SETTLEMENT REPORT JACOBABAD TALUKA

1906

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Commissioner's office,

Karachi, 21st June 1906.

MEMORANDUM.

The Commissioner in Sind has the honour to submit the papers noted in Letter No. 990, dated the 28th March 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, and accompani-

Letter No. 3199, dated the 8th June 1905, from the

Superintending Entineer, Indus Right Bank Division.
Letter No. 2519, dated the 9th July 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier district, and accompaniment.

the margin, containing proposals for the revision of the irrigational settlement in the Jacobahad taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier district.

- 2. The Commissioner accepts the grouping proposed by Mr. Baker, except that, after considering the remarks contained in paragraph 5 of the Superintending Engineer's letter and in paragraph 4 of Mr. Beyts' letter of the 9th July 1905, he does not think that there is a sufficient case for the proposed division of the first group into two sections I-A and I-B. He feels no doubt, for the reasons given by the Superintending Engineer and the Deputy Commissioner, about the advisability of placing in group I-A the nine dehs of group I-B mentioned by the former and the dehs of the same group in which the rice cultivation is below 50 acres. Of the remaining dehs, four in number, viz., Shahpur, Bachalpur, Mauladad and Khair wah, the statement at page 63 of the papers will show that in two (Bachalpur and Mauladad) the area under rice cultivation is inappreciable, - only 85 and 53 acres, respectively, - and that in the other two it is comparatively small. It would scarcely be worth while creating a separate group of these four dehs, and, having regard to the desirability of restricting rice cultivation where possible, the Commissioner has no hesitation in recommending that they should be put on the same footing as the dehs included by Mr. Baker in group I-A. The only distinction between the two groups (I-A and I-B), viz., the difference between their rice rates, being thus removed, the Commissioner recommends that they should be amalgamated and formed into a single group I.
- 3. The Commissioner accepts the proposal to maintain the present rate for "other flow," and, in the special circumstances mentioned by Mr. Baker, to assess garden cultivation according to the actual mode of irrigation employed, but he thinks that, in view of the orders of the Government of India received with Government Resolution No. A. I.84, dated the 16th January 1906, the lift rate might be reduced by 4 annas all round. The statistics show that this form of cultivation has steadily declined during the present settlement. A small reduction such as is proposed might prove an encouragement, and it is worth while making the experiment. The loss of revenue will amount to about Rs. 733 only, even if no expansion results.
- 4. "Lift aided by flow" and "flow aided by lift" should, the Commissioner proposes, be assessed in accordance with the principle advocated in this office memorandum No. 1199, dated the 11th May 1906. The following rates are proposed:—

Group.		Flow s ided by lift.	Lift aided by flow.				
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				
1	•••	2 10 0	2 2 0				
\mathbf{II}	•••	2 6 0	1 14 0				
III	***	2 2 0	1 10 0				

The particular areas in which the combined supply should be treated as "flow aided by lift" and "lift aided by flow," respectively, will, with the permission of Government, be settled by the Commissioner in consultation with the local officers.

5. Mr. Baker proposes to leave the "rabi bosi" rate unchanged, on the ground that it should be the same as the "kharif flow" rate. On the same ground, he proposed last year a reduction in the bosi rate of the Thul taluka; but, for the reasons given in paragraph 44 of his letter, the Honourable Mr. Muir Mackenzie negatived the proposal, and allowed the existing rates to continue. As in Thul, so in Jacobabad, "rabi bosi" is an important class of irrigation, the area under it having increased during the settlement as follows:—

			Acres.
Average area during first four	years of settlement	•••	18,374
Average area during last four	years of settlement	***	22,788
Last year (1903-1904)	•••	. •••	31,168

The Commissioner does not see why it should necessarily pay only as much as kharif flow and not more, as it does in the 2nd and 3rd groups of the Thul taluka. He accordingly proposes an increase of 4 annas all round, so as to raise the rates of the three groups to Rs. 3, Rs. 2-12 and Rs. 2-8.

