

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF THE ESTATES UNDER THE MANAGEMENT OF THE COURTS OF WARDS

IN THE

NORTHERN, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS AND SIND

For the year ending 31st July 1911.

Northern Division.

J. SLADEN, Esq., Commissioner, N. D.

Central Division,

THE HONOURABLE ME. G. S. CURTIS, COMMISSIONER, C. D.

Southern Division.

THE HONOURABLE MR. W. D. SHEPPARD, COMMISSIONER, S. D.

(1).—NUMBER OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

At the beginning of the year there were nineteen estates under management. Nos. 5 and 6 in Ahmedabad (Parabadi and Dhandhuka), Nos. 14 to 17 in Kaira (Mahij, Napad, Bhetasi and Jhanod) and No. 26 in Surat (Kazi) were added during the year. Of these No. 16 was already under Government management under section 28 of the Gujarát Tálukdárs' Act. Thus there were 20 estates under control at the close of the year, but no statistics are given for estates Nos. 5, 6, 14, 15 and 17 which were under management for a very brief portion of the year and correct information for estate No. 26 is not available.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

At the beginning of the year, there were eleven estates under management. Three (Nos. 5, 7 and 13) were added during the year and one (No. 14) was released.

Southern Division.

The total number of estates under management at the beginning of the year was fourteen, viz., 12 in the Belgaum District, 1 in Bijápur and 1 in Ratnágiri. In Belgaum four new estates were brought under the Act. Estate No. 13 (Yargatti Deshgat) was under temporary management during the year and since the close of the year has been brought under regular management, the Desaini having been declared unfitted to manage the property. The management of estate No. 14 (Hampannavar) was assumed with the consent of the District Court under section 7 of the Court of Wards Act on the application of the executor under a will, while the superintendence of estates Nos. 15 (Hudli) and 16 (Patki) was assumed on the application of the owners under section 9.

(2).—INCOME.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The total gross income of these estates exclusive of debts recovered and loans received was Rs. 1,64,767, of which 55.8 per cent. was on account of rents of lands. The income of the 19 old estates amounted to Rs. 1,60,909 as against Rs. 1,48,841. In the 5 cases (Nos. 2, 3, 18, 21 and 23) in which the increase is appreciable, it is of a non-recurring character, such as payment for land acquired by Government, for trees and land sold, a lump payment for the lease of the estate (No. 3) and collection of arrears. In one case the crops failed on account of "frost" but the decrease in receipts in other cases is due to minor causes which it is not necessary to mention in detail.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

Of the fourteen estates, information as regards No. 8 (estate of Shri Baba Maharaj) is withheld as before, pending orders of Government which have not yet been received. Excepting this estate, the total receipts of the remaining thirteen estates, excluding debts recovered and loans received, were Rs. 1,75,611. The gross opening balance was Rs. 72,290, while two estates (Raisingpur and Raste) had Rs. 1,05,000 invested in promissory notes. Information about estates Nos. 5, 10 and 13 (Kathi, Raste, Gujar) appears in the report for the first time. Owing, mainly to the excellence of the season the revenues of all the estates showed a rise; the exception being No. 3 (Gorwadkar), where fewer debts were recovered during the year, and No. 4 (Raisingpur), where the Forest Revenue declined owing to the forest being placed under a proper working plan.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

The gross income of the estates in the Belgaum District during the year was Rs. 2,08,125 as against Rs. 1,71,885 and one khandi of rice in the preceding year. The greater portion of the increase is derived from the new estates taken under management during the year, the remainder being made up by increased receipts from estates 4, 5, 8 and 12. The gross income from the Tignibidri estate in the Bijápur District showed a decrease of Rs. 216, while that of the Nevalkar brothers in Ratnágiri showed an increase of Rs. 104. The variations in the case of these two estates are too small to call for any remarks.

(3).—EXPENDITURE.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The total gross expenditure exclusive of loans advanced and investments made amounted to Rs. 1,20,395. The expenditure of the 19 estates previously under management fell from Rs. 1,21,959 to Rs. 1,17,330. There was abnormal expenditure in estate No. 23 on account of a marriage, which was more than counterbalanced by the absence of such items in estates Nos. 2, 7 and 18 this year.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The total expenditure of the fourteen estates (excluding No. 8) was Rs. 1,86,093 and includes besides the ordinary expenses the following items:—

Rs. 12,000 ... Invested in promissory notes by estate No. 10 (Raste).

Rs. 75,977 ... Repayment of debts due by estates Nos. 6, 9, 11 and 12 (Rajebahadur).

The closing balance at the credit of the estates was Rs. 1,43,122 besides Rs. 117,000 invested on behalf of the two estates abovementioned.

Southern Division.

The gross expenditure in the Belgaum District was Rs. 1,15,916 as against Rs. 1,22,928 in 1909-1910. Exclusive of figures for the new estates, the actual decrease amounts to Rs. 14,052 and is mainly due to the following items of non-recurring expenditure incurred in the previous year:—

- (1) Expenses in connection with obtaining probate of the will of the late Sar Desai of Sirsangi and the expenses incurred in defending a suit filed by the Sar Desai's widow against the Court of Wards...Rs. 6,000.
- (2) Expenses of the marriage of the Kokatnur Desai's daughter and compensation for annulment of a permanent lease...Rs. 5,000.
- (3) Purchase of a house for the Desai of Kowad...Rs. 2,378.

In the case of estates 17 and 18, the gross expenditure was respectively Rs. 4,250 and Rs. 992 as against Rs. 3,644 and Rs. 1,803 of the provious year. The increase in the case of the former is due to the extra romuncration paid to Village Officers for doing the collection work, etc., of the estate and to the remarriage expenses amounting to Rs. 600 incurred during the year; while the fall in the case of the latter is due to the absence of marriage expenses this year which were incurred last year to the extent of Rs. 800.

(4).—COLLECTION OF ESTATES' DUES.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The season was on the whole satisfactory and its effect was reflected in the collection of the current year's demand to the extent of 90 per cent.; arrears amounting to Rs. 5,821 were also recovered during the year. The dispute between the Inamdar of the Shah Alam estate and his tenants was settled during the year and Rs. 2,058 were recovered. Owing to the failure of crops in the villages of the Hirapur estate Rs. 2,536 only were collected out of the total demand of Rs. 5,700. Arrears amounting to Rs. 13,975 and Rs. 39,760, which were on inquiry found to be irrecoverable were written off in the Shah Alam and Desai estates, respectively.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The total rental demand of the estates excluding Nos. 7 and 8 (for which details are not available) on account of the year under report amounted to Rs. 1,06,313, of which Rs. 93,405 were collected. As regards provious years the demand amounted to Rs. 89,014, of which only Rs. 16,296 were collected. In both cases the uncollected balance appertains principally to estates Nos. 10, 11 and 12 (Raste, Thorat and Ghadge). The Collector of Poons does not state the reasons to which the large arrears due to the Raste estate are due. He will be asked to explain. In the case of the Thorat estate there is a nominal balance of Rs. 18,017, but the actual balance is stated not to exceed Rs. 5,000. The difference is stated to be due to confusion in the accounts of the village of Bahe, which is in course of being cleared up. Considerable adjustments remain to be made on account of assessment on private lands belonging to the ward, assessment on jadid inam lands, and assessment on account of lands held by tenants and paid direct to Government. When these are complete, the total outstanding will be considerably reduced. In the case of the Ghadge estate the figures are less satisfactory, the arrears amounting to no less than Rs. 23,039, of which only Rs. 3,113 were recovered during the year. The arrears apparently date from as far back as 1897-98: and only part of them are covered by assistance decrees. The Collector is being asked to have careful enquiry made, to write off all that are really irrecoverable, and to recover the balance without delay.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

Relgaum.—The season was on the whole good and its character was reflected in the collection of the rents of all the estates except Kowad (No. 7) in which the harvest was rather poor. Of a total demand of Rs. 1,73,214 for the year under report, Rs. 1,65,946 (exclusive of advance-collections) were recovered, the balance for collection being Rs. 6,117 as against Rs. 6,167 in the preceding year. No suspensions were granted during the year, but current revenue to the extent of Rs. 1,181 was remitted owing to the poverty of the defaulters. Of the arrears due in previous years, Rs. 12,883 or over 13½ per cent. were collected, while Rs. 35,247 were written off as a result of the enquiry ordered by Government in their review of the last annual report (paragraph 2 of Government Resolution No. 2840, dated 20th March 1911). The balance outstanding Rs. 56,547 is still large and is due principally from estates Nos. 1, 5, 7 and 8. High rents and poverty of defaulters are the principal causes of the arrears of estates Nos. 1, 5 and 7. Individual inquiries into the condition of defaulters are being made and the Collector reports that remissions will be

given to persons who may be found unable to pay. The arrears of estate No. 8 date from the year 1872 and are principally the result of the Desai's mismanagement. In this case too, lists of defaulters are reported to be in course of preparation and the Collector promises to take all possible steps to clear the accounts of irrecoverable dues.

Bijápur.—Out of a total of Rs. 4,250 on account of current demands a sum of Rs. 4,158 was collected during the year leaving a balance of Rs. 92 only. The arrears outstanding at the end of the year on account of past year's dues amounted to Rs. 5.

Ratnágiri.—The outstanding balance for collection at the end of the year amounting to Rs. 205 is, the Collector reports, in the course of recovery.

(5).—GOVERNMENT REVENUE CESSES AND RATES.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The total amount which the estates paid to Government on account of revenue, etc., was Rs. 25,619. Remission of arrears of Jama amounting to Rs. 7,679 was granted to the Hirapur estate during the year.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The total Government demand paid for all the estates except Nos. 7 and 8 amounted to Rs. 22,999.

In the case of estate No. 6, a demand of Rs. 37 for 1908-09 was allowed to be suspended during the year. In respect of other estates, there were neither suspensions nor remissions granted.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

The total amount which the estates in the Belgaum District paid to Government on account of revenue, cesses and rates was Rs. 45,210, there being no necessity for the grant of remissions or suspensions during the year. The payment included Rs. 63 on account of income-tax.

In the case of the Tignibidri estate, the usual amounts of Judi, local fund cess and assessment and Judi on account of the Inam Japti lands were paid by the Jahagirdar. The annual instalment of tagai amounting to Rs. 127 was also paid.

All Government dues were punctually paid by the Nevalkar estate, there being no necessity for remission or suspension. The slight increase of Rs. 2 in the assessment is due to the inclusion of a debtor's lands in the estate.

(6).—COST OF MANAGEMENT.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The cost of management of all the estates was Rs. 8,961 being about $5\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of their income. Though the average cost is well within 10 per cent. of the income that limit was exceeded in five estates, viz., those of Shah Alam, Kharante, Nadiád, Manjipura and Salia Khabda and Kotda. An explanation of this was given in the last year's report.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

In the case of estates Nos. 6, 7, 13 and 9 the expenditure on this account was nil as the management was in the hands of Government officers. In the case of estate No. 2, a manager on Rs. 60 and in that of No. 3, a kárkún on Rs. 15 have been appointed. In No. 3 in addition to the kárkún, a pleader and manager was appointed on Rs. 100 per mensem for 6 months as much of the property consisted of money bonds, mortgage-deeds and full enquiry was necessary in each case and in the case of No. 9 a relative of the ward.

In the case of estate No. 4 (Raisingpur), the cost of management was Rs. 2,483 as against Rs. 3,571 in the previous year. The decrease was due to the fact that the posts of the Chief Karkun and Police Jamadar were vacant during the year, the former, as owing to the want of suitable residence no one was appointed and the latter on account of the holder of the post reverting to British service. The Kathi estate (No. 5) was handed over to the ward's uncle for management and the old establishment was retained at a monthly cost of Rs. 515-13-4.

A special establishment was entertained in the case of Rasto estato (No. 10) headed by a Superintendent with Kárkúns and peons under him. The annual cost was Rs. 4,891.

As regards the estates of Thorat and Ghadge (Nos. 11 and 12), one temporary hand for the former and a manager and a Kárkún for the latter were engaged. The total cost for the year amounted to Rs. 616 and Rs. 1,251, respectively.

For estate No. 14, the establishment entertained to work under the manager consisted of a Kárkún on Rs. 20 and a peon on Rs. 6. Besides a special hand on Rs. 12 had to be employed for a month to recover the minor ward's dues. The total cost on this account amounted to Rs. 516.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

The aggregate cost of management of all the estates in Belgaum absorbed 6.4 per cent. of the gross income realised as against 6.1 per cent. in the preceding year. The cost was well within 10 per cent. in the case of all estates except two, viz., Nos. 9 and 10, where it exceeded that standard owing to the fact that the greater portion of these estates is in the possession of mortgagees.

Rs. 100 have been sanctioned as extra remuneration to the village officers who carry on the work of collection in connection with the Tignibidri estate. This extra remuneration was sanctioned in consideration of the increase of the collection work occasioned by the addition of the income of khaskamat lands and also increase of correspondence work.

There has been no variation in the cost of management of the Nevalkar's estate.

(7).—ADVANCES GRANTED TO CULTIVATORS.

No advances were granted during the year to the cultivators in any of the three Divisions.

(8).—SPECIAL SCHEMES FOR MANAGEMENT.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The Rozka and Bagad estates were leased during the year for a sum of Rs. 10,701 and Rs. 1,551, respectively. A lease of the Tagdi Vavdi estate was issued to the ward's brother-in-law at a reduced rate of Rs. 12,000 owing to the failure of the crops. Under the terms of the lease of the village of Hirapur consideration was to be raised from Rs. 2,100 to Rs. 3,200 after the tanks were repaired. In the current year, however, a reduction of Rs. 770 had to be allowed to the lessee though the repairs were nominally complete, owing to the existence of a serious defect therein.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The only estates with regard to which there is anything to report are those of Bhusaval Inamdar (No. 2), Raisingpur (No. 4) and Potnis (No. 9). As regards the first, the only big claim of Rs. 65,000 remaining to be satisfied, was settled for Rs. 32,500. To meet this it was arranged to pay Rs. 6,000 from current balance, Rs. 6,000 from the next year's revenue, and Rs. 8,000 by sale of gaothan lands: and to leave the balance to be repaid in future years with interest at 8 per cent. As regards the second, the principal asset of the estate consists of forests which have been in the past very badly handled. In order

to secure their proper conservation a working plan was prepared by Mr. Dodgson, Divisional Forest Officer, West Khandesh, during the year, for which he was paid an honorarium of Rs. 500. With regard to the third, Government were pleased to advance a loan of Rs. 50,000 for the liquidation of the debts due by the estate and as a result of this liberal assistance outstanding debts amounting to Rs. 98,201 were settled in cash for Rs. 49,000.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

The special schemes adopted for the management of estates Nos. 3 and 4 have been described in previous reports. The Alakhnur estate (No. 10) is all Watan property and steps have been taken for the restoration of the mortgaged land. The Nej estate (No. 9) is heavily encumbered. Progress in the settlement of its debts has been retarded by the death of one of the arbitrators, the late Mr. Natu. The creditor Shrimant Appasaheb Sarjerao Shitole was requested to make a fresh nomination in place of the deceased and his reply was not received till the close of the year. The suits filed against the creditors of the Kokatnur estate for the redemption of mortgaged lands have all been decided in favour of the estate, with the result that the lands revert to the estate free of encumbrances. The only other estate with regard to which a special scheme will be necessary is No. 15 (Hudli). This estate has so lately come under the management of the Court of Wards that the full extent of its liabilities is not yet known.

(9).—MAINTENANCE AND EDUCATION OF WARDS.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The total expenditure under this head amounted to Rs. 29,292. The wards of the school-going age are:—

- (1) The Rojka Minor.
- (2) The Bagad Minor.
- (3) The Parabadi Minor Manubhai.
- (4) The Parabadi Minor Kanubhai.
- (5) The Dhandhuka Minor.
- (6) The Kharanti Minor.
- (7) The Nadiád Minor.
- (8) The Manjipura Minor.
- (9) The Napad Minor.
- (10) The Desai of Broach.
- (11) The Mota Mandir Maharaj of Surat.
- (12) The Surat Nagarsheth.

Most of these are learning Vernacular and English in local schools, the first two being at the Dhandhuka hostel. The young Desai of Broach is under the care of a personal guardian in England, where he has made satisfactory progress and is preparing for College. The services of a graduate of good reputation have been borrowed from the Educational Department for the Minor Maharaj of Surat; the evil influences of intriguing persons have to be guarded against and the Collector's efforts are directed to making him an earnest and quiet student. The minor Nagarsheth receives his education in a private school. His progress is fair.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

In the case of estates Nos. 3, 4, 6 and 7 there is no change of importance in the allowances fixed and the arrangements made.

The Chieftain of Kathi (No. 5) left behind him 4 minor sons, three of whom have been brought to Dhulia for education. The fourth lives at Kathi with the manager, as he is very young. For the sons brought to Dhulia a monthly allowance of Rs. 188 including the cost of establishment specially engaged for them has been sanctioned.

Sardar Bhivrao Madhavrao Potnis (No. 9), who died on Juno 10th last, was in receipt of a special allowance of Rs. 3,000 for life for his maintenance. Its continuance to his heir is now under consideration.

The monthly expenditure in connection with the education and maintenance of the minor Raste (No. 10) was Rs. 463-8-0.

The maintenance charges of the Thorat Ward (No. 11) and his relatives amounted to Rs. 1,780 as against Rs. 600 in the previous year, which seems excessive but is attributed to a rise in the allowance given to the ward and to new grants to female dependants.

In the case of Ghadge estate (No. 12), the maintenance charges amounted to Rs. 2,890-10-0 as against Rs. 1,000 in the previous year. No less than Rs. 2,700 of this is paid to three old ladies who are dependant on the estate.

Mr. Yeshwantrao Gujar (No. 13) gets a political allowance of Rs 150 per mensem.

A monthly allowance of Rs. 30 was paid to the mother of the minor ward (No. 14) for maintenance as before.

The only Government wards of school-going age are:-

(No. 3) Gorwadkar minor-

She is about 12 years of age and is being taught to read and write in the female school at Jalgaon.

(No. 5) Chieftain of Kathi-

The Minor ward and his two younger brothers recoive education at Dhulia. The ward is 18 years of age.

(No. 10) Raste Minor-

He is 15 years of age and has been placed under the care of Mr. Candy, late Principal, Sardárs' School, Kolhápur; a tutor has also been engaged to look after the person and education of the minor.

(No. 11) Thorat Minor-

He is 12 years of age and receives education in the vernacular school at Wálva. He is now learning in the Vernacular VI standard.

(No. 14) Bubane Minor-

He is a lad, aged 8 years, and is in the second Vernacular standard in one of the Municipal schools at Sholapur.

Estates Nos. 3, 4, and 10 (Gorwadkar, Raisingpur and Raste) are free of debt. As regards No. 8 (Baba Maharaj), no details are available: No. 14 has been found so hopelessly involved as to be beyond extrication: No. 7 is believed to be in the same condition.

The settlement of the debts of No. 1 is under correspondence: that of No. 2 has been effected during the year under report, as described in paragraph 8 above, and the estate should be clear of debt by 1919, or even earlier. The accounts of No. 5 (Kathi) have not yet been cleared up, but there is a large balance at the credit of the estate, which will be more than sufficient to clear off the debts, as soon as the amount of the latter is accurately known. Estate No. 6 (Rájebahádur) is stated to have liabilities at the close of the year amounting to Rs. 13,160 only: debts amounting to Rs. 47,123 are said to have been rejected by the Court of Wards and Rs. 21,581 remitted by the creditor. The accuracy of these figures will be further investigated: at present it appears difficult to credit them. The Potnis estate (No. 9) received a loan of Rs. 50,000 from Government during the year by the help of which all outstanding debts were cleared off. In the case of the Thorat estate (No. 11), considerable progress was made in liquidating debts, the unsatisfied balance being reduced from Rs. 90,791 to Rs. 63,172. The Ghadge estate (No. 12) had a total liability, at the beginning of the year, of Rs. 53,504; of this claims involving Rs. 18,262 were rejected, and Rs. 5,000 were paid in cash, so that the balance at the end of the year was Rs. 30,242. The liabilities of No. 13 (Gujar) are now reported to be Rs. 43,633: no progress was made in liquidating them during the year.

