	3,316
		Grand Total	99,965	43,918	1,43,883	90,425	17,477	1,07,902	6,029	1,374	28,768	30,142	12,639	17,456

## STATEMENT No. III.

Statement showing the progress made in liquidation of debts in the minors' estates in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions during the year 1921-1922.

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of estate.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Balance of debts at the beginning of the year.	Additional debts incurred during the year.	Debts settled during the year.					Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that the estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks.
						By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by the Manager or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<b>Northern Division.</b>													
1	Ahmedabad ..	Sher Juma Khanji Mahomed (Dholka).	Rs. 7,603	Rs. 13,823*	Rs. 4,064	..	..	..	1,936†	6,447	10,404		
2-3	Do. ..	Total for small estates.	55,991	9,732	8,063	..	..	..	..	3,673	9,122		
4-10	Kaira ..	Do. ..	31,208	19,479	606	..	..	..	..	6,013	14,072		
11-20	Broach ..	Do. ..	6,418	2,340	..	..	..	..	..	42	2,298		
21-23	Surat ..	Do. ..	799	799	..	..	..	..	..	..	799	25th May 1923.	
		Total, N. D. ..	1,02,109	46,173	8,633	..	..	..	1,936	16,175	36,695		
<b>Central Division.</b>													
1-2	Nasik ..	Total for small estates.	7,893	3,917	..	..	..	..	..	467	3,450	....	The estates in other districts and the other estate in Nasik district are free from debt.
<b>Southern Division.</b>													
3-19	Belgaum ..	Total for small estates.											
20	BJapur ..												
21-27	Dharwar ..												
28-30	Kolaba ..		29,205	19,548	100	..	..	..	..	4,200	15,358	....	
		Grand Total ..	1,39,207	69,638	8,733	..	..	..	1,936	20,932	55,503		

\* The difference between the figures shown in the last year's statement and those shown in this statement is due to subsequent corrections.

† Remitted by Government on account of Jama.

## SIND.

J. L. RIEU, Esq., C.S.I., I.C.S., COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

*Assumption and relinquishment of estates.*—The year opened with 14 estates under management, of which two (one in the Sukkur district, and the other in the Upper Sind Frontier district) were released. As no new estate was added, the number of estates under management at the close of the year was 12.

In no case was the guardianship of the person of a minor with the Collector.

*Income.*—The total gross income of the estates was Rs. 1,928 as against Rs. 1,578 in the last year. The increase is chiefly due to an increase of the cultivated area.

*Expenditure.*—The expenditure amounted as under to Rs. 1,493 as against Rs. 2,434 in the preceding year :—

				Rs.
Government assessment	..	..	..	827
Maintenance	..	..	..	323
Suit expenses	..	..	..	100
Miscellaneous	..	..	..	243
				<hr/> 1,493

*Management of immoveable property.*—Of the 12 estates under management, eight were leased, one was managed departmentally, one remained as before in charge of the minor's uncle who pays the Government assessment and maintains the minors from the income, and one consists of residential houses which have been leased on rent. As regards the remaining estate, the agricultural land belonging to it was not cultivated, while the residential houses were leased on rent by the minor, the proceeds being kept by him for his maintenance.

*Management of moveable property.*—The moveable property of all the wards excepting one in the Thar Parkar district continued in charge of their relations. That of the ward in the Thar Parkar district was, as reported last year, in charge of the Sub-Divisional Officer.

*Liabilities.*—The liabilities of the estates under management at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 7,805, which are due by three estates in the Larkana district and one in the Sukkur district. Out of this amount, a sum of Rs. 132 was paid during the year.

*Education.*—There were in all 15 wards, being 9 boys and 6 girls. Of the former, two are reported to be receiving education.

*Litigation.*—No new suit was filed during the year. The suit and the appeal referred to in the previous year's report are still pending.

## STATEMENT No. I.

*Statement showing the general position of estates under Government management in the Province of Sind during the year 1921-1922.*

Serial No.	Name of Division.	Name of estate.	Total area of estate.	Cultivated area.	Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Land revenue demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total expenditure.	Balance (including last year's balance).		Total liabilities.	Cost of management.	Percentage of cost on gross income.	Remarks.
										Invested.	Uninvested.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1-12	Sind	Total of small estates.	Acres. 509	Acres. 179	Acres. 420	Rs. 524	Rs. 1,771	Rs. 1,028	Rs. 1,403	Rs. 2,500	Rs. 4,184	Rs. 7,673			

## STATEMENT No. II.

*Statement showing the efficiency of rent collections in estates under Government management in the Province of Sind during the year 1921-1922.*

Serial No.	Name of Division.	Name of estate.	Demand.			Collections.			Amount written off.	Balance.			Details of balance.	Remarks.
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.	Total.		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1-11	Sind	Total of small estates.	Rs. 1,771	Rs. 210	Rs. 1,981	Rs. 1,445	Rs. 210	Rs. 1,655	Rs. ....	Rs. ....	Rs. 326	Rs. 326	Rs. ....	

## STATEMENT No. III.

*Statement showing progress made in liquidation of debts in estates under Government management in the Province of Sind during the year 1921-1922.*

Serial No.	Name of Division.	Name of estate.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Balance of debts at the beginning of the year.	Additional debts incurred during the year.	Debts paid off during the year.						Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that the estate could be cleared off.	Remarks.
						By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by the Manager or Civil Court.	Remitted by the creditor.	From current income.	Balance		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		10	11	12	13	14
1-11	Sind	Total of small estates.	Rs. 8,539	Rs. 7,805	....	....	....	....	....	Rs. 132	Rs. 7,673	....	....

Annual Reports.

Annual report on the administration of Minors' Estates in the Bombay Presidency for the year ending 31st July 1922.

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

Revenue Department.

Resolution No. P.-65.

Secretariat, Fort, Bombay.

Dated the 20th December 1922.

\* Memorandum No. W.R.D.P.R.-2 dated 20th September 1922 from the Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division.

\* Memorandum No. P.-90-R. dated 30th September 1922 from the Commissioner in Sind.

\* Letter No. W.R.D.-29 dated 12th October 1922 from the Commissioner, N. D.

\* Letter No. W.R.D.P.R.B.-2 dated 12th October 1922 from the Commissioner, S. D.

\* Letter No. W.R.D.P.R.B.II-2 dated 24th October 1922 from the Commissioner, C. D.

\* Submitting the reports on the administration of Minors' Estates in their respective charges for the year ending 31st July 1922.

RESOLUTION.—Recorded.

By order of the Governor in Council,

A. R. DALAL,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

To

- \* The Commissioner in Sind,
- \* The Commissioner, N. D.,
- \* The Commissioner, C. D.,
- \* The Commissioner, S. D.,
- \* The Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division,
- \* All Collectors including the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,
- \* The Manager, Encumbered Estates in Sind,
- \* The Director of Agriculture,
- \* The Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records,
- \* The Director of Public Instruction,
- \* The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor,

\* With copies of the report.

- \* The Accountant General,
- \* The Oriental Translator to Government,
- \* The Editors' Tables,
- The Government of India,
- The High Commissioner for India (by letter).

\* With copies of the report.

M1. Rev. 1060

---

No.                      of 1922.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to