- 6. Mr. Baker proposes to reduce the "sailabi" rates to the level of his proposed rates for "bosi." But in the preceding paragraph the Commissioner has proposed an enhancement of the "bosi" rates, which brings them to the level of the present "sailabi" rates. The Commissioner would therefore allow the latter rates to continue. No reasons justifying their reduction have been advanced.
- 7. The Commissioner would recommend a corresponding increase (viz., 4 annas an acre) to Mr. Baker's rates for irrigated rabi, with a view to maintain the existing difference (8 annas) between pure "bosi" or "sailabi" and "bosi or sailabi aided by lift." The enhanced rate will apply also to the other forms of irrigated rabi, viz., rabi lift and rabi flow, both of which are usually assessed at the same rates as "bosi or sailabi aided by lift," if not more. Even after enhancement, the rates will be less than those of the Thul taluka by 4 annas in each group.
- 8. The Commissioner approves of Mr. Baker's proposals as regards woods and meadows and dubari. Wells will pay the reduced kharif lift rate, in accordance with the new rule 6 of the rules for the administration of irrigational settlements, subject to the condition embodied in that rule, viz., that, if a number, irrigated by well water, also receives a supply from the river or from a canal or any other natural source, it shall be assessed at the rates assigned to the description of irrigation so received.
- 9. In appendix III-B showing the proposed grouping, three dehs—Nawra, Dhad and Rahimabad—have been wrongly included in group I-B. According to paragraph 17 of Mr. Baker's report, they belong to the new group II.
- 10. The present guarantee will expire at the end of the current year. The Commissioner would recommend that the rates be introduced next year, and levied from 1907-08 for a period of 10 years.
- 11. A statement containing the substance of the petitions of objections is forwarded, together with a copy of the Deputy Commissioner's remarks (letter No. 3759, dated the 9th December 1905), on the petitions. The Commissioner does not consider that any sufficient grounds have been shown against the proposed rates.

Adverting to Mr. Beyts' remarks in paragraph 11 of his letter, the "woods and meadows" referred to by Mr. Baker are elsewhere known as "huris," babul groves and fodder reserves, the existing orders about which are contained in the Commissioner's Special Circular No. 3. The Commissioner is unable to understand Mr. Beyts' difficulties. The special rate proposed is to be charged on lands which are solely used for a babul grove or fodder reserve, and not on those in which grass grows from an accidental or occasional overflow of water. Any profits realised from these would be assessed under rule 2 of the Sind Fallow Rules. In cases where the overflow was due to deliberate waste on the part of an occupant, the rules regulating waste of water (Special Circular No. 42) would be enforced.

A. D. YOUNGHUSBAND, Commissioner in Sind.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department,

Bombay.

STATEMENT showing the present and proposed groups and the existing rates in the Jacobabad taluka with those proposed by the Settlement Officer and the Commissioner in Sind.

KHARIF.