SCUTHERN DIVISION.

The total expenditure under this head amounted to Rs. 17,710 in the Belgaum District as against Rs. 12,066 in the preceding year. The increase is chiefly due to the payment of an allowance to the Desai of Kurbet and his wife who in the previous year did not ask for an allowance. The Desai is now in receipt of a monthly allowance of Rs. 300 and his wife of a monthly allowance of Rs. 100. The payment of a monthly allowance of Rs. 50 to the Hampannavar Ward (No. 14) and the raising of the monthly allowance of Meherbán Jijajirao of Nipáni from Rs. 40 to Rs. 55 also contributed to the increase. No change was made in the allowances paid to the other wards.

The subsistence allowance given to the youngest brother Dadasaheb of the Tignibidri estate was reduced from Rs. 25 to Rs. 20, while that of Babasaheb was raised from Rs. 30 to Rs. 35 per month.

The elder of the two Nevalkar brothers died on 29th Novemberlast, leaving behind a widow, two very young sons and a daughter married last year.

The only wards of school-going age are Nos. 1, 7, 9, 14, 15 and 16. No. 1 attends the Sardárs' High School in Belgaum and is in the fifth English standard class. His progress is reported to be satisfactory. The elder Kowad boy (No. 7) also attends the same school and is in the second English standard class. His progress is fair. His younger brother attends a Maráthi school in Belgaum and is in the fourth standard class. The Nej Ward (No. 9) resides with his mother in Nej and attends the village school there. No. 14 receives private tuition as there is no English school in his village.

No. 15 who is over 18 years of age left school more than a year ago and all attempts to induce him to resume his studies have proved fruitless. No. 16 who was attending a High School in Dhárwár was temporarily withdrawn from the school by his mother on the death of his father. He will be sent back to Dhárwár shortly.

(10).—REPAYMENT OF DEBTS AND EXISTING LIABILITIES. NORTHERN DIVISION.

The total liabilities of the 19 estates previously under management were Rs. 2,88,962 at the beginning of the year. During the year fresh debts amounting to Rs. 42,210 were incurred; of this sum, Rs. 40,000 were on account of the Government loan advanced to the Shastri estate of Surat since replaced by a loan locally offered at 4½ per cent. Rs. 1,319 were added on account of interest, Rs. 91 on account of costs of suits and Rs. 800 on account of a new claim allowed to be submitted against the Nadiád estate. The total liabilities of the estates thus amounted to Rs. 3,31,172. The total debt reduction during the year, exclusive of that paid off from a Government loan of Rs. 40,000, amounted to

exclusive of that paid off from a Government loan of Rs. 40,000, amounted to

• Details:—

Rs. 18,191 ... Sale of property.

Rs. 1.604 ... Remitted by creditors.

Rs. 15,005 ... Paid out of current revenue.

Rs. 5,060 ... Rejected by the Court of Wards.

accounts of estate No. 2 are being examined under the provisions of the Dekkhan Agriculturists' Relief Act and the amount of debt finally settled will be paid up from the balance of the estate.

The balance of debt of estate No. 20 was Rs. 356, but it will be necessary to retain the management until the debts of each sharer have been satisfied from his own individual share and this is expected to take about 7 years more. To liquidate the debt of the Gadukpur estate it has been proposed to raise a loan of Rs. 7,900 from the Shivrajpur Tálukdári estate.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The total balance of debts at the beginning of the year was Rs. 5,49,437. Fresh loans were incurred to the extent of Rs. 52,000 and claims amounting to Rs. 2,25,642 were cleared during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 3,75,845 at the close of the year.

SOUTHERN DIVISION.

Belgaum.—The total liabilities at the beginning of the year were Rs. 571,567 and new claims to the extent of Rs. 77,721 were received during the year against estates Nos. 1, 3, 4 and 8 while a temporary loan of Rs. 4,000 was taken for estate No. 8. The loan was repaid before the end of the year. Of these liabilities, Rs. 13,078 were remitted by creditors in consideration of prompt settlement of their claims and claims amounting to Rs. 23,024 were rejected. Claims to the extent of Rs. 28,302 were paid during the year. The total reduction of debt was thus Rs. 64,404. The total liabilities at the end of the year inclusive of new claims amounted to Rs. 5,88,884.

Bijápur.—Rs. 600 were paid towards the liquidation of the debt against the Tignibidri Jahágirdár; Rs. 1,381 being the interest up to 31st May last were also paid during the year. The outstanding balance of tagái to be repaid by the estate amounted to Rs. 245.

Estate No. 18 of the Ratnagiri District is free from debt.

(11).—REDUCTION IN CLAIMS SECURED IN CONSIDERATION OF PROMPT SETTLEMENT.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

A claim of Rs. 800 against the Nadiád estate was settled for Rs. 350 and a claim of Rs. 2,044 against the Bhetasi estate for Rs. 1,043. All important settlements as regards estates previously under management have already been made as far as possible and there has not yet been time to settle claims against estates which have recently come under management.

(12).—GENERAL REMARKS.

NORTHERN DIVISION.

The long standing question of division of the respective shares of Government and the Inámdárs in the villages of Salia Khabda and Kotda was decided during the year. The survey will be undertaken during the ensuing fair season.

The reports indicate that individual officers have given much consideration to the interests of the estates in their charge.

CENTRAL DIVISION.

The administration of the Act during the year has been on the whole satisfactory and productive of considerable advantage to the Wards.

Southern Division.

Belgaum.—The administration of the Act during the year was successful and productive of much good. Of the four estates added during the year, the management of three was assumed on the application of the owners of former managers. This is a significant fact testifying to the appreciation by the public of the advantages of management by the Court of Wards. Estate No. 2 is involved in debt beyond all hope of redemption and an application has been submitted to Government under this office No. 3846, dated 25th October 1911, for sanction to the withdrawal of the superintendence of the estate by the Court of Wards. The total liabilities in British territory of estate No. 6 amount to Rs. 99,000, including a claim for Rs. 66,000 which has recently been rejected by the Subordinate Judge. Besides this, liabilities amounting to Rs. 76,529 are contracted by the Ward on the security of the lands in the Sángli State. The creditor whose claim for Rs. 66,000 has been rejected has filed an appeal in the High Court. If the Subordinate Judge's decision is reversed, the position of the estate will be hopeless. If the decision is upheld, it may be possible to save the estate with the aid of a Government loan.

An appeal has been filed in the High Court against the Subordinate Judge's decision in the Sirsangi adoption suit referred to in the last year's report. The suit filed by the younger brother of the Desai of Kurbet with the intention of getting the Desgat declared impartible has been rejected by the Civil Court.

The decree in the Darkhast in connection with estate No. 15, referred to in the last Administration Report of the Minors' estates, was partially satisfied and the Court of Wards promised to pay off the balance in January 1912. The Darkhast was accordingly withdrawn by the judgment-creditor. The appeal to the High Court referred to in the abovementioned report is still pending.

With all the care and economy bestowed, the management of the Neval-kar's estate, the Collector observes, is not likely to be successful. The estate consists mostly of advances made without or on insufficient security and increasing difficulty is experienced every year in recovering the amounts falling due. A sum of Rs. 7,000 has already been reported for being written off as irrecoverable and the remainder due stands no better chance of recovery. The expense of official management, Rs. 325 per annum, is also very large for the income. The Collector is of opinion that it is no use continuing the present management and that the estate should be restored to the owners; he promises to take steps in the matter.

During the year Rs. 14,300 representing the surplus balances of estates Nos. 7, and 12 were invested in Government Promissory Notes. Since the close of the year, a further sum of Rs. 49,000 has been invested in the same securities on behalf of estates Nos. 1, 9 and 14.

STATEMENT I.

STATEMENT Statement showing the general position of each et

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward,	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Culting:
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	NORTHERN	DIVISION.			Years.	Acres.	Aures
1	Ahmedabad	Sháh Alum estate	Inamdar Syed Imam Haidarbax valad Musamian Saheb.	21st September 1906 With a view to secure better management, the estate being in debt.	29	5,906	1,13
2	Do	Eadshahi Dewan estate.	Mirza Aftab Hussein Khan Mirza Nazifali Khau,	4th March 1910 For settlement of debts.	28	2 ,945	2791
ş	Do	Rozka estate	Fathbha Manubha	4th March 1909 On account of the minority of the ward and as there was no one to look after the estate.		2,822	2,316
4	Do	Bágad estate	Ravat Mulu	23rd April 1910 Owing to minority.	17	1,367	الشرا
5	Do.1	Parabadi estate	Marabha Atabhai and Kanubhai Atabhai	10th February 1908 Owing to minority.	12	}	EHOR
6	Do	Dhanchuka estate	Premanand Manilal	29th July 1911 Owing to minority.	7		11107
7	Do.	Tagdi Vavdi estate	Bhikhubhai Nathabhai	10th February 1908 Owing to minority.	20	6,760	(12)
8	Do	Hirápur estate		With a view to secure	57 54	4,094	2,034
9	Kaira .	Naika estato	Jagubhai Jibava	7th August 1908 Owing to the Thakor's inability to manage the estate.	50	676	nr.
10	Do.	Kharanti estate	. Keshavlal Sinchand	8th July 1910 Owing to minority.	9	327	25
11	Do.	Nadi id estate	Desai, Shivabhai Valabh bhai.	11th August 1907 There was no near relation to manage the estate,	9	97	g 6
12	Do.	Manjipura estato .	Ramchandra Pandurang.	lst April 1907 Owing to minority.	15	806	9
13	Do.	Vanthvali estate	Raosphaí Chhotalal	27th June 1910 For settlement of debts.	24	264	
14	Do.	Mahij estate	(1) Popatji alias Himat aing Viraji.	27th May 1911 For settlement of debts.	(1) 82	torpace	
			(2) Tulji Ranchhodji (3) Rataneang Partapsang (4) Jethiji Bhaiji (5) Devising Partapsang (6) Bal Magjibha, widov of Khangar Bhaiji, (7) Bai Hirabha, widow o Jesang Kalubhai. (8) Bai Andaraba, widov of Bhimsing Jaluji.		(2) 83 (3) 25 (4) 20 (5) 15 (6) 22 (7) 23 (8) 37		
1	5 De	Napad estate	Thakor Chhatrasingji .	25th April 1911 • Owing to minority.	. 13	108144	

l.

under Government management during the year 1910-11.

11: 11:4	Trtal	Total Land Revenue	Total gross	Total	B	alance.		
Uncultivated a second	assessment.	Demand including cesses.	income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Invested.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Romarks.
9	10	11	12	73	14	13	16	. 17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.	
1,413	17,914 ·	16,178	20,763	17,212	100	5,893	3,000	
154	5,869	5,392	16,328	4,457	2,700	11,948	• 10,435	Vide the re- mark against the establish- ment in State- ment IV.
506	5,071	10,728	10,849	5,696	18	14,262		
109	1,635	1,557	1,721	1,369	•••	870		
144	p+s4=q	*****	445***	P=111	•••	·	*****	The particulars required for the reject are took available as the estates came
104	8 490 8 9	4,000	*****	840110		*****	••••	under manage prest at the close of the year,
634	4,995	12,267	11,810	9,021		2,805	*****	
2,040	6,638	5,700	4,804	4,500	•••	394	1,23,748	
284	5,574	8,398	2,426	1,660	•••	1,966	*******	
92	1,056	412	895	429		- 729	49,144	
5	529	1,003	995	906		813	1,676	
123	3,554	2,575	2,246	1,650		1,078	8,827	
29	1,054	1,520	1,676	1,340	•** 1	200	4,602	
94k	*****	.,,	***************************************	•••••	***	•••••	*****	Particulars not avitable as the estate came under management, at the close of the year.
***	***************************************	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		agenta .	•••	*******	•••••	The estate came under management after the minor's grand-mother and Karthari had taken the income of the estate. No particulare of income and expenditure are therefore available.

в 1609—4

erial No.	ame of I	District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed,	ge of Ward	Total area of the estate.	Co.:
1	2		8	4	5	6	7	-
	NORTH	ERN DI	VISION—continued.			Years.	Acres.	day.
16	Kaira), •••	Bhotasi estate		20th October 1910 Owing to minority.	5	2,105	à
17	Do.		Jhanod estate		8th July 1911 Owing to minority.	. 3	*****	
	•	. •		•				
18	Erosch	. •	Desai estate	Hariprasad Vishnuprasad.	31st March 1907 Owing to minority.	18	8,358	;
19	Do.	•••	Osára estate	(1) Syed Mahomed Sudak .	With a view to sccure better management the	(1) 40	220	!
		-		(2) Syed Mastu Mian	estate, being in debt.	(2) 25		
	}	:		(3) Syed Mahomed Kaja alias Kalumian.		(3) 22		
20	Panch M	aháls .	Salia Khabda and Kotda estate.	(1) Yakubali Mulawati	For disposal of the liabi.	(1) 62	2,237	ı İia.
		1	·	(2) Anira'i Akbarali	lities of the estate.	(2) 32	•	
				(3) Sarfarajali Dilawarali.		(3) 52	Kha 1,412	abda.
			Ü	(4) Ajgarali Umaravali	•	(4) 52	Kho	
			• .	(5) Husseinmian Dadamian		(5) 47	1,419	
21	Do.	941	Gadukpur estate	(1) Sher Mahomedkhan Najar Mahomedkhan	28th December 1909 For clearance of debts.	(1) 81	1,158	
			, ,	(2) Bai Amirbibi, widow of Vajir Mahomedkhan.		(2) 36		
		,		(3) Imamkhan Ahmed khan.		(3) 61	ı	
				(4) Najaubibi, widow of Mustafakhan.		(4) 51		
		•		(5) Mahomedkhan Umrao khau.		(5) 31	,	
			•	(6) Dasubibi		(6) 27		
				(7) Yakubkhan Baillan khan.		(7) 83		
22	Surat	••	Mota Mandir estate	Maháraj Shri Vrajratan lalji Maganlalji.	8th November 1906 Minority of the ward.	15	r	10 5
23	Do.	••	Nagarsheth estate	Bulubhai Gulabbhai	8th November 1906 On account of the minority of the ward.	16	17	
24	Do.		. Hariprasad Vishnu prasad estate.	Hariprasad Vishnuprasad	. 16th September 1907 On account of the minority of the ward.	18	25	
25	Do-	:	. Shastri estate .	(1) Ganpatrao Bhim	- 6th May 1908	(1) 34	360	
!				ahanker. (2) Vishwarathrao Bhim	The wards belong to respectable tamily,	(2) 19		
26	Do.		. Kazi estate	shanker. (3) Mahadevrao Krishnara Syed Mahomed Syed Akh	•	(3) 47	711	Isl
	Total, Divis	Norther	D *****		i	,,,,,,	39,136	

I-continued.

Unculti-	Total assess-	Total Land Revenue	Total gross	Total gross	1	Salance,		
vated area.	ment.	demand includ- ing cesses.	income recovered.	expenditura.	Invested.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Remarks.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Ra.	Re.	R _{ba}	Ra.	Rs.	Ge.	,
1,602	1,597	8,630	3,124	2,761		655	•••	
	sedrob		*****			4=0==0	2,701	The particulars are not available as the came under management at the close of the year.
363	17,610	20,037	30,600	21,666	•44,895	19,694	•••••	• Inclusive of promissory notes of the value of Ea. 20,200,
•••	1,402	2,137	2,512	2,819	•••	211	22,900	
216	†94 9					·		
53	† 505	1,095	1,058	970	•••	1,950	\$56	† Last year's figures were in-
2 33	801 781		1,664	242	}	2,240	9,900	
		;						
appended.	916 s ā	1,775	85,8 10	\$5,562		2,303	17,076	
	351	200	9,072	3,040		1,572	8,503,8	
1-4	69	751	1,523	2,404		165	*****	
•••	2 ,232	4,215	4,599	2,197	6,000	1,157	40,000	
not avail	able.	******	734	801		391	about 23,000	
7,658	79,786	25,611	1,61,767	1,20,395	63,613	70,718	2,77,013	

No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward	Total area of the Estate	Culting
1	2	3	4	5	. 6	7	
1	CENTRAL DI		Mr. Malharrao Auendrao, Jahgáirdár of Javale.	18th March 1910 Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debts.	Years. About 38	Acres, 79 279 Bighas.	Алы, 7) 1204 Від'я
2	East Khándesh .	Bhusáwal Inámdár's Estate.	Mr. Ganpatrao Sagajirao Nimbalkar, Inámdár.	29th July 1907 Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debts.	81	4,696	3,64
3	Do	Gorwadkar Estate	Varubai, daughter of Dagadu Nagesh Gorwad kar.	20th September 1909 Under section 4, on account of the minority of the ward and as there was no near relation to		154	ı
4	West Khándesh .	Raisingpur Estate	Meherbán Sarapsing Khatya Walvi, Chieftain of Raisingpur.	look after the estate. 24th July 1908	36	128,009 approxi- mately.	8,463
Б	Do	Kathi Estate	Meherbán Eangitaing Surupsing Padvi, Chief- tain of Kathi.	15th March 1911 Under section 4, owing to the minority of the Chieftain.	18	320,000 approxi- mately.	80,10
6	Násik •	Málegaon Bájebahádars Estate.	Sardár Gopalrao Shivdeo- rao, Rajebahadar of Málegaon.	1st June 1909 Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debts.	·	1,007	1,00
					-		
7	Do	Pingle of Trimbak	(1) Mahadeo Sakharau and his son (2) Vinayak Mahadeo.	19th October 1910 On the authority of the District Court's order under section 10 (1) of the Act owing to the incapability of the first to	13	40	
8	Poona	The late Shri Bab Maharaj of Poona.	.	mange his own sflairs and to the minority of the second. 5th December 1908		*******	هدي
				•			

inculti-	Total assess-	Total Land Revenue	Total gross	Total gross	11	Balance.	Table 11 Core	
ed area.	ment-	ing cesses.	income recovered.	expenditure.	Invested.	Uninvested.	Total Liabilities	Remarks.
9	10	n.	12	13	14	35	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Ra,	Re.	
3ighai. 75	€6 206	450	1,147	838	0.15	1,617	8,425	Cols. 7. 10 and 10.—The figures now given are correct. Those reported and year were
1,002	6,916	11,582	14,079	5,674		9,182	65,501	approximate and hence the difference.
			,					
ean r	93	172	181	2,566	140	2,577	Nil.	•
121,532	2,530	2,530	14,009	19,272	60,000	83,809	Nit	Col. 10.—The figure (R. 1.591) shown in last year's statement was wrong. The
239,895	5,129	5,129	82,758	11,852	. •••	24,102	15,589 Approximately.	figure now given is correct.
440	2,882	2,746	5,097	2,393	***	3,000	13,160	Col. 7-Land proviously transferred was taken back by
85	19	***************************************	554	32		622	12,398	payment of debt and hence the increase in the total area. Col. 10.—Last year exact figures were not a vallablo. Those now given are correct. Col. 11.—Demand
								not yes known.
***	The question a the informat	s to the propriet	y of assuming su pplied.	perintendence o	the estate	a still under cons	deration and so	The name of the ward cannot be given as the question as to who is the proper hele to the estate has not yet been decided. The appeal pending in the High Court was decided on 25th reptember 1910 in favour of Shri Esta Mahars, but the highest monthings and in expected to the Prey Council.

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the Estate.	C. Jr.
1	2	8	-4	5	. 6	7	9
	CENTRAL DI	VISION—contd.			Years.	Acres.	
9	Poons	Potnis Estate	Bhivrao Madhaorao Pot- nis, 1st Class Sardar (Deccan).	28th April 1908 Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debt.	Died on 10th June 1911.	80	Act ₂
10	Do	Raste Estate	Meharban Madhavrao Anandrao Raste of Poona.	8th July 1910 Permanent Management assumed under section 4 of the Act owing to the minority of the ward.	15	47,983	17,3 12
11	Fátára "	Thorat Estate	Shivajirao Narayantao Thorat of Bahe in the Valva Taiuka.	5th June 1909 Management assumed under section 4 of the Act for the liquidation of debts, the ward being a minor.		4,263	3/30
12	Do	Ghadge Estate	Lakshmibai, widow of Bhawanji Shivaji Ghad- ge.	5th May 1910 Management assumed under section 6 of the Act with a view to secure better management, the estate being in debt.		11,291 Bighas 5,277	Han Birlo 525
13	Do	Gujar Estate	Yeshwantrao Prataprao Gujar.	29th August 1910 Management assumed under section 9 of the Act for the liquidation of debt.		1 Bighas 299	76 B 5a
14	Sholápur	Bubane Estate	Bhalchandra Dattatraya Bubane.	19th October 1909 Management assumed under section 6 of the Act on account of the owner being a minor and the estate in debt.		130	10
	Total, Central Division.	******	000-00	*****		517.704 Bighas 5,855	151,316 Bigina 8,750
	Southern	DIVISION.			,	-	ĺ
1	Belgaum	Koujalgi Desgat	Bhimappa Girappa Desai	lat April 1906 Minority of the ward.	17	. 4 2,953	55,14
2	Do	Venkatrao . Konher Astekan's Estate.	Venkatrao Konher Aste- kar (deceased),	2nd April 1906 On application under section 9.	Dead		4 p. 2 swa
3	Do	Nipani Desgat	Raghunathrao Murarrao Naik Nimbalkar Desai. Jyajirao alias Murrar	1st May 1905 On application.	41	4,871	45
4	Do	Kokatnur Desgat	rao Shiddojirao Niinbalkar. 1. Rayappa Shankerappa Desat. 2. Mallappa Shankerappa	On application. 1st July 1906	(1, 53 (2) 42	5,609	
5.	Do	Sirasangi Desgat	Desai.	25th August 1906 The owner having dicd without issue and having left a widow who is a	•••••	. 30,61 3	3

		alance.	_ B	Total greas	Total gross	Total Land Revenue	Total	Unculti-
Romat ka.	Total Liabilities,	Uninvested.	Invested.	expenditure.	income recovered.	demand includ- ing cesses.	assessment	Tated Brea.
17	10	15	14	13	12	11	10.	9
	R4.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Acres.
	43,815	2,032	***	432	7,863	219	175	37
				•				
The complete information appears for the first time.	Nd.	47,003	57,000	34,805	62,686	52,708	33,075	611
	63,172	9,519	•••	6,092	21,467	15,587	12,431	280
							, <u>•</u> .	
	30,212	180,8	***	11,213	15,790	12,866	} 12,054	•••
	43,033	1,019	•••	75	1,124	1,165	1101	1
	82,010	5,140	,	2,872	2,851	1,159	94	1
		 '						
	8,75,84 5	1,43,122	1,17,000	98,116	1,79,611	1,06,813	} 75,175	366 394 Bighas 75
Sinon the cle of the ye	DO2-12	•3 2, 92 4	•••	20,659	37,782	81,955	24,067	4,850
Ra. 15,000 has been luvented for Government Promission								·
Notes	61,837	agu 800			0 au 1 90	t minog	******	eaa
1	1,50,656	1,567		18,518	21,929	19,943	6,612	. 250
	32,044	1,424		4,814	7,122	7,069	3,097	
	E,123	66,585	•••	22,045	57,∩ <u>4</u> 0	46,920		6,235
1			}		,	LEO(OF	41,933	0,400

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward,	Total area of the Estate.	Cultivet 1
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	SOUTHERN D	VISION—contd.	·		Years.	Acres.	Acres.
6			1. Narayanrao Konher, 2 Keshavarao Konher.	15th May 1907 On application under section 9.	(1) 53 (2) 48	}	114111
7	Do	Kowad Desgat	1. Ramachandrarao alias Babasaheb. 2. Parvatrao Annasaheb Ganapatrao Desai.	15th November 1907	(1) 15	4,957	2,50
8	Do. '	- Kurbet Desgat	Khanderao <i>alias</i> Baba- saheb Shidramappa Desai.	6th July 1908 District Court's order under section 5 (1)C.	38	45,112	43,574
9	Do	Nej Estate	Babasaheb Bhujangrao Ingmdár.	15th June 1908	13	279	174
10	Do	Alakhnur Desgat	Krishnarao Sheshgirrao Desai,	15th August 1908 On application under section 9.	29	4,193	4,10%
11	Do	Nerli Estate	Dhundappa Bhusappa Konaji.		19	: 37	37
12	Do	Yadihalli Desgat	(1) Nilawa hu sband Rudrappa (2) Shidawa Dessi.	30th April 1910 Minority of the ward.	(1) 14 (2) 16	} 2,601	2,64
13	Do	Yargatti Deshgat	Bhimabai, Bhratar Kri- shnappa Desai.	1st December 1910 District Court's order under section 5 (1) 5.	55	§ Not	known
14	Do.	Hampannawar Estate	Malikarjun Shivlinappa Hampannawar.	29th November 1910	13	287	25 7
15	Do.	·· Hudli Estate	(1) Saheb Pacha valad Sabu Saheb. (2) Sayyad Hassan Sahek valad Sayyad Hussin	12th May 1911	(1) 23	1,100	30
			Saheb. (3) Sayyad Babasahet alias Ibuldulla Sahet valad Hussin Saheb.	On application.	(3) 40		
16	Do.	Patki Estate	Binde Shankarrao Patki	7th June 1911 Minority of the ward.	p to .		6 54E 7 55E
		1				,	
* • *****	Total for the B		*****	******	501>20	142,701	127,11

		- MC A T T :- T					1	
Cuculti-	Total assessment.	Total Land; Revenue	Total gross	Total gross	Ba	lance.		
rated area.	назеавшене.	demand includ- ing cesses.	recovered.	expenditure.	Invested.	Uniovested.	Total Lisbilit	Romarks
<u> </u>	10	11	12		·			1
		14	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Re,	Ka,	Ra.	Ra.	Rs.	Re.	\ <u></u>
•••	*****	4=1308	19	******		49	1,75,529	
	•							Insolvents
	4 003	19.000						
2,089	4,081	12,050	13,149	12,320	6,100 P. Note	•10,552	******	During this year a sum of
* Since	the close of the	year Rs. 4,000	have been invested	in Government	Promissor	y Notes		Rs. 4,030 was invested in Ga- vernment Pro-
								missory Not a.
.					1			paid being ke 3,962 0 3.
r,138	30,395	29,258	82,214	22,774		8, 63 6	86,3 73	Column 0 to 9 — Figures for
								Kadim Innu Lands were
-					1			included in last
								Hones the dis-
105	.227	408	408	317	•••	121	21,605	
85	901	876	\$13	57		279	26,361	
69	901	870	313	"	***	213	÷0,00 £	
•••	32	803	844	1,618		530	Nil.	
400	3,867	10,716	16,255	5,754	20,300	6,970		During the year
	, ,,,,,							Ra. 10,200 ware invested in Go-
					ĺ			vernment Pro- mis-ory Notes, actual prices
	ļ							paid belog ks. 9,913-11-5.
••	******	10,863	11,885	4,315		7,222		Not known as the period of
								notice under
			1					did not expire
***	363	2,449	9,650	2,284		32,854	*****	of the year, Since the close of
				1				of Re. 30,000 has been lovest-
		}			ţ			ed in Govern-
								sory Notes.
826	704	536	615	411		159	******	Not known as
]					ļ		notice under
						ļ		did not expre
						ļ	*****	of the year. The estate came
***	100	•••••	*****	******	***	******	7,50.00	unier manages
								the year tint no
-						<u> </u>	-	able.
15 578	1,16,279	1,73,244	2,08,125	1,15,916	26,460	1,65,232	5,58,9	-
_	558 <u>-6</u>	1		["	1

STATEMEN

Scrial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the Estate.	Colline d
1	2	8	4	5	6	7	8
17	-	VISION—concluded.	 Mahomed Gavas Saheb valad Haji Ibrahim Saheb Jabágirdár. Mirasaheb alias Babasaheb Jahágirdár. Mahomedsaheb alias Dadasabeb valad Haji 	5th March 1908 Assumed under section 9 of the Court of Wards Act owing to the indebtedness of the estate.	(2) 43	Acres. 8,717 area of the whole village. Out of which the Jahágird ar owns 2,705 acres.	
18	Ratnágiri	Nevalkar's Estate at Vijaydurg.	Nevalker (deceased on 25th November 1910).	4th September 1908	21	190	150
	Total, Southern Division	*****	******		*****	146,608	130,939
	Grand Total	04440	******	128103	{	703,448 Bighas 5,855	313,% Bighu 6,730

I-concluded.

Voculti-	Total	Total Land Revenue	Total gross income	Total gross		Balance.		
rated area.	assessment.	demand includ- ing cesses.	recovered.	expenditure.	Invested	Uninvested.	Total Liabilities.	Remark
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Ra	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	
71	1,636	4,287	4,346	4,250	•••	1,210	22,645	
	94	347	768	992	080	491	*******	
15,649	1,18,009	1,77,878	2,13,239	1,21,158	26,400	1,66,963	6,11,529	
389,701 Bighas 75	2,72,970	8,79,835	5,57,617	3,39,669	1,97,013	8,80,813	12,64,387	

24
Statement showing the Details required in columns 6-9 of Statement No. I as regards the Mota Mandi Estate in Surat.

		Paying :	full Assessn	ne nt.			1	nám i.		
Names of places where lands are situated.	Num- ber of Survey Nos.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Rents of land with Government dues.	Num- ber of Survey Nos.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Sulámi.	Loss.	Rects of land with Govern- ment dues.
1	2	3	4	5	6	- 7	8	9	10	11
		Acres	Rs	Rs.] [Астев .	Rs.	Re.	Re.	Rs.
Fachin State	***	. 	•••		5:	6	68	18	50	48
British Te rritory —										
Chorási Táluka	1	3	18	80	12,	62	413	92	351	570
Olpad Taluka	· •••				1	. 3	25 -	7	18	23
Broach District	ļ	•••	•••		8	23	1 176	23	93	105
Kaira do	3	4	22	•••	2	3	1 18	9.	9	26
Dholka Táluka		400	***	***	2	4	10	3	7	22
Total	4	7	40	30	25	95	612	134	478	. 746
Caroda Raj—										
Kamraj Táluka	3	37	108		2	43	121	74	46	
Palsana do		•••	•••	***	1	15	41	13	28	•••
Velachha do	. 21	117	272	***		***			•••	•••
Petlád do	•	•••	•••	***	5	17	14	26	••	
Barcda	. 6	39	89	•••	7	21	67	13	55	P4#
Total	30	193	469	***	15	96	243	126	129	

STATEMENT II.

STATEMEN.

Statement showing Receipts and Charges of Estates val.

							Receipt	8.			
Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Cash balance of last year.	Rents of land.	Other dues	Miscellaneous Receipts,	Interest.	Total Gross Income,	Debts recovered.	Loans received.	Grand Total
1	2	3	4	Б	8	7	8	9	10	11	12
	NORTHERN	DIVISION,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.			<u> </u>
1	Ahmedabad	Shah Alam Estate	7,635	17,407		8,336	1.00	20,763	Re.	Re.	Ra
					ŀ				}	•••••	29,763
2	Do	Bádsháhi Dewan Estate	2,777	5,438	****	10,890	*****	16,329		******	16,23
3	Do	Rozka Estate	8,931	10,776	1,1100	62	11	10,849	282	*****	11,131
4	D ₀		6 03	1,721	****	314**4	*****	1,721	*****		1,721
5 6	Do	n	•••••	\$40 000	194*94	*******	104175	44-140	149100	******	19-94
7	Do	Total Vandi Pataka	ا ہے ا	******	******	******	411	249 891	267.000		PS.10
6		Wimmun Fatata	16 9,631	11,810	1.400	bt	*****	11,810	*****	******	1 1,810
9		Naika Estate		2,533	1,482	788	******	4,804	*****	0 17 1 12	1,9%
10	Do	i	43	2,426 338	,,,,,,,	87	11.110	2,426	*****	*****	2,428
11		Nadiad Estate	284	986	514018	9	*****	995 995	715	******	1,10
12	_	Manjipura Estate		2,246	bee	******	*****	2,21G	100	******	1,045 9,216
13	Do	l., ., ., ., .	0	1,616		£0	*****	1,676		#10 411	1,476
16	Do	1	*****	,,,,,,,		b. p.	*****	******	*** ***		9 1
15	Do	Napad Estate	******	***	\$06.011	100yan	•••••	000000	******	*****	,,,,,,,
16	Do	Bhetasi Estate	279	3,124	1	*****	*****	3,121	13		3,13
17	Do	Jhanod Estate		*****	400000	******	100				4144
18	Broach	Desai Estate	8,911	21,009	7,974	711	908	30,600	2,030	****	32,530
19	Do	Osara Estate	524	2,143	*****	899	807.214	2,542	*** ,.	*****	2,512
20	Panch Maháls	Salia, Khatda and Kotda Estate.	1,864	1,058	*****	****	*****	1,056			1,066
21	Do		1,496	4 21		1 0.12		3.004	[1,664
22	Surat	Mota Mandir Estate	}	1,775	*****	1,243 33,565	*****	1,664 35,340	*****	. *****	35,310
23	Do. 94		} I	200	*****	9,372	*****	9,572	*****	******	9,57
24	Do		1,043	751	69°1345	772	******	1,523	*****		1,523
25	Ďo, ".	Estate, Shastri Estate					1	1,010	•••••		44,39
28	_	Wast Pateta	1	4,245	******	354	*****	4,599	******	40,000	34 145
		Total, Northern Division	46,187			731	*****	734			2,07,107
	CENTRAL	ļ · · · ·	20,10/	92,024	9,456	62,370	917	1,64,707	8,140	40,000	
1	Ahmednagar	Javale Jahogirdár's	708	286	750				.		1,14
2	Fort Phindre	Estate,		230	756	105	177.,,	1,147	224-24	1-1,	
-	East Khándesh	Bhusaral Inamdar's Estate.	777	10,036	611	8,432	*u	14,079	•••••	****	14,03
3	Do		1,657	172		9	*****	181	3,305		3,1%
4	West Khandesh	Raisingpur Estate	39,152	2,581	7,941	1,457	2,030	. 11,009	10	114400	14(1)
8	Do	Kathi Estate	•	•					1	1	35,60
		Adult Edulo	On the 6th De- cember 1910 when the management was actually taken in	5,370	26,391	239	768	32,758	8,172	gga 13 3	
6	Nasik	Malegnon Rajobahadar'i Estate.	hand. 21	2,736	- 3,224	137	******	5,097	1**48*	2,030	1/101
7	Do.	Pingle of Trimbak	1		554	4-1195	*****	53 4	*****	HE	انڌ
8	Poona	The late Shri Baba Maharaj	******	****	******	040.14	661 108	64144	******	*****	******
9	Da.	Potnie Estate	1904			[i	50,000	57.00
10	Do.	 " "		73 51 905	6,278	1,517	*****	7,868	12 003	i	\mathbf{x}^{i_1,i_2}
,,	Rescu-	•	10,200	51,895	6,851	4,037	403	62,690	17,883	44.444	\$1.0
11	Bátára Do.	Thorat Estate	1	18,190	9,277	,,	*****	21,467			1578
13	Da	Ghadge Estate	4,407	14,276	1,270	214		15,730		,,,,,,	1,59
14	Sholápur	Gujar Estate Bubane Estate	*****	1,124	6 44 417	601+24		1,124	*****	.91.341	
	- <u>r</u> 16	Model Control Division	4,223	2,851				2,851	101	ا ــ ا من ت	
}		10th, Central Division	72,200	1,(9,090	56,143	11,177	3,201	1,79,611	25,314 4	2,00	_

I.

Jovernment Management for the year 1910-11.

				Expen	diture.						
reroment lemands.	Cost of management.	Maintenance and education of ward.	Interest and rent.	Miscollancous.	Total Gross Expenditure,	Loans advanced.	Other invest- ment.	Repayment of debts.	Grand Total.	Closing. baiance.	Remarks.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	23	23	21
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re,	Rs.	Re.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Ra	
9,892	2,164	2,400	•••	8,256	17,212		*****	5,493	22,708	5,593	*Includes a sum of Rs 2, 440 paid to Irrigation Department on account of assessment in Kyst
971	973	1,120	•••	1,503	4,457	***	2,700	•••••	7,157	31,918	lands.
2,005	590	933	•••	2,109	6,698		*****	104	8,8∶0	14,262	
677	\$8	345	5	244	1,359		*****	444-18	1,350	870	
mten	******	ghe***4	485	*:1 *:4	******]]	*****	*****	134344	10.00	Vide remarks in State
	*****	******		51111	*****	119	*****	*****	444218	(101m	
2,718	650	4,987	***	662	9,021	**4	40110	415.22	9,021	2,835	Last year's figure include
†1,177	249	700	***	2,074	4,500]	*** ***	3,541	8,941	394	bricars'
1,336	198	******	441	126	1,660	***	*****	E4.7**	1,660 429	1,0 <i>6</i> 720	
20	47	91	•••	271	429) a > 70%	140	1,068	B13	
359	128	160		241	906	***		169	3,610	1,079	
5 69	255	210 123	7=4	816	1,850	•	******	1,760	1,751	200	
812	135		***	273	1,340		*****	,) Tide romarks in State
******	******	*******		414.19	41100	•••		******	*****	****	ment I.
915	290	4:5	***	1,151	2,761	•••	*****	*****	2,761	658	
		410-110	***	2,101			324449		944 (1.	*****	Fide remarks in Sistement I.
2,512	1,555	11,748	***	5,821	21,666	•••	84.184	191	21,887	19,694	Mana 2.
361	184	600	1,089	585	2,819		*****	86	2,855	211	}
••••	108	****	***	8)2	970	***	140.00	*****	970	1,050	
140				}				678	020	2,240	
815	67 934	3,000		35	242	***	*****	,	31,502	2,305	
17	115	1,2.0	•••	30,783	85,562 3,010		******	5,197	8,237	1,672	j
78	47	573	***	1,708 1,706	2,404	***	*****	******	2,401	165	
			•••	1,700	#,#!=				44 Co#	1,187	
837	120	480	276	994	2,197			42,028	44,825 813	301	
95 11 1		200		104	804		3 500	60,211	1,83,336	70,738	-
5,119	8,901	20,292	1,373	55,153	1,20,395						1
349		457	•••	\$ \$3	838		******	441700	839	1,017	
8,590	639	1,510	***	96	5,674	109	****		5,674	9,152	
197	941	320		1,108	2,500		404 + 1-0		2,503	2,677	In addition Rs. 6-,00
344	2,483	6,460	go.	9,983	19,272		*****		10,273	1 33,509	are invested in promin
776	4,039	1,025	***	6,013	13,852	-19	(MAN	*****	13'828	24,192	any notta,
							•			•	
								:			
626	*****	1,300	119	419	2,893		/sd 440	1,635	4,058	8,060	
******	379.04	15	***	17	89		******	******	3 2	622	Fidermark avaluation
I	*****		•••	444780			p=4 016		500	# + + · · · ·	estate la bratement No. I
		}		103	433		*** ***	86,709	67,140	2,0:2	
9		******	•••	423 15,926	81,9c6		12,000	*****	4G,8 J6	647,0 3	fin stalltion its, 57,674 are furns ed in promis
	4891	2 2 3 70		13.420	V2,50	- 1					sery notes.
8,561	4,891	5,517	***	2.7,52.	1	l		99.004	14.695	9,113	į
9 8,3 ₅ 8 8,3 ₅ 8	4,891 616	5,517 1,780	404	810	6,002			12,004 5,000	13,00 5 16,213	9,713 3,164	
9 8,561 8,3 ₅ 6 4,948	4,891 616 1,251			810 2,12 3	11,219		*****	5,000		,	
9 8,361 8,3 ₃ 8	4,891 616	1,780	404	810			1		16,213	3,164	

								Rece	ipis.			
erial No.	Name of Dist	rlet.	Name of Estate.	Cash baland of last year	Rents of land.	Other dues	Miscellancons Receipts.	Interest.	Total Gross Income.	Debis recovered.	Loans received.	Granl Total
1	2		3	6	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	войтн	ERN	DIVISION.	Rs.	Re.	Re.	Rs.	R.i.	Rs.	Rs,	P.	
1	Belganm	•••	Koujulgi Desgat	19,243	83,702	1,137	2,743	200	87,782	4,000	Rs.	Br.
3	Do.	***	Venkatrao Konher Astek Estate.	ar's	*** 100		P14114	64 6 155	*****	669,44	*****	0,5
3	Do.	100	Nipani Desgat	2,714	20,311	853	468	******	21,329			
4	Do.	•••	Kokatnur Desgat	724	7,069	85	18	545.00	7,122	120144	244195	7,125 7,125
8	Do.	•••	Sirangi Desgat	29,612	£0,382	889	5,021	748	67,040	2,078	100.00	59,113
6	Do.	•	Narsingtao Ko Astekar's Estate.	nber 80		******	19	*****	19	##g+##	244.11	19
7	.Do.	•••	Kowad Desgat	11,860 241	10,016	293	2,191	681	13,149	1,872	699-14	15,021
				12,101	-							
В	Do.		Kurbet Desgat	9,062	29,930	656	1,628	411.00	82,214	,,,,,,	4,000	30,214
9	Do.	Bo.	Nej Estate	30	408	411.704	est;15g	*****	408	100134	41111	HAS
10	Do.	***	Alakhmur Desgai	23	813		601 014	*****	813	******		311
11	Do.	To-	Nerli Estate	818	• 803	*****	. 19	23	841	486	*******	1,30
12	Do.	***	Yadihalli Desgat	6,018	12,124	73	3,618	440	16,255	651	81 1111	16,906
13	Do.	424	Yargatti Deshgat	152	10,863	12	510		11,885	*****	*****	11,355
14	Do.	41.	Hampannawar Estate	23,584	2,449	83	6.680	428	9,660	1,904	479494	11,55
15	Do.	***	Hudli Estate	323	553	000 100	61	244444	615	******	194 140	61
16	Do ₀		Patki Estate	******		*****	******	******	\$10.00	011-0 00	H1+44	191,804
17	Bijápur	***	Tignibidri Estate	1,744	4,190		156					6,58
18	Rutnágiri	•	Newalkar's Estate Vijayadurg.	0! 25	227	75	276	190	4,946 768	690	991 tile	1,5
	1		Total, Southern Divisio	n 1.00,403	1,83,810	8,795	99 (04		0.10.020	11,681	4,000	2,28,92
					-,00,010	3,7,00	23,404	2,700	2,13,239		3,000	
		}	Grand Total	2,24,880	3,84,454	69,394	96,951	6,818	5,57,617	40,135	96,000	6,33,733

II-concluded.