						PRE	SENT	RATE	s.									PR	0 P (SE	D	kA?	res	٠.				
Proposed grow and No. of village	ips .	No. of group.	Gardens.	Rice.		Other flow.	Lift.	Lift aided by flow.	Chabi or wells.		Darani.	Gardens,		Rice.		Other How.		1:50		Flow sided by lift	TO STREET OF THE	Lift aided by flow.	•	Chahi or wells,	Irrigated woods	and meadows.		Barani
			Rs. a	Rs.	a. 1	Re. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.		Rs.	. a.		i	Rs	n.	Rs.	a.	Rs.	н.	Rs,	a.	Rs.	8.		Rs	s. a.	R	я, я
Com- to be referenced by I	⊢ (19 ⊢ (−	111	3 8	3	8 0	$\begin{smallmatrix}2&12\\2&4\end{smallmatrix}$	2 4 1 12	2 12 2 4	on is nevis- ted in on of hich,	1	8	of irri-			8	2 1	- 1	2	4	2	4	2	4	new ation ner's	1	6	1	. 8
Under the Commissioner's proposals to be amaltame fed into a single	7 dno 4 1 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	11	3 4	3 3	8	2 12 2 8	2 4 2 0	2 12 2 8	the cultivation of the Corona of the Corona of the Corona of the description of the falling wed.	1 1	8 8	to the mode of irri-			8 0	2.1	- 1	2	4	2 1	4	2	4	nce with the ne administr s (Commissic	1	6	1	. 8
)I	25 14 5 44	111	3 8 3 4 3 6	3	8 4 0	2 12 2 8 2 4	2 4 2 0 1 12	2 12 2 8 2 4	eribed, but f nder rule 6 ul circular N cording to ion avullabli ate is charge	1 1	222		}	4	0	2	8	2 1	0 12	2 2	o B	2 1	0 14	l in accords rules for the settlement lar No. 59).	1	4	1	: 8
3 11	$\frac{\frac{27}{6}}{\frac{2}{7}}$	1	3 8 3 4 3 0	3	8 4 4	2 12 2 8 2 4	$\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 12 \end{array}$	2 12 2 8 2 4	No rate is prescribed, but the cultration is charged for mader rule 6 of the Commissioner's special circular No. 85 as reinted in Italies, i.e., according to the description of canal irrigation accellable, failing which, the rule is charged.	1 1	8 8 8	To be assessed according gation employed.	}	3	8	2	4	1 1	12 8	1 2	12 2	1 1	20	Will be charged in accordance with the new rule 6 of the rules for the administration of irrigational settlements (Commissioner's special circular No. 89).	1	2	1	8
Total	59								×		1	. To							j					F				

RABI.

			^ 		17.00	P	RESE	NT.	A. 411					P	ROPOSED			
Proposed groups	.b.			by lift	ed by			ja l		Don	ARI	•	ted bosi bi.	rabi.	Chahi	Du	BARI	
No. of villages.	No. of group.	Bosi.	Sailabi.	Bosi aided by l	Saflabi aided lift.	Rabi flow.	Rabi lift.	Lift aided flow.	Chahi, i.e., Wells.	Watered.	Un- watered.	Barani.	Unirrigated rabi, i.e., bosi and sailabi.	*Irrigated rabi.	or wells.	Watered.	Un- watered.	Barani.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	i si c di	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rr. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	ion r's	Rs.	Rs. a.	Rs.
Y-A) # 2 2 2 1 1 1	III	2 12 2 4	3 0 2 8	3 4 2 12	3 8 3 0	$\begin{array}{ c c c c }\hline 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$	3 4 2 12	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	eultivation the Commigas printed teseription ailing which	0 4	0 4 0 4	1 8 1 8	2 12	3 4	with n mistrati	2 0	10	1 8
Juder the Compissioner's properties of the Compissioner's of the Compissioner's properties of the C						}			eultiv the (g as pr lescrip atiing				3 0	3 8	dania commi			
1-B) P g 20	11	2 12 2 8	3 0 2 4 2	3 4 3 0	3 8 3 4	3 4 3 0	3 4 3 0	3 4 3 0	, but the cultivation is rule 6 of the Commis- ular No. 26 as printed in ng to the description of railstic, failing which, charged.	0 4	0 4	1 8	2 12	3 4	ill be charged in accordance with new rucks & the rules for the beaministration of irritational extrements (Commissioner's special viscular No. 59).	2 0	1 0	1 8
11 25 14 5	II III	2 12 2 8 2 4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 8 3 4 3 0	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 4 3 0 2 13	3 4 3 0 2 72	o rate is preferibed, but the charged for under rule 6 o sioner's special circular No. ifailire, 7 e., are colling to the churd intiguter, available, the rabi lift rate is charged.	0 4 0 4 0 4	0 4 0 4 0 4	1 8 8	2 8 2 12	3 0 3 4	rged in e rules fo nal settle ilar No.	2 0	1 0	1 8
44				 		,	 		is pre				1		f the			
111 66 2 7	II III	2 12 2 8 2 4	3 0 2 12 2 8	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 8 3 4 3 0	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 4 3 0 2 12	3 4 3 0 2 12	No rate is charged Eioner's stifallor, 7, count in the rabi	0 4 0 4 0 4	0 4 0 4 0 4	1 8 1 8 1 8	2 4 2 8	3 12 3 0	Will be tale 6 of irri specia	2 0	10	1 8
15 																		a

Note.—The block type figures represent the Commissioner's rates in cases where modifications are proposed.

^{*} This includes rabi crops which have been irrigated (in any way, except from wells) after being sown.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Deputy Commissioner's office, Jacobabad, 28th March 1905.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

To

The Commissioner in Sind.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit proposals for the revision of the settlement in taluka Jacobabad of this district.

2. The taluka is bounded on the north and west by Baluchistan (tahsil Nasirabad), south by taluka Shahdadpur, the Ratodero taluka of Larkana and the Naushahro Abro and Shikarpur talukas of Sukkur, east by taluka Thul.

Its area is 462 square miles, and it is divided into 99 dehs.

3. The population is 64,972. As there are no manufactures or trades population, trades, etc.

Population, trades, etc.

Of any importance, most of the population are connected in some way with agriculture. The Sindhi Musalmans (Jamots) form the bulk of the population, but the part between Miranpur and Garhi Khairo is almost entirely a Balochi country. This makes little difference from a set lement point of view, for the Baloch zamindars and cultivators here are as good as any one else. Although they are Balochis of pure blood and primitive customs, yet they are much superior as farmers to those in other parts of the district.

The Buledhi of Kandhkot taluka lives in a brushwood hut, and throws down his millet seed in a half-cleared jungle; but the western Buledhi grows rice in a neat field, surrounded by trees, and lives in a paka village, sometimes with a garden. I mention this because it is a new state of things and is due to the extension of rice cultivation.

- 4. The district contains only one town—Jacobabad, with a population of 10,787. There is only one regiment here now; but the reduction of the garrison has not reduced the prosperity of the town more than the increasing grain trade has increased it. If the last regiment is taken away, Jacobabad will still flourish. It is a rising grain market and horse market, but has no other trade of importance.
- 5. The soil is poor. There are large stretches of sand, and a great deal of kalar. The taluka is far from the river, and the soil of Sind is not really very fertile, except where it has been fertilised by river silt.

Since the last settlement, kalar has increased greatly, and there are patches in almost every field. I do not say this from hearsay, because I have watched the change with my own eyes. Indeed, even one new to the taluka could not help noticing it. The thick stubble of previous juari crops, standing in a soil which resembles Christmas cake, tells its own story.

6. The water-supply is good. Except about 3 dehs, the whole taluka is irrigated by the Begari. The land is low, and during the last few years the Begari has been allowed to

flow at a high level, and has done so safely. But in the last year or so, the

The chief rabi crop is gram. It is on the increase, because it does not impoverish the soil. It is liable to severe damage by caterpillars. Wheat is very little grown. Jambho and colza (sariha) are common.

In dubari, gram and matar (chickling vetch) are the chief crops. Dubari wheat is rare, but increasing.

The following table shows the proportion of the various kinds of cultivation in the first four years of the existing settlement and in the last year:—

•		1st four years.	1903-1904.
Rice	•••	14,836	31,112
\mathbf{K} harif flo \mathbf{w}	***	52,712	47,682
Kharif lift	•••	3,711	2,360
Rabi (bosi)	•••	18,375	31,169
Dubari		15.647	34,171

This is most instructive. It not only shows a great general increase, chiefly owing to the present high level of the Begari, but also shows the enormous increase of rice and consequently of dubari. This increase is inevitable. Rice suits the soil well, and two good crops can be grown every year without fallow. It has no enemies but drought, and at present the water-supply is sufficient in this taluka.

Lastly, rice is under-assessed, and the dubari which accompanies it practically unassessed.