		· ·	-	Expend	liture.			·			
		·				,	·	·			
Government demands.	Cost of management.	Maintenance and education of ward.	Interest and rent.	Miscellaneous,	Total Gross Expenditure.	Loans advanced,	Other invest- ment.	Repayment of debta.	Grand total.	Closing balance.	Remarks.
13	14	15	. 16	17	18	19	20	21	22	ವ	51
Rs	Rs,	Ba.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Ra.	Re.	
11,837	2,847	8,127	•••	3,848	20,639	4,000	P14149 -	3,442	20,101	82,924	,
411.100	\$66.44g ,	A forfuse	*** ,		*****	***	******	******	A11106	***	Insolvent.
i 2,580	1,231	4,775	9,052	880	18,518	 }		3,958	22,476	1,567	
829	556	1,300	1,650	449	4,814		******	1,608	6,422	1,424	
4,114	8,905	******	***	14,026	22,045	1100	*****	tanta	22,045	06,858	
911114	446,14	and also		; ases===	· 181-6	•••		*****	*****	40	
,	i i		:			· {				****	
2,695	1,269	4,368	HI .	8,998	12,320	***	4,100	150	16,570	10,652	Also investment,
15,707	3,294	3,231	200	1,342	22,774			18,806	41,580	8,696	
73,101	2,209 57	194		66	317		******	20,000	817	121	
	67		, ***	4 1	57		*		57	279	
49	68	240	. 51	1,218	1,618		•	****	1,018	630	
3,458	837	(Mis 4)	***	1,459	5,754		10,270		18,954	8,070	Also investment shown in column & of Binte-ment I.
0.500		; .	·		4 015	}		·	4,315	7,228	Mene 1.
3,599 41 9	428	414418	1 ***	294	4,315		******	4***190	3,281	\$2,85 4	[
403	831	475	***	1,029	2,294 441	***	******	338	779	159	
103	26	******		, m		11-3	***	, manue	. *****	******	The estate came under management so late in the year that no figures
779 .			. 400	651	4,250	,,,,	******	600	4,850	1,240	Bre Bvailablo.
99	219	1,200	1,401	651	992	***		Berra	902	401	
05	824	458	***	***		- 1			·	,]
16,068	13,938	19,863	12,384	29,880	1,21,158	4,000	16,900	28,903	1,68,360	1,66,068	Also investment shown in solumn 14 of States
									•		mont L
94,708	86,164	70,158	13,972	1,33,769	8,39,669	4,000	29,000	1,65,120	5,87,789	8,60,843	

STATEMENT
Statement showing the efficiency of rent collection in estates

						Demands.			Collection.
Serial No.	Name of District	.	Name of Estate.		Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.
1	2	- -	3		4	5	6	7	8
	NORTHERN DIVISION.	- -		-	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re,
1			Shah Alam Estate	-	16,778	30,835	47,613	14,272	3,135
2	Do		Badshahi Divan Estate	- 	5,392	1,497	6,889	5,144	294
3	Do.		Rozka Estate		10,726	50	10,776	10,726	50
4	_	- 1	Bagad Estate		1,557	210	1,767	1,557	164
5	Do.		Parabdi Estate .				*****		******
6	Do,		Dhandhuka Estate .	••	*****	*****	*****	*****	1,5404
7	Do.		Tagdi Vavdi Estate .		12,267	107	12,874	11,759	51
, 8	Do ₄		Hirapur Estate		5,700	5,262	10,962	2,536	******
9	Kaira	•	Naika Estate .		\$,898	3,716	7,114	2,426	40,577
10	Do.		Kharanti Estate	•••	/ 412	201	613	317	21
11	Do.	•••	Nadiád Estate	•••	1,003	. 85	1,038	969	17
12	Do.	•••	Manjipura Estate		2,575	2,635	5,210	1,980	266
13	Do.	•••	Vanth vali Estate	•••	1,520	817	2,337	1,343	273
14	Do.	•••	Mahij Estate		*****	*****	******	•••••	
15	Do.		Napad Estate		000.01	******	*****		898471
16	Do.	•••	Bhetasi Estate	•••	3, 630	1,884	- 5,514	2,921	203
17	Do.	•••	Jhanod Estate		*******	*****	•	400114	•••**
18	Broach	•.	Dessi Estate		20,037	63,449	83,486	19,672	1,337
19	Do.	141	Osara Estate		2,137	28	2,165	2,137	6
20	Panch Mahals	P#1	Salis, Khatda and Kho	tda	1,095	41	1,136	1,056	100071
21	Do.	***	Gadakpur Estate		448	€5	511	, 417	4
22	Surat	•••	Mota Mandir Estate		1,775	******	1,775	1,775	,,,,,,,
23	Do.	••	Nagarseth Estate		200	900 ·os	200	200	*****
24	Do.	••	Hariprasad Vishnupras Estate.	sad	751	******	751	751	,
25	Do.	••	01 4-2 77 4-4		4,245	*****	4,245	4,245	,
26	Do.		Kazi Estate	•••	*49749	•••••	100-40	, 	
			Total, Northern Division		95,614	1,10,832	2,06,476	86,203	5,82

No. III.

snder Government management during the year 1910-11.

			Balance.		}				}
Total.	Amount written off.	Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.		Details of	l balances.		Remarks,
9	10	11	12	13			14		15
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				Ra,	
17,407	13,975	******	16,231	16,231	Old Current	***	' 100 101	14,030 2,201	
5,438	367	*****	1,084	1,084	Old Current	***	•••	873 211	
10,776	*****	•	*****	*****		•	****		
1,721	46	*****	*****	******			••••		
414-13	e e e = #	******	*****	***		•	**		Vide remarks in State ment I.
(931.24	b1.4700	4,04.08	*****	.,	1	•	****) ment x.
11,810	56	*****	508	508	Current	***	***	508	
2,536	770	•	7,656	7,656	Old Current		444	5,262 2,3 94	
2,426	613	273	8,802	4,075	Old Current	***	***	8,103 972	,
338	10	•,• ••	26 5	265	Old Current			170 95	
986	******	*****	52	52	Old Current	•••	•••	18 84	
2,246	1,137	*	1,827	1,827	Old Current	***	***	1,232 5 95	,
1,616	. 36	*****	685	685	Old Current			508 177	
11H09	******	141946	******	******		•			Vide remarks in State ment L
*****	******	. ******	******	100.50		•	*****	1.400	•
8,124	487	•••••	1,903	1,903	Old Current	***	***	1,402 601	•
*****	• = • = •	••••			,				Vide remarks in State
21,009	39,760	10,838	11,879	22,717	Old Current	• • •	•••	22,352 865	ment I.
2,143	****	22	**************************************	22	Old	400	***	22	
1,056		\$0,148	80	sor	Old Current	•••	***	41 39	
421	*9*4**		30	90	Old Current	•••		61 29	
3.5					Carens	• • •			1
1,778	. ******	, ******	*****		1				
200	*****		400	*****		•	•p• ••		
751	*****		*****	******		•	****		·
4,215	*****	******	*****	*****		•	*****		ļ
*****	******	*****	•••··•	940 ***			·· ··		
92,024	67,257	17,183	46,062	57,195	Old Current		•••	49,074 8,121	

						S	TATEMENT
				Demands.			Collection
Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	CENTRAL DIVISION.	,	Ra	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Ahmednagar	Javale Jahagirdar's Estate.	450	060000	450.	286	
2	East Khandesh	Bhusawal Inamdar's	11,582	74	11,656	10,622	25
		Estate.	· · ·				; [
3	Do	Gorwadkar Estate	172	- 63	235	170	
4	Vest Khandesh	B.Cainanan Franka	2,530	63 61	2,581	2,530	""""
5	Do	Kathi Estate	5,129	583	5,712	4,997	51 373
			0,120	, 		4,007	3/3
6	37	W		*• ?	4, 3		*
7	Násik	Málegaon Rajebahadur's Estate.	2,746	********	2,746	2,736	149101
1	100	Pingle of Trimbak	180120	*****	*****	*****	******
8.	Poona	The late Shri Baba Mahá- ráj of Poona,	****	******	900 ab		*****
9	Do	Potnis Estate	219	* 58	277	15	58
	, .	: :	i				
10	Do	Raste Estate	52,708	42,316	95,024	46,773	4,622
11	Sátára	Thorat Estate	15,587	†20 ,62 0	86,207	11,861	6,329
	į :		,	1201020		22,002	
		, , , , ,	•		, ,	l l	
						· · ·	
						,	
12	Do	Ghadge Estate ·	12,866	23,039	35,905	11,163	3,113
			12,000	20,000	50,800	11,100	
13	Do	Gujar Estate	1,165	8	1,173	1,116	8
14	Sholápur	Bubane Estate	1,159	2,202	3,361	1,134	1,717
	· ·		,	:			
						·	2008
		Total, Central Division	1,06,313	89,014	1,95,327	93,405	16,296
					1	}	
	SOUTHERN		-			<u> </u>	
1	DIVISION. Belgaum	Koujalgi Desgat				00.545	3,157
		Konlarki Deskar	31,955	6,121	38,076	30,545	
_	D :	Want at					
2 3	_	Astekar's Estate.	-				518
3	Do.	Nipáni Desgat	19,943	1,615	21,558	19,793	
4	Do.	Kokatnur Desgat	7,063	44444	7,069	7,069	,,, ess
5	Do	. Sirsangi Desgat	1	43,271	90,091	44,722	5,660
6	Do	Narsingrao Konhe					*****
7	, Do	Astekar's Estate.	******	*****	Bagner	0.001	3/1
		. Kowad Desgat	12,050	8,205	20,255	9,€24	
	1	1	l	1	l	ŀ	

No. III-continued.

			- Balance.					
Total.	Amount written off.	Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others,	Total.	Det	ails of balances	•	Remarks.
,	10	- 11	12	13	14			15
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	
286	10	*****	154	154	Current year	***	164	
10,647	~4+049	*****	1,009	1,009	Previous year	•••	49 960	
		<u> </u>	1		Current year	•••	1,009	
172			63	63	Previous year	•••	63	
2,581	141648	******	10111	*****				
5,370	*****		842	843	Previous year		210	
					Current year	•••	- 132	
0.500		}			Comment and		312 10	
2,736	******	*****	10	10	Current year	401	10	Vide Femurk against
	******	*****	0.00 + Eq.	******				this catate in col. II in Statement No. I.
192404	11-2-4	*****	******	404148		*****		Vide remark against this estate in State
73_	*****	842-09	204	204	Current year	•••	201	ment No. I. Last year's figures were incorrect. The
10		,						figures now supplied
51,395	*****	1001111	43,629	43,629	Previous year Current year	***	87,094 5,035	are final.
10 10h				10.015	Duoriana mass		43,629 14,291	† Airears shows under
18,190			18,017	18,017	Previous Jear Current year	***	3,726	the head "Demand"
			t				14,017	do not tally with those standing at the end of the past year
								The figures new given
								as villago accounts are incomplete. Definite
			•					fore be shown until the scrutiny is con-
14,276	101000	*****	21,629	21,629	Previous year	•••	19,925	plote.
,	4.1		-2,020	,	Current year	***	21,629	
1,124	946 +44	*****	49	49	Current year	•••	49	
2,851	40000		510	<i>5</i> 10	Provious year	***	485 25	
j		,			Current year	•••	<u>510</u>	
								-
09,701	10	***,,,	83,616	85,616	Previous year Current year	•••	72,718 12,598	1
	,			;			85,616	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
83,702				4 * * * *	D	•4•	Rs. 2,232	The smount in column 8
0,702	811	170406	3,560	3,560	Previous year Current year	***	1,325	includes an overcolless tion of Rs. 27.
1			İ				3,6 00	ļ
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****		*****	44.3	Insolvent.
20,311	983	***	264	264	Previous year Current year	100	122	}
700-	ľ		İ				264	
7,009 53,382	1.,,,,,	*****	B+#+**	141	n :	******	13,392	The amount in column 8
-4,002	24,368	••••	15,370	15,370	Previous year Current year	•••	1,978	includes an overcolle - tion of Ea. 29.
						491***	15,370	Insolvent.
10,015	···	424144		0 1 2 7	Previous year	****	5,639	
	2,103	73	8,004	8,137	Current Jear	***	2,208	

STATEMENT

				Demanda.		. <u> </u>	Collection
Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year,	On account of aircars.
1	2 .	8 .	4	5	6,	7	8
	SOUTHERN DIVISION—contd.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	R ₈ .	Rs.
8	Belgaum	Kurbet Desgat	29,256	35,208	64,464	28,445	1,485
9	Do	Nez Estate	408	****	408	408	•••
10	Do	Alaknur Desgat	376		376	813	1,,,,,
11	Do	Nerli Estate	80 3		803	803	ı form
12	Do.	Yadihalli Deshgat	10,716	4,118	14,834	10,470	1,654
13	Do	Yargath Desgat	10,863	Not known	10,863	10,863	******
11	Do	Hampannawar Estate	2,449	******	2,419	2,449	
15	Do	Hudli Estate	536	27	503	531	23
16	Do	Patki Estate			******	****	140
	1		1,73,244	98,565	2,71,809	1,66,035	12,898
. 17	Bijápur	Tignibidri Estate	4,250	87	4,237	4,158	32
18	Ratnágiri	Nevalkar's Estate at Vijayadurg.	847	109	4 56	183	68
		Total, Southern Division.	1,77,841	98,711	2,76,552	1,70,376	12,988
		Grand Total	3,79,798	2,98,557	6,78,355	3,49,981	85.105

No. III-continued.

			Balance.				1
Total	Amount written off.	Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.	Details of balances.		Remarks
9	10	11	12	13	14		15
Ba.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.			
						Re,	
29,930	7,679	******	26,888	26,888	Previous year Current year	26,5%5 803	The amount in column includes an overcollection of Rs. 33.
408	*****		*****	******	******	26,888	tion of its, 55,
313		***	G3	63	Current year	63	
					outrone year	00	
803	* *****	***		*****	454140		
12,124	454	467	1,789	2,256	Previous year Current year	2,256 Nel	
						2,256	
10,863	******	444000	******	808100	Previous arrears are not known.		
2,419	4405-0		••. •••	Datios			
554	*****	*****	. 9	9	Previous year	4 5	
bretag.	409400 ,	1	*****	5.010	•••••	9	The estate came under
							management so late in the year that no figures are available.
1,78,923	36,428	510	56,007	56,547	Provious arrears	Rs. 5,0430	The amount in column
		•			Current year's arrears	6,117	9 includes an over- collection of Rs. 89.
	. •	,				56,547	
4,190	*****	*** **	97	97	Rs. a. p. 2 8 0 of 1907-1908. 2 8 0 of 1903-1910.		
ĺ				,	92 0 0 of 1910-1911.		
251				205	97 0 0	Ra.	
201	******	*****	205	· 20 5	Previous year	41 164	
					-	203	
1,83,364	36,428	510	56,309	56,849	Current year's arrears	50,476 6,873	The amount in column 9 includes an over- collection of Rs. 89.
					,	56,849	
					•		

STATENI

Statement showing progress made in Liquidation of Deli

			Debts	Debts in-		Debts	cleared off in	previous ye	are,		Balare
Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	due on	previous years after	By incuring other debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by Creditors	From current revenue.	Total.	of delt; at the beginn; of the jar.
1	2	8	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1)	NORTHERN DIVISION. Shah Alam Estate	Rs. 12,266	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Re-	Rs. 4,752	Rs. 4,752	Ra.
2		Badeháhi Dewan Estate.	1		•••	***	******		•••	144	ige
	Ġ.										
3	Ahmedabad,	Rozka Estate	104			· •••	******	100	***	•••	p
4	A P	Bagad Estate	302		•••	•••	******	•••	802	302	****
5		Parabdi Estate		·· ·		•••	•67.00	•••	•••	•••	141771
6]}	Dhandhuka Estate			***	•••	*****	•••	•••	•••	
7		Tagdi Vavdi Estate	j	•••	•••	***	24,700	19,063	9,500	54,163	1.07.93
8) (Hirapur Estate		•••	•••	•••	******	•••	656	656	1,27,3
9)	Naika Estate	(•••	***	•••	*****		1,737	1,737	tepa
10 11		Kharanti Estate	F 001	600		***	100	0.100	696	3,972	1,6
41		Nadiad Estate	5,661	E 0 9°	***		1,167	2,109	030	0,012	
12		Manjipura Estate	12,829	1,811		•••			1,575	1,575	13,0
13	[4]	Vanthvali Estate		•••			10,000	•••		, 548	8,7
14	Kaira,	Mahij Estate					10.100		•	•••	,,,,,,
15		Napad Estate	••••		•••	•••	101,101		;··	141	616-17
16		Bhetasi Estate	3,744	•••			******		•••	149	3,7
17	J ·	Jhanod		.,,		***	******	***	•••	•••	91011
18) न्	Desai Estate	7,716	232	•••	· · · ·	*******	•••	7,848	7,848	I
19	Broach.	Osara Estate	23,862	1,674		***	404		2,600	2,600	
20	Panch Maháls.	Salia, Khabda an Kotda Estate.	d 25,702			25,346	*****	•••		25,346	1
2 l	} ਕੜੀ	Gadukpur Estate	10,338	•	·	•••		•••		,,,	10,
22		Mota Mandir Estate	91,866		448	. 31,356	estist .	10½	4 3,436	74,792	*}
23		Nagarseth Estate	34,020			***	197114		20 319	. 20,319	13,
24	ta	Hariprasad Vishno	5,750		•••	3,592		936	1,222	5,750	
25	Eurat.	prasad Estate. Shastri Estate					. 494944	30,980		g0,980	. 65
26		Kazi Estate .	. 23,039	•••		1			···		g
		Total, Norther Division	n 5,46,820	3,717	, , ,	60,204	25,867	53,988	94,643	2,34,792	3,15

Court of Wards Estates during the year 1910-11.