If the figures of the current year were shown, the increase of rice would be even more striking. The increase in rabi is not of such a permanent nature, and has not, I think, been kept up this year. It was due to the especially favourable inundation of 1903.

12. The climate is severe. The extreme range of the thermometer at the Jacobabad Observatory is from 127° to 24° in the shade, and the annual range is usually between 90° and 100°. The heat does not damage kharif crops, if properly watered; but the cold (occasionally 10 or 15 degrees of frost in the open) does damage the rabi crops.

The severe and prolonged frost of this winter has done great damage.

The normal annual rainfall is 3.78 inches. This is enough, if it falls regularly and at the right times. But often most of it is in spring, when it does more harm than good.

Rain is useful for unirrigated rabi crops, and occasionally for the kharif crops, if it comes during a fall in the river; but generally the failure of the monsoon is a matter of indifference.

13. The out-turn of the crops is, I consider, the most important of all things to be considered in framing a settlement. In many settlements, it has been disregarded, or else only mentioned in a few words accompanied by figures derived from zamindars' statements—an obviously untrustworthy source.

This year I have done a number of small special crop experiments to get a standard by which to judge crops; and, as I have known the taluka four years, and have since done a special tour to every corner of it, mostly during harvest

time, I think I have now a fairly good idea of what the crops are worth. I attach the results of some rice experiments, with a calculation of what the assessment would be, if fixed in each case at 40 per cent. of the khatadar's net assets, which I believe is considered a fair rate. The only item I have not included is that of clearance expenses, because a deduction is made on that account from the assessment. The prices shown are those sanctioned for kharif remissions this year.

I may say that sugdasi rice in this taluka, under normally favourable conditions, produces anything from 1 kharar to 2 kharars to the acre (i. e., 1,600 to 3,200 pounds). There are many thousands of acres of rice as good as No. 9 (1 kharar 28 kasas). The two bad fields (Nos. 5 and 7) in which I experimented were chosen for their badness, and are exceptional in dehs of the first two groups.

The average in good dehs is probably 1 to 11 kharars per acre. The yield of salari rice under favourable conditions does not, I think, vary much from 1 kharar per acre. In former times, I believe, salari was the staple rice crop of this taluka; but now in all dehs where much rice is grown, sugdasi is almost invariable.

In my juari experiments, I found so often that the produce of average unmanured fields came to about 26 kasas per acre that I think that may be taken as the normal out-turn.

I found as much as 1 kharar 15 kasas per acre in one highly manured field, but manured fields are very rare. The price of juari varies according to the kind, but Rs. 33 is about the average.

```
26 kasas at Rs. 33 per kharar ... Rs. 14\frac{3}{10}. Khatadar's share (say \frac{8}{5}ths) ... , 8\frac{1}{2}. Assessment at 40 per cent. ... 3\frac{2}{5}.
```

But this is exclusive of carriage to market.

The out-turn would be a good deal less in a year when caterpillars were bad, as they often are. This year little damage was done by them.

The out-turn of bajri is less (18—20 kasas per acre is common), but the difference in price makes it about equal to juari. I have done no experiments on til, partly because they are difficult and lengthy, and partly because there is no normal yield for such a valuable and delicate crop. Also, it is interchangeable with juari and bajri, so it must pay about as well in the long run.

I have not, of course, been able to experiment on rabi crops (all the early ones having been damaged by frost), but I think that their out-turn approximates in value to those of the kharif flow crops, and they are equally subject to loss from causes unconnected with water-supply. Wheat is a more paying crop, but there is very little soil in this taluka that will grow wheat without irrigation. What bosi wheat there is, is almost always manured.

14. In this taluka, the batai system is most common, and cash rents are rare. The customary rates of batai are as follow:—

Khatadar's share.

Rice,	•••		$\frac{1}{2}$ (sometimes $\frac{9}{20}$ ths).
Kharif flow	•••		$\frac{3}{5}$ ths or $\frac{5}{9}$ ths (sometimes $\frac{1}{2}$),
Kharif lift		***	$\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{2}{5}$ ths (sometimes $\frac{4}{6}$ ths).
Rabi wheat	•••		$\frac{1}{2}$ (sometimes $\frac{2}{5}$ ths).
Rabi other crops			\$ths or \$ths.

The khatadar's share (or rent) is higher than it is in other districts but the tenant has compensating advantages. He does no clearance work, and the straw is his perquisite. Some khatadars near the town take a share of the straw; but shaw (even karbi) is usually not worth selling outside a radius of 8 miles.

Cash rents are taken on some lands near the town, and they are usually Rs. 6—Rs. 8 per acre, but these lands are poor, and too high to grow rice.

Value of land.

But although it is impossible to arrive at any clear idea of the average value of land from such widely divergent figures, yet two things seem clear. One is that the value of land in general is rising, and the other is that the number of both sales and mortgages is decreasing. In 1896, almost all the land sold was sold at Rs. 10 per acre; the proportion of land sold at the lowest rates then became gradually less, until in 1903 the majority of the sales were at Rs. 35 and Rs. 64. On the other hand, even the latter figure, calculating at 20-years' purchase, only shows a rent of Rs. 3-3; so it is obvious that as long as land continues to be sold at Rs. 15 per acre, it is not safe to raise the rates on the poorer kinds of cultivation or in the poorer dehs.

16. The settlement now in force was framed by Mr Mules in 1893, but altered in some respects by Sir Evan James before sanction.

The rice rates proposed by Mr. Mules were 4 annas higher than those actually sanctioned.

The rates are as follow:-

		Ι			II		III			
Kharif—	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	р.	Rs.	a.	p.	
Garden and rice	3	8	0	3	4	0	3	0	0	
Other crops under flow.		12	0	2	8	0		4	Ŏ	
Lift	a	4	0	2	0	0		$\overline{12}$	0	
Lift aided by flow	2	12	0	2 2	8	0	2	4	0	
Rabi-	1									
Bosi	2	12	0	2	8	0	2	4	0	
Bosi aided by lift or flow	3	4	0	3	0	0		12	0	
Sailabi		0	0	Į.	12	0	2	8	0	
Sailabi aided by lift		8	0	3	4	0	3	0	0	
Lift	3	4	0	3	0	0		12	0	
Dubari	0	4	0	0	4	0	Ö	4	0	
Barani	1	8	0	1	8	0	1	8	Ô	

Existing groups. GROUP I.

	Ottont I'	
Jacobabad.	Badal Wah.	Cantonment,
Mehrabpur.	Lal Lodro.	Pir Padhro.
Akilpur.	Dasti.	Gokalpur.
Ahmedpur.	Dilawarpur.	Kadirpur.
Abdulah Drakhan.	Bachalpur.	Khalulabad.
Alipur.	Meharshah.	Sumapur.
Abad.	Kaisarbad.	Malhuabad.
Garhi Chand.	Mauladad,	Aurangabad.
Garhi Mehrab.	Mullah Rato.	Ramzanpur.
Kaureja.	Thariri Bhaleno.	Tajo Dero.
Sheranpur.	Bhalenabad.	Izmatahad.
Pir Bakhsh,	Khair Wah.	Nizamabad.
Jahanpur.	Nawra.	Amirabad.
Alanpur.	Dhad,	Jamalabad.
Wah Ali Haidar.	Rahimabad.	Khudabad.
Kohiri.	Fatihpur.	Son Wah.
Lal Wah.	Shahpur.	Duniapur.
98-2	-	<u>.</u>

Existing groups—contd.

GROUP I-contd.

Allahabad.	Dodapur.	Jagire.
Rasulabad.	Kur Rato.	Wakro.
Jafarabad.	Daro Jiand.	Ghausabad.
Kur Khairo Gachal.	Kotri.	Janidero.
Kur Biro.	Garhi Khairo.	Rindi Wahi.
Sanwan Lashari.	Wasayo.	Dadpur.

Nawazo.

GROUP II.