Additional		Debts pa	id off during curre	at year.			Date by which it was expected on	
debts incurred during the year.	By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.	Balance.	assumption of management that estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks.
13	14	. 13	16	17	18	19	20	21
Rs. 979	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs. 5,493	Rs 3,000	1913	
(Interest)	100149		******	*** **	11111	10,435	1912	This does not represent
	,	, *	•		••••••			the amount of debt really due by the catate. The creditors' accounts are being examined under the Dekhkan Agriculturists'
141		'	*****	****	104	*****	1	Relief Act.
	******	•••••	*****	***1**		****	******]
141748	*****	******	#00	*****	*****	*****	10000	Vide remarks in States
***	*****	, •••···	049081	*****	*****		*****	S
*****	0,0040		*****	* *** **	*****	******	*****	
** ***	+es 09	*** 49.6	40,000	441,	3,541	1,23,748	More than 20 years,	
*****	*****		,,,,,,	******	******			1
*****	*****	***			,	*****		
800†		-2-246	103	350	160	1,876	1914-15 .:	. † Claim allowed to be sub- mitted under section 14 (3) of the Court of Wards Act.
******	*44***	x 4 p ++ +	2,478	, 444	1,760	8,827	1920-21	Aco.
100 (Interest)	E4+1+1	*****	2,479	1,254	414	4,692	1918-19	
(100ct68£)	*****	******	*****	*** •	******	••••d•		Vide remarks in State-
411100	******	` + d. +44		*****	400441	Postes	•••	The estate has been recent- ly taken under manage- ment and notice under section 14 (1) of the Act
******	30,000	0.057.00	****	1,013	*****	2,701	1916-17	he not yet been issued,
14114	100100	*****		* ******	*****	100000	*****	
Cost, of suit)	***,	*****	-1.105		191	14.200		
- 1					36	22,900	1939-40	
*******	>> 66		444100			856	1000-40	
	•44***	404100	110410	•••••	*****			
(Interest)	se-red	****	•••••		673	9,900	•=•	mi . 1 1
149 .,	*****	** ** **		*****	94144	17,074		The debt will be paid up when the funds become available probably this year.
******	*****	б,197		944***	*****	£,50 š	*** **	Arrangements are bring made to pay off the debts by sals of moveable pro- perty.
•••••	*****	10 000	*****	•		100.00	***.**	
40,000	40,000	12,994	*****	*****	2,628	40,000	. > + + +	There is no debt other than the Government loan which is to be paid up according to the
109104	805-p0	, g. 0 pg			39	23,000 (approximate)		up according to the settled scheme. A sait is pending in Civil Court, the decision in which will determine the exact amount of debt due on the mortgage and then it will be considered how
								the delat should be
12.210	40,000	18,191	5,060	2,617	15,044	2,77,013		liquidated.

в 1668—10

			Debts	Debts in- curred in		De	ebts settled in	ı previous ye	ars.		Bala
erial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	due on	previous years after charge was	By incurring other debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by Creditors.	From current revenue.	Total.	oi d at t tegio of se
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9.	10	11	1
		CENTRAL DIVISION.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	1
1	Ahmed- nagar.	Javale Jahagirdar's	5,425	•••	***	•••	*****	•••	•••	•••	
2	East Khándesh.	Bhusával Inámdár estate.	80,538	833	***	•••	5,143	1,885	8,342	15,370	,
8	Kha F	Gorwádkar estate	•••	•••	···	***		•••	***	***	
4	West Khándesh.	Raisingpur estate	J6 7	***	***	•••	****	18	149	167	
5	Kbár (Kathi	15,589	•••		***	******	•••	•••	710	
6	Násik.	Malegaon Rajebaha dar's estate.	47 8,629	8,000			**************************************		100	100	
7	} [Pingle of Trimbak .	12,398	•••	•••	•••	*****				
8		The late Shri Bal Maharaj of Poona.	a	•••			\$40++9 - ***	•••	. 	***	•
9	Poons.	Potnis estate	99,721	***	•••		******	; .	1,517	1,517	
10		Raste estate .		•••			··			***	
11		↑ Thoratest±te .	92,699 Details: 92,703 626	Reported 1	a st year.	daring the	1,905 year.	•••		1,905	
12	Satúra.	Ghadge estate		Already r	e ported las	year.	year.	•••	6,000	6 ,coo	
13		Gujar .	59,501 43,633	1			*****			•••	
14	Sholápur	. Babane estate .	†83,010					50	50	100	
		Total, Centr Division	al								_

Additional		Debts settle	ed during curre	ent year.			Date by which it was	
during the year.	By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court,	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.	Balance.	expected on assumption of management that estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks.
13	14	15	16.	17	18	19	20	21
.Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra,		
201100	345,16	•••••		*****	******	5,425	16 years, i.s., in 1926	Vide remark against column 15 in Statement I
	514·00	.440	2 3 4 49 gr	*****	****	65,501	1919 A. D.	
							,	
	******	4000-0			*** #\$	***	******	There are no debts to the estate-
+10100	*****	*****	******	+54140		•••	•	
140-11	/ ******	*******	******	******	*****	15,589	As the late Chieftain did not keep any accounts of his debts they are yet	
	;			•			to be settled. Probable date by which the estate will be free from debt cannot therefore be ascertained.	
• 2,000	· ******	* ******	47,123	21,581	1,665	13,160	About the year 1935	The figure of Rs. 50,000 reported last year was a rough estimate. The
1		-				, .		actual old debt was found to be Rs. 78,629.
.			1					
•••••		*****	504040	•••••	******	12,3 98	Abcut 1955 A. D.	
****	241 4 48	******	***	100140	444108	•••		
50,000	49,006	1,517	******	47,691	6,185	43,815	1930 A. D.	<u> </u>
*****	440,41				******	•••	*****	There are no debts to the estate.
***	*****		14,109	909	12,604	63,172	948195	
******	•••••	*******	18,262	*******	5,000	30,242	About 12 years.	
			,					
****	******		*****			43,633	About 20 years.	
440.48	******	*****		•••••	*****	82,910	The estate was hopelessly involved and was therefore given up.	†The figure of Rs. 78,122 reported last year was a rough estimate. The figures now supplied are final.
52,000	49,006	1,517	79,494	70,171	25,454	3,75,945		

			Debts	Debts in- curred in		De	ebts settled in	previon s y es	rs.		Balauce
Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	due on	previous years after charge was	By incurring other debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by Creditors.	From current income.	Total.	of dela at the beginning of year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		SOUTHERN DIVISION.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ri,
1) (Koujalgi Desgat	37,304 1,180		•••	•••	*****	***	84,433	34,433	2,571 1,151
2		Venkatrao Konhe Astekar's estate.	61,837	•••	•••	•••	•••••	***	***	4++	67:21
3		Nipani Desgat	. 2,21,818 727	1,75,800	1,75,000	•••	10.1941	32,979	5,728	2,13,707	1,83,911 7:7
4		Kokatnur Desgat	54,759 300	80,000	21,471	••• •••	2,000	12,8!5	160	26, 44 6	48,313 300
5		Sirsangi Desgat	71,951	***	•••	••• .	*****	198	66,627	66,825	5,1%
6		Narsingrao Konhe Astekar's estate.	1,75,529	205	•=•		******	•	205	205	1,75,52
7		Kowad Desgat	. 2,746		•••	***	752		1,844	2,596	15
8	il i	Kurbet Desgat	47,679 75,514	1,361	••.	***	****	***	8,517	. 3,517	45,52 7 5,51
9	i i	Nej estato .	21,563	96	•••	•••	12.605	•••	54	5 4	21,6
10	Belgaum.	Alakhnur Desgat .	26,445	56		•••	******	•••	137	137	26,3
11		Nerli estate		60	•••	•••	*****	•••	. 60	60	
12		Yadihalli estate .		***	. ***	•	******	•••	***	•••	•
13		Yargatti Deshgat .	•	***	•••	•••	441	***	109	•••	
			. }	1							
14		Hampan Naws	r •••	. 400		•••	*****	4 68	***	184	,,,,,,,
15		Hudli estate .	338	***	***		******	•••	•••		3
16		Pakki estate .		846	•••	•••	****	•••.	4***	***	
		Original liabilities	1	1							6,71,5
	} {	Claims received during the year.	77,721	2,07,578	1,96,471	***	2,752	45,992	1,12,765	9,57,980	6,40,
			7,99,690	<u>ال</u>							0,33,7
17	Bijápur	Tignibidri estate	26,796 885 53	Loans and	23,699 or the Land or the Agric	Improvem ulturists' L	ent Leans Ac	3,097 t.	2,000 559	Tagai. 29,355	23; Tu
			27,734						2,559		23,
	ſ	Original liabilities	1)							5,94
ľ	Total, S. D.	Claims received durin the year.		2,32,578	2,20,170	••	2,752	49,089	1,15,324	3,87,3 ³⁵	6,72
- 1	(Total	. 8,27,424	H	1	ŀ	1	1	۱.	1	15,37

IV-continued.

		Debts settl	ed during curr	ent year.			Date by which it was	-
Additional debts incorred during year.	By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.	Balance.	excepted on assumption of management that estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Ra	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Ra.		
4144	•••••	******	eas+s4	609	3,442	••• .	*******	
******	*****	1010-15	*****	****	••	61,837	Ipsolvent.	
145449		•••••	658	16	3,958	1,80,006	Debts will be cleared in 28 years.	
teps*		*****	14,961		1,608	82,044	Debts will be cleared in 22 years.	
segrab	, topics	tes	448410	•••••	******	5,126	Deb's will be cleared in a year.	
*#***	*****	*****	201144	******	. 346+16	1,75,529	Insolvent.	
. 61-158	444546	45.50\$	*****	444	150	•••		
4,000	4,000	*****	7,405	12,453	14,806	86,373	Debts will be cleared in 19 years.	
1Hece	*****	*****	******	11818 6	149009	21,605	Debts will be cleared in 20 years.	
161 41	*****	* ******		******	******	26,864	Do.	
194106	**************************************	*****	******	•••।••	*****	•••		
416:40	******	*****	******	*****	*****	***	9.01+69	
1858.00	•••••	510.00		. •••••	B44148	•••	**,***	The liabilities of this estate are not known as the period of notice under section 14 (i) of the Court of Wards Act has
******	,				******	100	****	not yet expired.
19868	******	******	•	7.1010	338	***	Vide remark against Estate No. 13.	
	******	*****		,			Do.	
, mm	******	******	*****		101100			
4,000	4,000	•••••	23,024	13,078	24,302	5,88,884		
Herse	••••	••••••	******	,.,,.,	600 Tagai. 134	22,400 Tagai. 245	0.00.00	In 23 years.
					734	22,645		
4,000	4,000	*******	23,024	13,078	25,036	6,11,529		
\frac{1}{3,210}				65,8 96	65,534	12,64,387		
	93,006	19,708	1,07,578	99,630			<u> </u>	

Sind.

From

M. WEBB, ESQUIRE, I. C. S.,

COURT OF WARDS FOR THE PROVINCE OF SIND;

To

THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

I have the honour to submit the annual report on the working of the management of private estates by the Court of Wards for the year ending 31st July 1911.

Number of estates under management.

Number of estates under management.

Twenty-four new estates were admitted during the year and one was released on the owner attaining his majority. There were therefore 85 estates under management at the close of the year.

Of the new estates, eleven were transferred from the guardianship of the Collectors and District Judges, and 13 were admitted on applications made to the Court of Wards direct.

At the opening of the year 15 applications for superintendence were pending, and 54 more were received during the course of the year, making a total number of 69 for disposal. Of these 48 were disposed of during the year, 13 estates being admitted and 35 rejected. Six more have been disposed of since, only one estate being admitted.

- 3. In spite of the increase in the number of estates under management, the income from rents of land shows a decrease from Rs. 2,03,594 to Rs. 1,82,523. Most estates show an increased income under this head, and the apparent decrease is due to the fact that about Rs. 30,000 received from the Collector of Lárkána in 1909-10 to be credited to estate No. 25 were shown as rents for that year, whereas they appear to have been realised from the produce of 1908-09. There has however been a real decrease in the income of some of the Lárkána estates owing to the increase of assessment under the new settlement.
- 4. Expenditure shows an increase of Rs. 37,422 over last year. This is partly due to the larger number of estates under management and partly to the fact that the expenses of management for last year have been debited and recovered this year.
- 5. The rents collected are smaller in proportion to the demand than last year. The results are however more creditable to the collection of estates' dnes. collecting agency than would appear from the figures in Statement III. Out of a total demand of Rs. 1,95,967 for the year under report and Rs. 12,557 on account of arrears, the balances uncollected on July 31st were Rs. 22,414 and Rs. 3,557, respectively, or in all Rs. 20,001. Of this amount Rs. 10,500 were under postponement, Rs. 3,000 are due from one estate to another, Rs. 5,936 are due from a rich but recalcitrant zamindár of the Lárkána District, against whom special measures will probably have to be taken, and Rs. 2,783 have since been recovered. The balance under normal process of recovery is only Rs. 3,782, an amount which calls for no special notice.

Some progress has been made in the recovery of old debts, Rs. 17,354 having been collected. The recovery of such debts, which are generally small and are often disputed, is not easy, and several methods are under trial in the hope of finding one that is both successful and economical.

6. Government dues on account of assessment for the year under report amounted to Rs. 79,473. Rs. 9,475 were also due on account of arrears of assessment and Rs. 776 on account of takávi instalments. Out of the total of

Rs. 89,724, Rs. 84,177 were paid during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 5,547 as under:—

Rs.
Assessment 4,771
Takávì 776

7. The cost of management for 1909-10 which was recovered this year was Rs. 13,083, the percentage on the gross income being 7.92.

Advances granted to cultivators.

8. Rs. 1,020 were advanced for cultivation expenses. The balance of Rs. 17 outstanding at the end of last year has been recovered.

Maintenance and education of Wards.

9. The total amount of Rs. 27,504 shown under this head was spent as under:—

				Rs.
Cash for maintenance	•••	•••	•••	25,402
Produce adjusted to ma	intenance	•••	•••	730
Education charges	•••	•••	•••	1,372

There are 96 male wards whose estates are under management. Of these 32 are not of school-going age, and 59 are receiving education of some sort, including two, who are reading the Koran. Five only are not studying at all, and arrangements have now been made to send two of these to school.

Repayment of debt and existing liabilities. which needs no comment. As the income that may be expected from each estate becomes clearer in the course of management, arrangements are being made to pay off interest-bearing debts by loans raised at a lower rate of interest. Considerable progress in this direction is hoped for during the coming year.

Sixty-four claims were filed during the year for a total amount of Rs. 60,003. Of these 37 were decided, Rs 43,263 being allowed. Twenty-seven were pending at the close of the year, of which 9 were awaiting the receipt of a legal opinion, 4 the settlement of some complicated shop accounts, and nearly all the rest had been filed after the end of the touring season and will be decided when a hearing can be fixed at a convenient distance for the parties.

Rs. 93,000, but there is still an uninvested balance of two and a quarter lakhs. A large part of this must be kept in hand to meet the current expenses of estates, but even of the sum thus kept it has been found possible to invest a portion in fixed deposits for short periods with the Bank of Bombay, now that the Remembrancer of Legal Affairs has ruled that the Court of Wards is not a trustee within the meaning of section 3 of the Indian Trusts Act. Under the same ruling advances are being made from the richer estates to those estates under the Manager and Court of Wards which require them.

As in the Incumbered Estates office, the experiment has been tried with your permission of recording and filing correspondences on a system of currents suggested by Mr. F. G. Pratt, L. C. S. The experiment appears to have been a complete success, and it is hoped that it will render impossible the accumulation of unfiled and unsorted records which has occurred in the office of the Manager.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. WEBB,

Court of Wards for the Province of Sind.

STATEMENT No.: I.

STATEMENT

Statement showing the general position of each Edd

		1			1	1	
Serial No.	Name of Distric	t. Name of Estate.	Name of Wards.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the Estate.	Cultivatel area
1	2	3	4	5	3	7	8
					Years.	Acres.	Acni
1	Karáchi	Tarumal	Tarumal, son of Jethomal.	B+11+ag	25		
_		{	Rajhumal, son of Dhaman-	947078	17	*****	jj.on
			mal. Mamabai, daughter of	Transferred to estate	*****		401163
			Kakumal. Totalbai, daughter of		*****	404	tue
	D-	Abdulla	Kakumal.	31st October 1910). (00		
2	Do	Abdulla	Abdulla, son of Ahmed		22	} 111	96
	D-	V W	Allahdino, son of Rahim- khan.]	20)	
3	_	The	Yar Mahomed, son of Tayab.	Transferred from Col-	10	109	n
. 4	_	(1) 15-wi	Assudalla Shah.	tion 6 of Bombay	13	193	74
5		Gulam Murtaza	of Sayad Mahomed Shah.		8	3,159	1,475
6	Do	Mamon	Mamun valad Saleh Mahomed.		15	} 196	126
	,		Zainah, daughter of Falch Mahomed.	F 1	11)	n: £
7	.	Shahabudiu Shah	Satabo Shah.		16	1,102	71.5 i ni
8	Do	Chulam Mahomed	Ghulam Mahomed, son of Haji Abdullah.	Transferred under section 6 of Act I of 1905	13	81	31
9	Do	Mamabai	Mamabai, daughter of Kakumal. Totalbai, daughter of Kakumal.	(Commissioner's sanction dated 27th June 1910). Commissioner's No. (560, dated 31st) October 1910.	17 15	,	teant
			ľ	Total	\$44	4,951	2,578
10	Hyderabad .	Ahmadalikhan	Ahmadalikhan, son of Chakarkhan) (11	441	145
11	Do	Hyderali	17		9	898	197
12	Do.	Bijar Khan	Bijarkhan Mahomad- khan	Sind's order, dated 27th June 1910.	14	497	223
13	Do	Gulam Rasul	Gulam Rasul valad Ali	}	8	596	141
14	Do	Sajan	Nawaz Khan. Sajan valad Alibakah) (, 19	385	23
15	Do.	Fakirmahomed	Fakir Nahomed valad		15	208	169
16	Do	Jeram	Mahomedali. Jeram valad Kirpal		17	65	. 13
17	Do.	Bhugro	Bhugro valad Towar	Transferred under section 6 of Act I of	9	161	34
18	Do	Misri	Misri valad Gulam Maho- med.	1905, 1st February 1910.	8	367	154
19	Do	Abdul Hakim	Abdul Hakim, son of Mahomed Khan.	} !	21	h	} 30
	1		Allahobhayo, son of		17	79	, "
	}		Mahomed Khan. Nurmahomed valad Mahomed Khan.) {	15) ·	
20	Do.	Mirza Ali Akbar Beg	Mirza Ali Akbar Khan	'n	23	} .	ĺ
		1	Mahomed Hussein Beg		20		
			Rassan Beg	Commissioner in Sind's sanction,	10	}}	83)
		}	Musamat Benn Begum	dated 26th May 1908 (the wards.	63	2,190	87.
	j		, Zainu Nissa	being declared in- capable of manage	48		Ì
			" Firoz Begum	ing their affairs).	18		
			" Mahir Nissa	} '	13	' }	

No. I.
under Government management for the year 1910-11.