Shahdadpur.	Mundranipur.	Kimatabad.
Burij Salemi.	Ghauspur.	Khanpur.
Miranpur.	Attai.	Dital Wah.
Thariri.	Chajra.	Gul Wah.
Sultanpur.	Bajhani.	Lal Odho.

Detha. Reti.

GROUP III.

Bakapur.	Muhammadpur.	Milkiat-i-Sarkar.
Belo Alipur.	Wariamabad.	Shahid.
Rasalabad.	Umranipur.	Hazaro.
Hambhi.	Phatan Wah.	Khan Wah.

Forests.

Belo Dickenson.

5 - 1 - 11 - 11 1	17.	The rates and	groups	which	I propose	are
Proposed settlement.	as follo					

Proposed rates.

				I-A.		I-B.		II.		II	I.
Kharif— Rice		•••		Rs. 4. 2	a. 8 12	Rs.	a. 0 12	Rs.	a. 0 8	Rs. 3	8
Flow Lift and lift Irrigated wo	aided ods and	by flow I meadows	•••	2	4 6	2 1	4 6	2 2 1	0 4	1	12 2
Rabi— Unirrigated Irrigated Chahi	•••	•••	•••	2 3 2	12 4 4	2 3 2	12 4 4	2 3 2	8 0 0		4 12 12
Dubari— Unirrigated Irrigated Barani	•••	•••	•••	1 2 1	0 0 8	1 2 1	0 0 8	1 2 1	0 0 8	1 2 1	0 0 8

Gardens and melon beds to be assessed according to mode of irrigation.

In the case of rabi and dubari, "irrigated" means crops which have been irrigated (in any way except from wells) after being sown.

[&]quot;Chahi" means cultivation watered by well alone.

Proposed groups.

I-A.

Jacobabad.
Mahrabpur.
Akilpur.
Ahmedpur.
Abdullah Drakban.

Alipur. Abad. Garhi Chand. Garhi Mahrab. Kaureja. Sheranpur.
Pir Bakhsh.
Jahanpur.
Alanpur.
Wah Ali Haidar.

Kohiri. Lal Wah.

Jagirs.

Wakro. Ghausabad. Belo Dickenson.

1-B.

Badhal Wah. Lal Lodro. Dasti, Dilawarpur. Bachalpur.

Mehar Shah. Kaisarabad. Mauladad. Mulah Rato. Thariri Bhaleno. Bhalenabad. Khair Wah. Fatihpur. Shahdadpur. Shahpur.

Cantonment.

Jagirs.

Jani Dero. Dadpur. Nawazo. Rind Wahi.

IT.

Bakapur.
Burij Salimi.
Rasalabad.
Belo Alipur.
Pir Padhro.
Gokalpur.
Miranpur.
Thariri.
Sultanpur.
Mundranipur.
Hambi.
Kadirpur.
Khalulabad.
Sumapur.

Malhuabad.
Ghauspur.
Attai.
Aurangabad.
Chhajra.
Bajhani.
Ramzanpur.
Tajo Dero.
Izmatabad.
Kimatabad.
Khanpur.
Muhammadpur.
Gul Wah.
Dittal Wah.

Nizamabad.
Amirabad.
Jamalabad.
Khudabad.
Duniapur
Allahabad.
Rasulabad.
Sawan Lashari.
Jafarabad.
Son Wah.

Kur Khairo Gachal. Kur Biro. Lal Odho.

Nawra.

Dhad. Rahimabad.

III.

Wariamabad. Umranipur. Phatan Wah. Detha. Dodapur. Reti. Shahid. Hazaro. Khan Wah. Kotri.

Kur Rato. Daro Jiand. Wasayo, Garhi Khairo. Milkiat-i-Sarkar.

The chief features of my proposals are—

- (1) a considerable increase in the rates on rice and dubari;
- (2) no alteration (with trifling exceptions) in the other rates; and
- (3) the lowering from the 1st group of the dehs most distant from market.
- 18. Before discussing the rates, it will be useful to show what they are in adjoining and neighbouring talukas.