Total assessment.	ssessment, Demand including	Total gross income recovered.	expenditure.	Balance.			
		income	Oxpenditure.	Invested.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Remarks,
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	· Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
*****	335	987	10,885		C,523	******	Buperintenden o of the estate o Tarmual with
·····		*****	*** **		******	•••••	Tarmual with
******	4*****		******	•••	*****		
*****	•••••				*****	10000	İ
	}	,	• ,				ļ
201	801	833	523		3,106		ļ
138	287	100	164		564		
301	691	801	1,073	800	504		
2,496	4,469	4,436	4,577		,2,255	*****	
2:5	466	466	650		523	•••••	
1,271	8,262	3,257	10,288	,	1,331	•••••	
130	279	465	180		285	*****	
,]			
	147	16 1	370		4,557	*****	Difference the figure area show last ye
4.772	10.737	11,509	28,740	800	19,651		(1909-10) at that in t
		919	781		181	******	current you is due to t
	1	1,505	1,083		422		errom sin rectified.
579	ı	1,479	1,239	•••	240		
890	1	1,109	614		495		1
55	265	276	374		86 3	•	ļ
567	1,252	1,266	701		1,761	•••••	
80	269	261	212	•••	236	******	İ
126	275	851	728	16,140		••••	\
449	981	1,040	620	,	1,047	*** ''	
. 91	284	274	827	•••	527	*****	<u> </u>
2,715	9,780	8,667	9,150		693	124	The name also in the l year's sta ment was mistake.
	Rs	Rs. Rs	Rs. Rs. Rs. 335 987 201 801 833 138 287 100 301 691 801 2,496 4,469 4,436 2:5 466 466 1,271 3,262 3,257 130 279 465 147 164 4,772 10,737 11,509 872 919 919 677 1,505 1,505 579 1,492 1,479 390 1,160 1,109 55 265 276 567 1,252 1,266 80 269 261 126 275 851 449 981 1,040 91 234 274	Rs. R	Rs. R	Rs. R	Ra. Rs. Ra. R

	95. 1						
erial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Wards.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Cultivated
1	2	3	4	5	6 .	7	8
					Years.	Acres.	Acres
21	Hyderabad-	Ali Nawaz	Ali Nawaz valad Khuda- baksh.	Commissioner's sanction, dated 26th January 1910.	. 10	301	163
22	Da	Kabul Fazul Fakir Mahomed Fazul.	Kabul valad Fazul Fakir Mahomed valad Fazul.	Transferred from Col- lector, Hyderabad, under section 6 of Act I 1905 (Commissioner's	22 15	257	133
		Saleh Fazul	Saleh valad Fazul	No. 25, dated 12th January 1911).	12	}	
2 3	Do	Ramkishen	Ramkishen valad Menghraj. Talaidas valad Jamhadas .	section 6 of Act I of 1905 (Commis-	13	301	. 92
			Jampabai, daughter of Jampadas.	deted Ath March	6		04
24	Do	. Tirithdas	Tirithdas valad Manikrai.	Commissioner's No. 169 dated 30th March 1911,		*** **	N
25	Do	Budhal Shah	Budhal Shah valad Usman Shah	Commissioner's No. 276 dated 22nd May 1911.	8	******	,,,,,,,
26	Do	Udhavdas	Udhavdas valad Choto- wal.	Lunacy Act XXXV o 1858 (Commissioner' No. 400, dated the 28t June 1911).	a	** 100	.,,,,,,
		Ï		Total	•••••	6,246	2,43
27	Thar and Párka	Ahmedali Shah	Ahmedali Shah valad Mahomedali Shah. Musamat Barran, daughter of Kurhamali Shah.	11	17	} 188	11.
28	Do	Nihal Khan	Nihal Khan valad Jurio Khan. Musamat Rasti, daughter of Jurio Khan. Musamat Huri, daughter of Jurio Khan. Ahsanali Khan, son of	Transferred under section 6 of Act I of 1905. 1st Februs-	17 16 7 13	8,029	87
29	Do	Sayad Khan	Jurio Khan. Sayad Khan valad Kama Khan. Musamat Janat, daughter	11	14	1,408	51
30	Do.	Khudadost	of Kamal Khan. Khudadost valad Nab Bux. Musamat Kakim, daughter of Nabi Bux.		13	1,844	68
81	Do	Dhaniparto .	Dhaniparto Valad Maho med Hayat.	Commissioner's sanctio No. 100, dated 24t February 1911.	n h		, N
82	Do.	. Samun	Samum valad Iso	Commissioner's sensition			,,,,,
33	Do	Hasraj	Hasrsj valad Ramchand	tion No. 298, dated	10	}	
				Total .		6,468	-
34 85	_		Gokaldas Mevaldas Wahidbux, son of Illahi	Transferred under	15	8,068 21,965	8,4
86	Do		bux. Nabibux, son of Illahibux Amarsing valad Khushi ram.	of 1905, 1st Feb-	10	\$1,903	

I-continued.

21.4	Total	Total Land Revenue	Total gross	Total gross	Ba	lance.		
Uncultivat- ed area-	assessment.	Demand including cesses.	income recovered.	expenditure.	Invested.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Remarks.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	
136	512	1,117	1,119	697	•••	6()6	******	
124	834	494	494	3 52	Nac	198	•••••	Difference in the figure of area shown last year (1909-10) and
209	189	2,999	2,881	8,523	•••	— 590	95	that in the current year is due to the errors since rectified.
produce.	******	68	243	200747	***	213	400440	
***	*****	*****	900-10	*****	***	*****	******	No produce.
***	*****	******	- es-sada	•••••	•••	******	*****	Do.
3,822	7,136	1 22,860	22,884	20,471	16,140	9,275	219	
73	444	794	802	906		555	124	
2,149	3,079	8,779	8,864	4,691	•••	11,582	400	
859	1,560	2,848	2,878	2,109	•••	2,855	e p g 100	Difference in
955	8,261	6,052	6,933	3,2 61		3,672	894104	the figure of area shown last year (1909-10) and that in the
estate.	1,006	1,225	` 1,215	740	•••	475	******	is due to the
Do.	174	174	149	149		000	******	rectiful
Do,	68	63	0.00 POE	74		7,112	40	}
4,038	9,592	19,935	20,841	11,870		28,251	124	
2,039	8,530	9,324	10,826	6,245	16,640	17,046	*****	
13,543	31, <u>444</u>	73,094	70,198	54,657	56,700	58,108	******	
4	115	859	. 872	1,541	1,604	3,855		

в 1663—13

						i .		
Serial No.	Name of Distr	ict.	Name of Estate.	Name of Wards.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Cultivated
1	2	-	3	4	5	6	7	8
						Years.	Acres.	Acres,
37	Lárkána—con	tđ.	Wahidbaksh	Wahidbaksh valad Sujawal	۱ (20	14	12
38	Do.		Sidik ,	Sidik walad Yako		12	26	25
89	Do.	•••	Parusing	Parusing valad Manjsing .	1	9	56	
				Salamatrai valad Manj-		7	S	24
40	Do.	•••	Ali Hassan	1 4 9 7 9 9 1	t i	16	1,733	722
				Mst. Zeins, daughter of Dost Mahomed.	į į	14] 2,000	"-
41	Do.	• •••	Sher Mahomed	Sher Mahomed valad Gulam Hyder.		16	709	888
42	Do.	•••	Piral	180 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1		16	} 98	84
				Azim valad do		8)	
43	Do.		Sadhu	Sadhu valad Sital	\$	14	49	49 Distant
44	Do.	•••	Bachan	Mst. Bachan, daughter of Khudabux.	1	20	*****	Discharged appointed guar
45	Do.	•••	Allahwaryo	Allahwaryo valad Khuda- baksh.		17	} 83	68
]			Makbul do	I MAR O OF WELL OIL	14		
46	Do.	•••	Rewachand	Revachand Tavarmal	1905, 1st February 1910.	18	154	81
47	Do.	•••	Ahmed	Ahmed valad Yusif	}	18	653	366
48	Do.		Nek Mahomed	Met. Alam Khatun, daugh- ter of Darhum Khan.		19]	
				Nek Mahomed valad Gulam Mahomed.	ĺ	3	529	381
	_			Mst. Janat, daughter of Gulam Mahomed.	·	5) -70	150
49	Do.	•••		Imambaksh valad Ali Navaz		18	172	33
50 -1	Do.		Mahomed Saleh	Saindad.	1	, 11	59	
51	Do.	•••	D ₀	Mato.	l l	11	22	20
				Mst. Mithan, daughter of Mato. Shah Mahomed valad	1	13		
82	Do	101	Mst. Bachi	Alaco.	1	11	5	
				Allahando. Mat. Begum, daughter of	11 [17	25	12
				Allahando. Mat. Nurkhatun, daughter	11 1	9)j	
				OI Allahando.	J			99
53	Do.	***	Sabhro	Haji Sabhro, son of Razikdin.	Commissioner's sanction, dated 17th March 1910.	8	165	
54	Do.	•••	Thariomal	Thariomal Topanmal		17	Under dispi	te.
04			I nariomal		Commissioner's sanction, dated 2nd May 1910.	1	Onder and	
55	Do.	••	Mahomed Massood	Khush Mahomed	h (28]	
	İ			Khair Mahomed valad Khush Mahomed.	Commissioner	24		1 21
			}	Abdulla valad Khush Mahomed.	L dened Toot welch	14	75	
				Mst. Janat, wife of Khush Mahomed.	I ander section 5.	57		
				Mst. Sardarkhatun, daugh- ter of Khush Mahomed.) {	17	۲	101
£6	Do.	•••	Amrah Khatun .,	Amrah Khatun, daughter of Gulam Hyder.	Commissioner in Sind's sanction, dated 27th June 1910.		116	104
	}							

1-continued.

	Total	Total Land Revenue	Total gross income	Total gross	E	Salance.		
Incultivated area.	assessment.	Demand including cesses.	income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Invested.	Uninvested	Total liabilities.	Remarks
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	18	17
Acres.	Ŗ e	Re.	Rs.	. Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
2	48	298	801	273	***	270		
1	90	418	290	318	•••	968	1111111	
32	75	831	334	271		354	2,580	
1,011	2,876	6,938	7,228	9,387	30,908	8,343		
323	1,174	4,222	3,381	2,933	8,433	2,826	*****	
14	406	906	918	648	2-0	1,280	******	
	142	892	400	801	,	797	1,225	
ſ	n 40 and her ection 41 of Ac	husband t I of 1905.	389	8,173		103	1-44-0	
15	206	876	378	350	•••	219	*****	
73	272	554	594	672		228	1,782	
287	525	3,5 85	2,173	2,330	•••	6,898		
148	1,843	2,317	9,017	2,630	7,240	15,645		
	242		704	734		5,323	142	
22 26	6 42 125	1,444 872	738	341		242	1,217	
20	125	5/2						
2	130	350	355	299	•••	440	-	
13	48	91	. 91	51,	•••	40		
€6	344	591	572	453	-40	149	050+04	
	141	144	268	152	•••	214	•••••	
	•							
51	97	195	895	452	***	266		
		,		•				
12	437	1,079	1,020	779		241	4941	

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Wards.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward,	Total area of the estate.	Cultivated area.
1	. 2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Years.	Acres,	Acra,
Б7	Lárkáns—contd.	Lachmibai	Lachwibai daughter of Ruchandmal,	Transferred under section 6 of Act I of 1905. Com- missioner's No. 331, dated 21st June 1910.		136	103
58	Do	Arstmal	Aratmal valad Bashomal	Transferred under section 6 of Act I of 1905 Com- missioner in Sind's sanc- tion No. 600, dated 7th December 1910.		41274 6	reres
59	Do	Darya Khatum	Darya Khatum, daughter of Kadirbus.	Commissioner in Sind's No. 20, dated 10th January 1911.	. 8	. 92	51
60	Do	Misrîshah ••	Misrishah valad Laishah . Mahomedshah valal Laishah. Janat, daughter of Laishah.	Commissioner in Sind's sanction No. 101, dated 24th February	3 1 2	Newly	came und
			91.00	Total	311-99	80,037	12,494
61	Sakkur	Gulam Kadir	. Gulam Kadir valad Gulam) }	18	2,504	1,337
62	Do	Dharamdas	Hyder. Dharamdas valad Kaliandas. Sabhraf Do.		16 : 14	21	21
63	Do	Gulam Mustafa .	Devidas Do Gulam Mustafa valad Azimshah. Arbab Shahvalad Azimshah.		12 21 19] 1,350	419
64	Do	Pirdino .	Pirdino Shah valad Khair Mahomed Shah. Nawazalishah valad Khair Mahomed Shah.	 	11 10	375	6
65	Do	. Nawazali .	Nawazali valad Fakii Khair Mahomed, Hassanalli valad Fakii Khair Mahomed Mumtazali valad Fakii Khair Mahomed, Mat, Hakma, daughter of Fakir Khair Mahomed.		15 13 9 16	173	77
66	Do.	Abdul Khalik	Abdulkhalik valad Maho med Hassan, Mst. Gulan, daughter o Mabomed Hassan, Mst. Sabih, daughter o Mahomed Hassan. Mst. Vaziran, daughter o	of 1905, on lat February 1910.	15 26 24 22	162	#
67	Do	Hadibaksh ,	Mahomed Hassan. Hadibaksh valad Rasul baksh.	•	15	No	
68	Do	Harun Khan	Harun Khan valad Abdu Halim. Mst. Shuhal, daughter o Abdul Halim.] [16	72	d
69	Do	Mir Hassan	Mir Hassan valad Nu Shah.	13	11	• 169	6
70	Da.	Gulam Hyder	Gulam Hyder valad Pir Al Mahomed Shah. Bibi Alam Khatun, daugh ter of Pir Ali Md. Shah, Bibi Atibah Khatun daughter of Pir Al Mahomed Shah.		6 10 8	1,118	á

I-continued.

- 1/24	Total	Total Land Revenue	Total gross	Tatal	I I	Salance.		
Uncultivat ed area.	assessment.	Demand including cesses.	recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Invested.	Univested.	Total liabilities.	Remarks
9	10	11	12	13	14	16	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Be.	
28	466	1,557	1,567	616		1,589	746	
•••	94	265	2 6 5	158	100	724	222	
41	834	369	369	334	•••	85	207	
Su pe rinten	dence.	85	35	***************************************	•••	85	60	
17,813	45,602	1,10,606	1,14,168	95,098	1,21,525	1,20,737	8,129	
1,167	5,1 89	9,450	9,844	12,838	4,000	18,309	******	
•••	37	1, 51 3	2,695	2,202	27,500	1,651	******	
931	,1,363	8,019	2,528	2,591	***	8,188	4.17	
811	241	471	529	1,172	•10	1,061	4,330	
96	881	1,004	1,074	629	488	1,168	4,700	٠.
· ·								
114	200	440	569	835	732	610	******	
la	nd.	491	580	1,073	•••	29 7		
8	817	1,033	1,052	642	•••	2,096		
41	169	340	3 45	831	***	118		
549	1,915	4,273	4,297	2,268	***	5,135	au 1949	
			-					

в 1658—14

erial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Name of Wards.	Date and ground on which management was assumed.	Age of Ward.	Total area of the estate.	Cultivated area.
1		8	4	5	. 8	7	8
	<u> </u>				Year.	Acres.	Acres.
		lamnannī.	Jumanmal valad Teck-	1 1	. 15	1	Aure,
71	Sukkur—contd.	3 mmanmm	chand. Pokarmal valsd Teck-		- 18	102	
		' '	chand) ·	,	104	70
			chand.	J	8]]	
72 I	Do. 101	Shewo	Shewo valad Pamandas Narain valad Pamandas	Transferred under section 6 of Act 1 of 1905. Commissioner in kind's sanction, dated 21st	12 8	No	arable land
	l		Matiomal valad Dolah-	June 1910.	16	56	10
73	Do	Mational	dinomal.	sauction, dated 22nd August 1910.		30	12
74	Do	Menghraj	Menghraj valad Bheru-) Tukuse 1910.	6	h	
			mal. Jagumal valad Bheru-	Commissioner in Sind's sanction, dated 14th	C 4	No.	arable land
		·	mal. Sakhibai, daughter. of	September 1910.	: 9		110000
75	Do	Abdul Rahim	Bherumal. Abdul Rahim valad Din		15	452	168
			Mahamad.		ļ. <u>.</u>	 ,	
76	Do.	Abdul Wahib	Abdul Wahib valad Ha- kim Khan.	Transferred under	12		
			Jan Mahamad Yalad Ha- kim Khan.	section 6 of Act 1 of 1905. Commis-	10	1	
		:	Shah Mahamad valad Hakim Khan.	sioner in Sind's sanction, dated 18th	8	78	77
			Gulam Nabi valal Hakim Khan.	October 1910.	4		(
		!	Ayab valad Hakim Khan. Musamat Vazir, daughter		2	11''	ŀ
	7		of Hakim Khan.	11	, 6	Ų	ł
77	Do	Musemat Mugel	Musamat Mugal, daughter of Paid Khan.	Commissioner in	12	[] ·	
	1			Sind's sanction, dated 10th November	1	New	Estate.
		г	Do. Sakhil, daughter	1910.	6	J	
78	Do.	Nabibux	Nabibux valad Khudabux.	Commissioner in Sind sanction, dated 19th December 1910.		New	Estate.
	(••	Total		6,572	2,999
79	Upper Sin	d Banumal	Banumal valad Tahilmal	j	. 14	253	74
80	Do	Khawandbux			19)	140
			Hamid. Rasalbux valad Hamid	Transferred under	17	461	}
81	Do	Khush Mahomed	1 '	1 of 1905 on 1st Feb.	. 14	337	168
82	Do	Mabran Khan	Mahran Khan valad		19	620	145
83	Do	1	Pirbux. Mahomad Bux valad Jums	Commissioner in Sind	8 13	247	70
			Khan,	sanction, dated 19th Ma	y .		
84	Do	Gulabsing	sing.	Transferred under	19		arable l
	,		Purausing valad Ganesh sing.	sioner in Sind's sanction, dated 21st	18		
85	Do	Gulam Hassan	0.7:17	June 1910.	4	,	X
00]	Justi Hassan	Gulam'Hassan valad Me wo Khan.	sanction No. 170, date	d 7	1	}
86	Do	. Sheikh Abdul Hakim	Abdul Holding and State of	30th March 1911.	.,		,
-	= ;-	TO THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	Hamid bhan.	Commissioner in Sind's sanction No. 325, date	s 11		-
				9th June 1911.		1,918	59
				Total •	1	56,192	23,25
			\ :	Grand Total)	00,102	

I-concluded.

Vacultivat	Total	Total Land Revenue	Total gross	Total mosa	B	alance.		
d area	acsessment.	Demand including cesses.	income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Invested.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Remarks
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Acres.	Rs.	Ra.	Ra,	Rs.	Re.	· Re.	Rs.	
82	610	1,868	1,580	1,173	•••	1,840	****	•
	•		4					
***		240	1,873	992	42,325	192	***,,,	
44	59	peşêti ê	4,11-4	121704	***		93	
	•	1						
•••	****	000000	490489	20	1,017	8,127	24,421	
284	403	2,183	1,359	550	•••	8,299		•
		•						
1	859	1,419	1,147	754	***	430	1,845	 •
		•			,			
	15	15	18	15	***	86	0.06*09	
•••	132	816	816	147	2,807	109	•••	,
3,573	11,390	28,070	29,801	27,732	78,381	47,126	35,845	
179	192	399	812	207	•••	407	*****	i •
821	367	713	625	653	***	1,206	604249	
169	468	999	1,021	636	•••	2,841	******	
475	563	1,066	1,325	812	•••	1,209	*****	
177	167	582	888	279	•••	109	891	
•••.	*******	905***	272	460	11,705	102		
ate.	******	******	*****	#55*# G		*******	•••••	
iate.	•••••	200000	*****	•••••	***	******	*******	
1,321	1,757	8,759	3,913	3,017	11.705	5,260	891	
32,938	80,249	1,95,967	2,02,646	1,86,959	2,28,551	2,28,300	45,208	

M. WEBB,
Court of Wards for the Province of Sind.

Statement showing Receipts and Charges of Fstales

					- 	atement sh		elpts.			
Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Closing balance of last year.	Bents of land.	Other dues.	Miscellaneous receipts.	Interest.	Total gross income.	Debta recovered.	Loans received.	G
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0	9	10	11	_
1	Karáobl	. Tsrumal	Rs. 16,421	Rs. 466	Ra.	Rs.	Rs. 531	Ra. 987	Rs.	Rs.	
2	Do	Abdullah	2,796	801	******	*****	32	833	·	12,346	,
3	Do	. Yar Mahomed	628	93	*****	******	. 7	100	****	******	l
4	Do	Musmat Fatma	1,576	· 765	*****	100.000	36	801		*14***	-
8	Do	- Gulam Murtaza	2,396	4,403		******	28	4,438			
6	Do,	Mamun	737	457	1 m **1	*****	9	466	*****	#1 414	
7	Do	Shababudinshah	1,365	8,257	****	*****	*****	3,267		7,000	1
8	Do	Gulam Mahomed	1	465	} 	*****	******	465			
9	Do			164	*****	****	*****	164.			_
_		Total, Karáchi District		10,866	100.00	******	643	11,509	1.0.0	7,000	
1	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Nurra Ali Akbarbeg .,		8,621	41,748	46	100 100	8,667	400 120	12, 000	1
2	1	Ali Nawaz	h	1,117	app 440	um 149	3.	1,119	*****	234544	ļ
*	Do,		43	919	******	900712	*****	919	******	****	
4	, n	Hyderali Khan		1,505	649,495	1001110	******	. 1,505	11904	*****	ı
6 6	•	Bijar Khan Ghulum Rasul	ľ	1,479	au-=4	104144	****	1,479	200	.,,,,,	l I
.7	Do	Saine	001	1,109	******	\$170 pg	1,174	1,109	******	D-44	
8		The late of the la		265	******	******	11	276		******	
9	-	7		1,252 259	******	480108	14	1,266	} *****	******	
10		72		285	*****		2	261	**************************************	******	
11		··· Misri ···	_	1,031	200-10	494104	568	851	578	141.0	
12		·· Abdul Hakim	1	268	******	******	9	1,040 274	100	\$05703	
13	Do	- Kabub	435.00	494	*****	*****	_	404		•••••	
14	Do	l		2,681	33344	\$401 St .	700 415	2,881	52	(m1)0	
15	Do	Tiribdas	1	68	*****	175	*****	243	.,,,,,,	,,,,,,	
16	Do	Budhalshah	} ~~	******	*****	i	******		*****	.,,	
17	Do	Udbandas "	1	*******	405140	, 900.00	*****		******		
]	Total, Hyderabad District.	25,321	21,553	*****	221	610	22,384	930	12,000	_
1	Lárkána .	Mahomed Massand		195	, totald	700	*****	895		,,,,,,,	
2	Do.	Gokaldas	29,175	10,014	*****	20	792	10,826	661		
3	Do.	Wahidbaksh Bhuto	(0) 1,15,853	66,161	- 104*03	86	3,931	70,198	2,735	gaptel	
4	Do	- Amarsing	3,390						8,856	****	
Б	Do	- Wahidbakah Bijawal	1	804 298		******	68	972	,,,,,,	1441-4	
6	Do	Sidik Yako)	278	Bosese	144139	8	290		.,,,,,,,	
7	Do	Parusing		331	******	900,000	19 8	334		.,,,,,,	
В	Do.	Ali Hassan	Í	4,945	*****	******	2,283	7,228	\$0\$.00	106.10	
9	Do.	Sher Mahomed]	8,106		*****	275	8,381	****	198111	
10	Do	Piral and Azim		908	*****	ate,	12	918	*****	24643-4	
11	l .	Sadhumal	698	892	*****		8	400	*****	****	
12	Do	Musmat Bachan	7,887	819	100,00	*****	70	889	****	ps 178	
13	Do	Allahwarayo	103		}]		,	444103	***	
14	Do	Rewachand		876	*****	198***	3	879 594	30	,,,,,,,,	
15	n-	_ Ahmed		8 007	***	be to take	5 78	2,178			
16	Do	Alam Khatun		2,097	810100	#**** # 000	76 830	9,017			
17	Do	Innambakeh		642	*****	6,000	830 62	704	,	*****	
18	Do	Mahomed Saleh	Ī .	737	410,111	#100g 6	1	738	,,,,,,,	*****	
19	Do	1	}	850	*****	494040	5	855] 		
20	Do	Musamat Bachi	1	91	******	197800		91	100	*****	
21	Do,	Haji Sahro	30	571	,		1	572			
22	Do	Tharlomal	98	267	*****	******	1	268		344.175	
23	Do	Amrah Khata w	******	1,020	******	*****	******	1,020	100.00	,,,,,,,,	
24	Do,] "	(d) 648	1,557	194144	*****	******	1,557	:40=	102.00	
25	Do.		(e) 981	265	******	*****	*****	265	40E 10E	294,00	
26	Do	,	•••••	 869	*****	******	*****	369			
27	Do	4	 	35		*****	*****	35	7,351	*****	
1		Total, Lárkána District	2,37,903	59,422	*****	6,896	7,940	1,14,168	5,311	19,140	
{		Carried forward	2,93,906	1,31,641	•••••	7,027	9,193	1,49,061			

No. II—continued.
Government management for the year 1910-11.

				Expend	11ture,					~	
semment semment	Cost of management	Maintenance and education of Wards.	Interest and rent.	Miscellaneous.	Total gross expenditure.	Loans advanced,	Other Investment.	Repnyment of debts.	Grand total.	Closing balance.	Her arks.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	23	23	21
Ra.	Rs. 20	Rs. 804	Rs.	Rs. 10,531	Rs. 10,885	Ba.	Rs.	Re.	R 10,855	Ra. 6,623	ļ
201	46	276	***	******	6.3			*****	623	8,108	1
166	8	*****		902.149	164		*****	*****	166	881	
947	18	108	***	B80.00	1,073			*****	1,073	1,304	İ
2,503	974	3,500		300	6 ,577			*****	4,577	2,236	
809	28	154		100	680	•••	111-111	*****	680	523	1
1,271	107	268	175	8,409	10,298	•••		******	10,289	1,334 295	Ì
130		***** ·	***	50	190	···		*****	150	4,557	'a) Transferred from Tar
******		120		250	370	**			370	20,451	mals catate.
5,606	501	2,728	176 131	19,730	l 28,740	971 1-10-		19 700	23,740	543	•
5, 174	619 50	2,708		558	9,100 697	750	111193	13,709	007	606	
512	36	135	•••	******	781		141114	*****	781	181	
730	28	16 140			1,083		*****		1,083	423	1
915	•-			039	1,239		,.,-,,	*****	1,239	210	1
579 . 509	499179	105	844	******	614		*****		614	41i8	
55	23	96	•	200	374		*****	210.119	374	803	j
. 587	74	. 60			701		******	1++++	701	1,761	
80	27	. 72		63	242		*****	*** ***	213	236	
135	10	240	1.	343	728		****	*****	728	18,295	Ì
452	. 48	120	•==	******	620	•••		200	820	1,017	
91	30	158		50	827		*****	******	327	527	(b) Balance receiv d fr
834	444144	18	***	***	852	***	*****	******	352	196	the Collector.
m +445.	***	210	•••	3,313	8,528	•••	******	*****	3,623	-690	1
m	100 mg	41,410	***	****	F11 660	. ***	1,1,110	*****		313	1
	. *****	. ******	•…	114***	912 40.	, ***	******	*****	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	544 PM	
	*****	*****)mi			13,999	83,520	25,415	-
10,133	945	4,075	131	5,187	20,471	750		104	868	266	1
226	10	105	•••	21	452 6,245		,e	731	6,976	83,656	1
3,443 31,657	6,094	1,923 4,910	***	1,127 11,996	61,657	19,321	••····	******	73,978	1,14,878	(e) Balarce of R., 40, received from Bauk Bousbay,
115	86	240		1,150	1,541		p== 4 mg	1,107	2,049	5,459	
131	19	120	•••	******	273		434-04	******	278	270	
1:9	69	120		9 46 199	818		*****	211.000	818	968	
75	23	168	•••	8	271	· !		*17***	271	854	
2,903	757	2,335	***	8,329	9,387			******	9,397	84,551	
1,224	199	960		650	2,633			******	2,933	11,259	
403	62	180			018			*****	619	1,2÷0 797	
112	. 85	120	•••	4	801	***	411.000	*****	801 8,173	103	Discharged balance bet
"-	239	· 835		7,600	8,173	•••		*******	8,173		retained for Man expenses,
2 16	14	130		592144	350			pas sas	850	219	
303	35	120		209	673		,	198	807	279	
526	264	5-60	{	1,000	2,332	}		400 110	2,330	6,398 99.445	1
1,504	207	610		279	2,630			******	2,030 736	22,555 6,321	
612	88	*****	·]	6	734	•••			611	212	•
125	3	215			341	2 0	••••	250	299	440	1
33)	25	144		***	299		*****	*** ***	81	40	1
39	*****	12		*****	61			******	433	110	1
372	22	80		43	453	_		******	152	216	
141 979	11	•••••		400	152 779			*******	779	241	
403	*****	` 	**.	100	616			*****	619	1,6 59	(de Bal new recaised fr. Dietrick Court.
'8	******		••	160	158	_		361	£2 \$	726	(a) Halence received fr. the Code stor.
2.6	794 rag	G2	** }	1	334	{		•	834	35	
	******	******		2.7. abb	***		<u></u>			38	
5,616	8,652	12,907	-:	27,513	95,093	19,311		2,751	3,17,190	1,12,2 1	
		,	- 1		1,41,201	20,091		16,750	1,41,130	2,59,129	1

STATEM	ין
~ *** *** ***	П

							•			DIV	TEME,
							Rec	eipts.			•
Serial No.	Nams of District.	Name of Estate.	Closing balance of lest year.	Renis of land,	Other dues.	Miscellaneous receipts,	Interest.	Total gross income.	Debta recovered.	Loans received.	Greet fe/1
1	2	3	4	Б	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			Rs.	Re.	Re.	Rø.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs,	L B
		Brought over	2,93,906	1,81,841)·····	7,027	9,198	1,48,061	8,311	19,000	4,6),;;
1	Sukkur	Ghulam Kadir	24,592	9,455	 *****	25	374	0,841	 711	195+16	3 .10
2	Do	Dharmdas	28,658	1,747	***-,,		948	2,695	178000	*****	31,5
8	Do	Ghulam Mustafa	3,003	2,491	******	*****	35	2,526	250	11110	i.s
4	Do	Pirdinoshah	1,674	510	*****	>40.5°	19	519	. 30	*****	1,2
Б	Do	Nawazali	723	1,066	*****	*****	8	1,074	476-20	409,10	120
6	Do	Abdul Khalik	1,0(8	440	····	*****	129 .	569	*****	*******	1,01
7	Do	Hadibaksh	790	571	*****	****	9	580	142.44	*****	تا
8	Do	Harun Khan	1,686	1,033	******	*****	19	1,052	F44 p.11	******	2,78
9	Do	Mir Hassan Shah	104	344			1	815	******	*****	11
10	Do	Ghulam Hyder Shah	3,106	4,331	******	*****	86	4,297	,,,,,,,,		į ta
11	Do		(f) 2,085	1,463	*****	105	12	1,680	83	*****	Ų.
12	Do	Shovo Narian	(g) 41,636	240		.,,,,,,	1,633	1,873	*****	*****	40,50
13	Do	Mational	*****	,,,,,,,,	******	*****	******	6745FB	e51134	****	
14	Do	Menghraj	9,164	*****	*** 141	411110	>******	944		*****	9,161
15	Do	Abdul Rahim	(A) 1,780	1,264	******	95	••	1,959	710	****	3,14
16	Do	1	*****	1,119	*****	29	******	1,147	87	******	1,38
17	Do	Musmal Mugal	******	15	***		*****	16	38	*111114	į ši
18	Do	Nabibaksh	(i) 2,807	316	******	*****	g\$1 min	816	******	444	7,12
		Total, Sukkur District	1,22,798	26,335	***	243	8,223	29,801	1,857	•10+11	1,54,51
1	Thar and Parkar.	Ahv.edali Shah	659	794			 8	802	8/117	41111	1,41
2	Do	Nihal Khan	7,349	8,779	,	******	8 5	8,864		*****	16,2:3
8	Do	Sayad Khan	2,096	2,654	*****	#07 sh 0	24	2,878	*****	800.014	1,361
4	Do	Khudadosh	******	6,983	•46	077169		6,933	45.774	.,,,,,,	6,37
5	Do. ,	Dhani Pasto	*****	1,215		£10144	249 161	1,215	15,000	41254	1,25
6	Do	Samo Samun	*****	149	******	450100	440 460	149		105110	15
7	Do	Hasraj	*****	92.0 ₀₀₀	•====		,034.000	400000	7,186	*******	7,38
		Total, Thar and Parkar District,	10,094	20,724	******	494444	117	20,841	7,166		38(2)
1	Upper Sind Frontier.	Banumal	302	309	411116					151198	a
2	Do	Khawandrakah		611	1	111,111	. 8	812			1,5
3	Do	Khush Mahomed		999	******	171-044	14	1,021	230 ₄₁₄	*****	2.
4	Do	l		1,310	******	******	22	1,021	*****	4,4117	1,11
5	Do. "	Mahomedbaksh	Bathall	389		*****		888	*1+049	*****	2
6	Do	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(j) 11,791	******	###poq	*****	272	272	suled**	\$10.000	12,5
7	10			to- 648	*****	*****	******		613 ptd	930 talk	
8	Do	Shaik Abdul Hukim		*****	******	******	400 110 1	******	4444	11,410	
:	,	Total, Upper Sind Frontier.	18,069	3,623			820	8,943	,,,,,,,	311640	50.°
		Grand Total	4,42,865	1,82,523	******	7,270	12,853	2,02,616	17,854	19,000	6,43

No. II -continued.

		·		Expend	liture.					i	i
oreroment demands.	Cost of management.	Maintenance and education of Wards.	Interest and reut.	Miscellaneous,	Total gross expanditure.	Loans advanced.	Other investment.	Repayment or debta.	Grand total.	Closing balance.	Remarks
13	14	15	16	.17	18	10	20	21	22	23	24
Rs	Re,	Ra.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra,	Ra.	
61,395	10,098	19,710	306	52,800	1,41,309	20,001		15,750	7,81,150	2,89,118	
5,174	1,060	1,920	2057	4,684	12,839		******	*****	12,838	22,309	
39	5	222		1,936	2,202			*****	2,232	20,151	
1,480	130	240	•••	761	2,591			*****	2,60 <u>1</u>	8,193	
256	40	860	***	516	1,172 .	-14	,,,,,,	*****	1,179	1,0:1	
445	64	120	•••	•••••	629		} 	******	629	1,169	
200	15	120	***	*****	335		*****	*****	835	1,242	
241	12	270	449	550	1,073		b41710	*****	1,073	297	
817-	85	240	***	048 118	673		******	*****	612	2,600	
205	12	110-020		114	331	***		*****	331	118	
1,859	. 864	45		******	2,268		,,,,	*****	2,289	5,185	
610	113	450	144	##\$+# \$	1,173			1,215	2,399	1,310	(f) Ra. 1,052 received from the Collector.
******	*** ***	84 0	•••	152	992		21.241	*****	992	42,517	'g) Balanco received fro District Court.
	404-05	4444	•••	******	*****	***		*****	41.44	•= : • •	
107430	*****	•••••	***	20	20	***	******	*****	20	0,144	Balance received fr Railway Department.
439	920200	82	***	2)	6 50		941179	*****	550	8,250	(h) Received from L brother.
359	******	860		35	784		124-00	******	754	430	
15	*****	******	***	******	15		*****	******	15	86	(A Balanco shown
132	414.64	15	***	***	147	•••		******	147	2,076	Pass-books received.
11,751	1,900	5,281	***	8,797	27,793		******	1,215	28,917	1,23,5:7	
415	63	248		150	906		,	149.00	906	635	į
3,079	712	018	•••	Person	4,631]	*****	41011	6,631	11,532]
1,560	115	434	. •••	***	2,109			******	3,109	2,835	
3,261	*****	*****	•	415***	3,261		page 104	91 046	8,261	8,679	
740	******	pp. 100	540	******	740			*****	740	475	
149	618100	****	•••	G04+30	149		111140	*****	119	*****	
68	******	. 102114	*	6	74	•••	Equi print	411100	74	7,113	[
9,302	890	1,522	511	156	11,870			******	11,670	20,251	
		·		. 50	207			974491	207	1.: 407.	
168 367	15	****	•••	· 29	653		250 org	000	653	1,206	
469	46	240	464	gar 21-7	636		*****	*****	838	3,811 🛙	
563	65 69	102 · 180		*****	812			appr 24	813	1,200	
167		72	***		270		*****		270	109	
~	698 ¹⁶⁸	394	•••	667	460		.,,,-	060779	460	11,608	(f) Balance received for District Court.
	100,000			mu	2042	***	*****	*****	. 40.744	***	
******	*****	*****	***	•••••	0.12 POG	***		*****	******	*	
1,729	195	988		135	8,017		11190	·~	8,017	10,985	
81,177								17,505	2,25,014	6,16,551	

M. WEBB,
Court of Wards for the Province of Sind.

STATEMENT No. III.

Statement showing the efficiency of rent collection in Estates under Government management for the year 1910-11.

Ī				Ì	1	Demands	·	C	ollection	s	off.	}	Balance			
	Name of District.	Name of Estat	, :0.		Carrent,	Arrears.	Total,	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.	Total.	Amount written of	Converted into	Others.	Total	Details of t	halana
-					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Ra.	Rs.				Re.	Current,	
1	Į.	44. Rijbumal	***		3 35	177	512	297	159	458	***	***	58	56	38	
2		45. Abdulla	400		801	***	801	801	***	801	***	654	•••			
3		46. Yar Mahomed	•••	•••	297	38	825	58	88	93	***	-	232	232	232	
•	zi İ	47. Fatma	644	•••	691	1,221	1,912	390	375	765	***	-	1,147	1,147	301	
,	Karachl.	48. Gulam Murtaza	•••	•••	4,469	73	4,512	4,402	6	4,408		141	134	134	67	
	×	49. Mamun	***	•••	466	3	469	454	8	457	***		12	12	12	
ĺ		50. Shahbudin	***	***	3,262	104	3,262	3,257	***	8,257	***	•••	5	5	5	
1		66. Ghulam Mahomed	•••	041	279	290	569	175	290	465	***	•••	104	104	104	
	ĺ	75. Mamubai	***		147	19	166	145	19	164	***		2	2	3	
-			Total		10,737	1,821	12,553	9,976	890	10,866	***		1,692	1,692	761	- -
	.]															- -
Ì	[1. Mirza Ali Akbar	***		9,780	2,040	11,820	7,930	671	9,621	***	•••	3,199	8,199	1,830	
ļ	[3. Ali Navaz 53. Alimed Ali Khán	•••	•••	1,117	100	1,217	1,117	***	1,117	***	***	100	100		
i		53. Ahmed Ali Khán 54. Hyderali	***	•	919		919	919	***	919	•••			•••		1
-		55. Bijar Khán	***	**	1,505	***	1,505	1,505	***	1,505	***	***	***	***	10	
[ļ	56. Gulam Rasul	***		1,492	•••	1,402	1,479	***	1,479	***		13	13	13 51	
Ì		57. Sajan	***	•	265	**	265	1,109 265	198	1,109	***	•••	51	51		
j	·	58. Fakir Mahomed	***	***	1,252	***	1,252	1,252	***	265 1,252	•••	***	***			
-	Hyderabad,	59. Jeram	•••	•••	269	444	269	259	***	259	***		10	10	10	1
	Hyde	60. Bhugro	410	**-	275	10	285	275	10	235	•••	•••				
ĺ		61. Misrl			981	50	1,031	981	50	1,031	411			•••		
1	- 1	62. Abdul Hakim	***	***	284	•••	284	263	,	268	***	•••	16	16	16	
}	j	79. Kabul	•••		494	***	494	494	400	494	***			•••		
Ì	į	82. Ram Kishin	***		2,999	***	2,999	2,881	***	2,881	•••	•••	118	119	118	
	}	83. Tirithdas	144	•••	68		63	6 3	706	63	***	•••		***		
1		86, Bud Lalshah	***	}		***		•••			***					1
	(69. Udhandas	***	•••	***	***		***	***	•	***	***		,		
			Total		22,860	2,200	25,060	20,822	781	21,553		<u> </u>	3,507	8,507	2,038	. .
Ì	(10. Ahmedali Sháh	449		794	***	794		_							
1	ا ب	11. Nihal Khán	***		6,779	446	8,779	794 8,779	115	794	***	***	***	***		
ί	and Parkar.	12. Sayad Khán			2,849	8	2,854	2,848	6	8,779 2,854	***		***			ł
	P P	52. Khudadost	***	•	6,052	681	6,933	6,052	881	6,933	***	•"	***			-
1	Thar a	80. Dhani Pasto	•••		1,225	***	1,225	1,215	200	1,215	***	•••	10	10	10	1
	류	85. Samun	***	•••	174	***	174	149	906	149	•••		25	25	25	
1	(67. Hasraj	···· ,		. 68	***	63	***	•••	•••	***		63	63	63	ا
			Total		19,935	837	20,822	19,837	887	20,724		<u> </u>	99	98	99	-
	(21. Gekaldas	-		0.034					20,724					113	
	1	23. Wahidbaksh Bhut	••• •••	***	9,324 73,094	951	10,276	9,211	803	10,014	***	***	261	281	7,065	
		26. Amarsing		•	859	92 48	73,186	. 68,089	92	66,181	500	***	7,005	7,005 103	63	
1		27. Wahidbaksh Sujar			298		907 298	801	3	804	***		103			
١		28. Sidik	.,		419	***	418	298	***	298	***	"		140	140	
١	ا	29. Parusing .	***		831	***	331	278 831	***	278	•••	""		•••		
1	Lárkána	SO. All Hassan	fe t		6,938	7	6,945	4,938	7	331 4,945			2,000	2,070	2,00')	
	3	81. Sher Muhomed	•		4,222	700	4,922	2,431	675	8,106	***		1,916	1,816	1,791	
1		33, Piral	•••		906		906	9:6	***	936	***	<i></i>	-,-			
		33. Badhu	***	•••	302	144	892	392	***	392	***			400		1
	j	25. Mahomedali Backa	a		444	819	319	404	319	819				,.,		
1	İ	36. Allahwarayo	***	•••	878	***	376	876	***	376	***					
1		37. Rewachand		- 1	574	95		l .		l		l	[20 -		
		33. Ahmed	***	•	0.9	39	58 3	5 54	35	589	***			2,975	2,975	

61
STATEMENT No. III-continued.

						Demande	l.	c	ollection	Ba .			Balance.		·	····-	
Bertal No.	Name of District.		Name of Esta		Current	Arreans.	Total.	On account of	On account of arrears.	Total	Amount written off.	Converted into	Others,	Total	Dotalia	of balances.	
Ī			•			Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.				Re,	Current,	Old.
48	ſ	39.	Nek Mahomed	***	11.0	2,317	8 70	2,687	2,817	370	2,687	•••	***			- ur rorres	Oid.
40	i	40.	Imam baksh	610	. ***	1,441	***	1,444	642	***	673			802	802	902	-
50	l.	41.	Mahomed Saleh	644	414	872	***	872	737	149	737	-14	***	135	135	135	•••
51	. 1	42.	Mahomed Baleh	***	***	350	, •••	850	850	***	920	110	••	,	141		
52	Lárkána—continued.	43.			•••	l , !	994	91	91	100	91	344			149		
63	onti	51.	Haji Sahro Thariomal	1	***	أمموا	. 272	863	571		571	414	-	2.03	202	20	272
54		63.	Mahomed Masood	100	44*		126	270	141	126	267			8	8	8	***
65	ricka	J	Amra	***	***	1,079	•••	1,079	195 1,020	***	1,020	_	-		89	59	
86 57	ä	1	Lachimbai	***.	**	1 557	, gd+ 145	1,557	1,557	***	1,557				,	{	
58	ı		Aratmal		,			265	265		265						
59	Ĭ	j i	Danga Khaun	•••	•••			369	869		269			•••		<u> </u>	
e0	ł	.1	Misrl Shah	-	10.	95	,,,,,	85	35	***	35		•••		-		
				Total		1,10,606	4,407	1,15,013	95,506	8,917	99,423			15,691	15,501	15,101	400
-				, .			 -		<u> </u>			<u> </u> -	-	- 			
61		13.	Gulam Kadir	·		9,460	370	9,820	9,253	202	9,455	901		865	805	197	163
62	j	14.	Dharamdas	110		1 519	894	1,907	1,353	894	1,747			360	160	160	***
63	1	15.	Gulam Mustafa			9.010	1,232	4,251	1,357	1,134	2,401		100	1,760	1,760	1,603	94
64	Ì	16.	Pirdino .	****		471	67	628	458	57	8 10	***	744	18	18	19	***
65	i	17.	Nawazali			1,004	849	1,353	717	849	1,066		•••	297	287	297	***
66	ť	18.	Abdul Khalik	4941	144	440		450	440		640	,				[
67	ļ	19.	Hadibaksh	***		491	120	611	451	120	571	***	-	40	40	40	. ***
69		20.	Harun Khán			1,033		1,033	1,038	. •••	1,033	***	***		•••	9.7	
69	Bukkur	21,	Mir Hassan	•••	***	,	36	876	309	86	814	***	-	20	82 20	81 24	***
70	8	22	Pir Gulam Hyder		•••	l	14	4,237	4,247	14	4,281	***		400	400	400	
71 72	- 1	1	Jumanmal		•41	•	103	1,863	1,463 240	***	1,463 240	***	""		10,		
73	i	11	Shew and Narain Motiomal	***	**	240		240		100	, m	4** 48**		***			
74	ĺ	1	Motiomai Lala Menghraj		***	1	,==	444	***				м.				
75	Ì	17	Abdul Rahim	•••		0.702		2,183	1,264	 	1,264	-		919	919	919	***
76			Abdul Wahib	***		4 430	***	1,419	1,119		1,119	***	***	800	800	800	***
77		1	Mahomed Mugul		***	, ,,		15	15	-	15	-			***		
18	Į.	1	Kabibux	***	\$4 .	918.		316	816	-	816	***			***		
				Total	***	28,070	2,572	30,642	24,029	2,306	26,335			4,807	4,307	Lio, Li	206
		1											·				
79	ſ		Banumal		***	899	19	418	290	19	309			109	1:0	109	***
80	tlor.	. I	Khawand Baksh	•••	•••	713	401	1,114	611		611		-	503	543	108	4 01
81	Fron	6.	Khush Mahomed	***	•••			999	909		999				-	1	
83	Upper Sind Frontier.	1	Mahran Khán	•••		1,068	250	1,316	1,068	950	1,316		-	194	194	194	***
83 84	ber 8	1	Mahomed Baksh	•••	•••	582	-	632	3 88	-	3-8	***	-				
85	3	1	Gulabsing	***		***		***	•	***	•				***		
166		84. Gulam Hussein				,		-		,	-						
- [(88.	Sheikh Abdul Hak	•••	***								 -				
			Total				670	4,420	3,354	269	8,623	_	<u></u>	809	5v.63	406	401
			Gı	rand Total	{	1,95,967	12,557	2,08,524	1,73,523	9,000	1,62,523		-	28,001	26,001	22,664	3,587

Difference in the figure of arrears shown last year and that of this year is due to errors since rectified and addition of arrears of new Estates

62

STATEMENT IV.

Statement showing the progress made in liquidation of debt in the Court of Wards' Estates during the year 1910-11,

Name of District Estate. Date by the Court of Wards charge as incurred beginning to the Court of Wards charge as incurred by the Cour			-	ő	years	Debts settled in previous years.						ng of	during	Debte	settled	during				
Hyderstand Mirra Ali Akbar Beg 17,700				que on	n previous essumed.		By sale of property.	5	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.	Total	Balance of debt at the beginni	lebts incurred	By incarring fresh debts.	sale of		Remitted by creditors,	From current income.	Balance.	the estate was to
Mieri Khan 190				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re	. Rs.	Rs.	
Rumbrisham 198 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Hyderabad	Mirza Ali Akbar Beg		18,769	•••		•••		4,639	. ***	4,539	14,230	***	12,000	***	-	807	1,799	124	31st July 1914.
Total 15,064		Misri Khan		200	•••		***	•••	***	•••	•••	200	***	***		•••		200		
This and Far Ahmedall Khan 124		Ramkrishna		95	***	***					***	95			***		} . <u></u>	Ì	95	
Total 124		Total		19,064					4,539		4,539	14,525		12,000			307	1,999	219	
Linkfana		Ahmedali Khan		124	· •••					***		124						139	124	•
Linkima Kari Macand 97	KIF,	Total		124					. 104			124	, app						124	
Gokaldes						<u> </u>						—								
Amarsing 1,088 1,088 9 1,107 2,580 Sadhumal 1,255	Linkana				***	- 100	~	•••	8	100	8	94	10		104	***	•••	•	***	31st July 1911
Parusing 5,100			***		·::		-		***		•••	1,528	61	***	•••	***	858	731	•••	
Sadhumal		[-	ſ			ĺ			***		-44				***	***	ĺ	1,107	[
Rewachand		_	1				ļ				***	'	***	•••	•••	•••	2,580			•
Imambaksh		l ·		-			ł						l	***	***	***				
Mahomed Saleh			1		۱ .)		ł.	1]		50		.99				'	
Lachmibal 746			- 1			} .	Į.	}			}		***		***	220	j	Ι.		
Aratmo		Lachmibai		٠,]					·			140	1	٠ .			
Daryakhatum 207		Aratmo.	l						ł		l					- ***		1		
Fukkur Ghulam Mustofa 447 8		Daryakhatum		297	•			1				•					-			
Total 15,39\$ 8 8 15,891 130 105 220 4,421 2,647 8,129 Fukkur Chulam Mustofa 447 447		Misri Shat		60		ı						۱_ ۱				J				
Sukkur Ghulam Mustofa 447 447 447 447 <th></th> <th>Total</th> <th></th> <th>15,394</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>8</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>15,391</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>8,129</th> <th></th>		Total		15,394					8			15,391							8,129	
Pirdino Shah 4,775 447 445 4,330 Nawazall 4,709 4,709 4,709 Jumanmal 1,420 1,420 20,807 Mahomed Rahlun 100 Abdul Wahib 2,317 Mational 93 93 100 100 100 1,855 Mational 93 93 93 Total 43,223 43,223 43,228 567 5,596 1,215 35,815 Univer Sind Frontier. Total 1,064 1,064 1,064 173 891 Grand Total 78,869 1,064	Sak kor	Chulam Numata					_		-											
Nawazall 4,708	Curati 400		- 1		l •	•••	•••				***	447	, -			•••	444	,·-	1	
Jumanmal 1,420		i '	- 1			<i>.</i>		1	***	-10	***				***	•••	445	***		
Menghraj 29,807		· ·		_	4		1			•••	**	ł	100	•••	•••		1			
Mahomed Rahlun 100 100		Menghraj	- 1		•••			1	[· '		***	1		-**	***					
Abdul Wahib 2,312 1,845 Mational 93		Mahomed Rahim		100	j)	ļ	J.					•••	•••,)	4,946		J	
Mational 93		Abdul Wahib		2,313	***	ŀ	ł	١.				l :		i !						
Total 43,223 43,223 567 5,596 1,215 35,845 Univer Sind Frontler. Total 1,064 1,064 1,064 173 891 Grand Total 78,869 1,064		Mational		93	***		***					· ·				!			1	
Univer Sind Frontier. Total 1,064 1,064 1,064 173 891 Grand Total 78,869 1,064		Total	**,	43,223	'						 								35,815	
Total 1,064 1,064 173 591	Upper Sind Frontler.	Mahomedbux	•••	1,064							<u> </u>									
Grand Total 78,869		Total		1,064			•••												591	
	1	Grand Total		78,869	•••	150			4,542		<u> </u>					 -			45,208	

Norg.—No estimate was made on the assumption of management of the dates on which these estates would be freed from debt. The dates shown against estates of Mirza All Akbar Beg and Kazi Masand are taken from the reports under section 18; reports on the other estates have not yet been submitted.

M. WEBB,
Court of Wards for the Province of Sind.

Below report on the estates under management of the Court of Wards in Sind for the year 1910-11.

No. 657 of 1911.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Office of the Commissioner in Sind, Government House, Karáchi, 19th October 1911.

Submitted to Government.

- 2. There has been a decrease of Rs. 21,071 in the income from rents of land this year, but, as pointed out in paragraph 3 of the report, it is chiefly nominal.
- 3. Statement I shows that some estates had sufficient balances to pay off debts. It is hoped that good progress will be made in the matter of liquidating debts during the current year.
- 4. The uninvested balance of the estates has increased from Rs. 1,92,248 at the end of last year to Rs. 2,28,300 at the end of the year under report, a state of things which the Commissioner regards as unsatisfactory. Fixed deposits for short periods may be an excellent way of dealing with money likely to be soon required, but should not be allowed to replace more permanent forms of investment. The Manager's attention is being drawn to this point.
- 5. The percentage of collection of the current year's dues of the estates to the amount to be collected was 88.55 as compared with 95.62 in the previous year. The falling off is satisfactorily explained in paragraph 5 of the report.

The explanation of the Court of Wards for Sind as regards postponements to the extent of about Rs. 10,500 is as follows:

"The actual amount under postponement is Rs. 10,476. Of this sum Rs. 1,200 are on account of an old debt, which is to be repaid in two instalments and which there was no probability of recovering otherwise, and Rs. 271 are due from a close relation of the estate owner. The latter lessee is dead and the guardian of the minor wishes the arrears to be written off. Of the remainder the principal details are as follows:—

Estate No. 15 ... Rs. 1,635.

The lease is held by the estate owner and a relation. In spite of poor crops, they paid the whole assessment, Rs. 500 takávi and Rs. 1,135 arrears.

Estate No. 38 ... Rs. 2,975.

The land has been seriously damaged by floods from the Larkana hills and the lessee was given postponement, so that he might have an opportunity of recovering himself. The remaining estates to the lessees of which postponement was allowed are practically all in the Kambar, Shahdadpur and Garhi Yasin talukas, in parts of which there was a deficiency of water. In each case there was a careful investigation, and interest is being charged at rates varying from 6 to 12 per cent. It is not anticipated that there will be any difficulty in recovering these arrears ultimately, although as unfortunately the same talukas have suffered severely this year, it may be advisable to postpone the recovery of some of them to another year. Each case will however be carefully scrutinized before this is done and avoidable arrears will not be allowed to accumulate:

Postponements have been granted to lessess of the Court of Wards with rather more freedom than to those of estates under the Manager, because in the case of the former there is as a rule no urgent need of ready money, and provided that a proper rate of interest is charged and the lessee and his surety are otherwise solvent, the estate gains nothing by causing a good lessee unnecessary difficulties."

In this office memorandum No. 472, dated the 5th September 1910, Government were moved to amend section 29 of the Court of Wards Act (I of 1995)

with a view to empowering the Court of Wards to effect recovery of arrears of rent of estates under the Court's superintendence without referring the matter to the Collectors. If the proposed amendment is sanctioned it is certain that the recovery of these dues will be made with much greater facility.

- 6. The estates only and not the persons of the wards being under the superintendence of the Court of Wards, the latter is not responsible for the education of the wards. They seem, however, to have made satisfactory progress.
- 7. The administration of the Court of Wards has been on the whole very satisfactory. Mr. Webb held charge of the duties for nearly the whole year.

A. D. YOUNGHUSBAND, Commissioner in Sind.

 T_0

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Revenue Department,

Bombay.

Annual Reports.

Report on the Administration of the Estates under the management of the Courts of Wards in the Presidency proper and Sind for the year 1910-11.

No. 4110.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 29th April 1912.

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D., No. 4152, dated 12th October 1911.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 657, dated 19th October 1911.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D., No. 4268, dated 29th November 1911.

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D., No. C.—447, dated 31st January 1912.

Submitting the annual reports on the management of private estates in their respective charges as Courts of Wards for the year ending 31st July 1911.

RESOLUTION.—In the Presidency proper there were at the beginning of the year 1910-11, 44 estates under the management of the Courts of Wards. During the course of the year one estate, which proved to be hopelessly insolvent, was released, while 14 new estates were brought under superintendence. During the whole or part of the year there were therefore 58 estates under control, but practically no statistics are given for 11, of which 8 were brought under management towards the close of the year, two in the Southern Division are insolvent, and one, that of the late Shri Bábá Maháráj of Poona, continues under nominal superintendence only as it is not certain that the litigation regarding the succession to it has finally closed.

- 2. The total income, exclusive of debts recovered and loans received, of the remaining 47 estates was Rs. 5,57,000, of which 70 per cent. consisted of rents of lands. The season generally was excellent and the frost in Gujarát injured severely the crops on only one estate. The figures of rent collections given in statement III show that out of the current demand of Rs. 3,80,000, collections amounted to Rs. 3,50,000 or 92 per cent., that out of the arrears which amounted to Rs. 2,99,000 at the beginning of the year, Rs. 35,000 were collected and Rs. 94,000 were written off during the year, and that consequently the total amount of arrears was reduced to Rs. 2,00,000 at the close of the year. A considerable portion of this sum is reported to be irrecoverable and enquiries are being made with a view to writing it off.
 - 3. The expenditure for the same estates was Rs. 3,39,000 exclusive of loans advanced and investments made. Of this amount 21 per cent. was expended on the maintenance and education of the wards and their dependants and 11 per cent. was on account of management. The management charges, however, were less than 7 per cent. of the total income of the estates.
- 4. In order to show the progress made in reducing the indebtedness of the estates it is convenient to omit from consideration the 15 estates which were under management for only a portion of the year as well as the 3 estates which were under merely nominal control, viz., the two insolvent estates in the Southern Division and the estate of Shri Bábá Maháráj. Of the remaining 40 estates 6 were entirely free from debt when brought under management. The debts of the other 34 estates at the dates on which their management was undertaken amounted to 15½ lákhs and had been reduced to Rs. 11,19,000 at the beginning of the year under review. During the year fresh debts amounting to Rs. 98,000 were incurred, but practically the whole of this sum was employed in paying off old debts on advantageous terms. Claims against the estates amounting to Rs. 1,08,000 were rejected by the Courts of Wards or by the Civil Courts. The total indebtedness of the 34 estates under consideration was reduced during the year from Rs. 11,19,000 to Rs. 8,46,000—a difference of nearly one-fourth. Fourteen of the estates are now clear or practically clear of debt, 15 are likely to be free in less than twenty years, and only five appear to be so deeply involved as to require more than twenty years to repay their creditors. Of the unindebted estates some have large balances, invested and uninvested.

Rev 1122 F

- 5. Twenty-five wards are of school-going age, of whom one, the Desái of Broach, is preparing for College under the care of a personal guardian in England. In all cases the education of the wards is progressing satisfactorily.
- 6. In Sind twenty-four new estates were admitted to management during the year and one was released, so that at the close of the year there were 85 estates under management as compared with 62 at the end of the previous year. The income handled by the Court of Wards was Rs. 2,03,000 and the expenditure incurred was Rs. 1,87,000. The rent collections were satisfactory, and out of a total demand, including arrears, of Rs. 2,09,000, a sum of only Rs. 15,500 remained unauthorizedly uncollected at the close of the year. The total debt of the indebted estates is only Rs. 45,000 and debt liquidation operations are of comparatively little importance. In other respects the management of the estates in Sind was good and the arrangements made for the education of minor wards suitable.
- 7. The administration of the Act continues to be very beneficial to the estates under control, and the ability of the Courts to carry through prompt settlements of debts for cash has, as shown in paragraph 4, resulted in a striking reduction of the liabilities of the indebted estates in the Presidency proper. The thanks of Government are due to the district officers who have managed the estates entrusted to them with care and frequently with much personal industry.

R. D. BELL,

Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind, The Commissioner, N. D., The Commissioner, C. D., The Commissioner, S. D., All Collectors, including the Collectors and Deputy Commissioners in Sind, The Tálukdári Settlement Officer, The Manager, Encumbered Estates in Sind, The Director of Agriculture, The Settlement Commissioner and Director of Hand Records, The Director of Public Instruction, The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor, The Accountant General, The Compiler of the General Administration Report, The Judicial Department of the Secretariat, The Educational Department of the Secretariat, The Political Department of the Secretariat, The Financial Department of the Secretariat, The Editors' Tables and Editors of Newspapers, The Non-official Members of the Legislative Council, The Government of India, The Under Secretary of State for India. By letter.