			SHIKA	RPUH					NAUS	Ħ▲ĦR	о Авв	ю.		
		f	1:	[111	 l	1		II		111	1	17	7
Kharif—		Rs. a	Rs.	8.	Rs.	2.	Rs.	a.	Rs.	a.	Rs.	a.	Rs.	
Rice Flow Lift		4 8 3 12 3 8	3	12 0 12	3 2 2	6 12 8	4 3 5	8 8 0	4 3 2	0 4 12	3 3 2	·8 0 8	3 2 2	0 8 0
Rabi-														
Bosi Bosi + lift Lift	•••	3 12 4 8 4 4	4	0 0 12	3 4 3	$0\\0\\12$	3 4 4	8 4 0	3 4 3	4 0 12	3 3	0 12 8	2 3 3	8 4 0
Kharif—		ı	Lur (L	ropo	sed).					Rato	DERO.			
Rice Flow Lift	••• •••	3 12 2 12 2 4	2	8 8 0	3 2 1	4 4 12	3 3 2	0	3 2 2	8 12 4	3 2 2	4 8 0	2	12 0 12
Rabi—														
Bosi Bosi + lift Lift	•••	2 12 3 13 3 12	8	8 8 8	2 3 3	4 4 4		0 12 12	3 3	12 8 8	2 3 3	8 4 4	2	12 12
			Shahd	ADPU:	B.					Lar	Kana.			
Kharif		I-A	I-I	В	11	I					•			
Rice Flow Lift	•••	2 4	3 1 2 2 2	0 4 0	2 2 1	14 2 14	5 3 3	12	3 3	12 0	3 3 2	8 0 8	3 2 2	12
Rabi-														
Bosi Posi + lift Lit	***	3 (2) 3) 3	8 4 4	2 2 2	2 14 14	3 4 4	12 4 0	4	12 0 12	3 3 3	12	3 3	12
		JA	COBABAI	o (pr	esent),				JAGOE	ABAI	prop	osed).	
Kharif-		I		I	ı	11	I	-A		I-B	1	11	,	11
Rice Flow Lift		2 1		8 8	3 2 1	0 4 12	4 2 2	8 12 4	4 2 2	0 12 4	4 2 2	0 8 0	3 2 1	1 1 2
Rabi-													}	
Bosi Bosi + lift Lift	••	. 3	2 2 4 3 4 3	2 8 3 0 3 0	2 2 2	1 12 1 12	2 3 3	12 4 4	3 3	12 4 4	2 3 3	8 0 0	2 2 2	12

For the sake of clearness, I have omitted minor heads.

Rice.—I propose a considerable increase in rice rates. I have already shown what an enormous increase there has been in rice cultivation, the area having more than doubled (it has probably trebled now) during nine years of the present settlement. I have also said that high grade rice is taking the place of low grade. In the paragraph on out-turn (No. 13), I have shown how very productive this crop is and how free from loss by insects or bad

weather. It remains to say that rice uses from twice to thrice as much water as a dry crop. Now, this in an irrigational settlement is a consideration of the first importance. From an irrigational point of view, the rice + dubari rate should be not less than double the flow rate (lift may be disregarded in the higher groups of this taluka). From this point of view, therefore, my proposed rates for groups I-A, II and III are correct.

	Rs. a.	Rs. a.		Rs.	
I-A	$\cdots \begin{cases} 4 & 8 \text{ (rice)} \\ 2 & 12 \text{ (flow)} \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} + & 1 & 0 \\ \times & 2 & 0 \end{array}$	(dubari) = =	5 5	
	$\dots \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 0 & + & 1 \\ 2 & 12 & \times & 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right.$		=	5 5	
II	$\dots \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 4 & 0 & + & 1 \\ 2 & 8 & \times & 2 \end{array} \right.$	0	=	5 5	0
III	$$ $\begin{cases} 3 & 8 & + & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & \times & 3 \end{cases}$	0 0		4 . 4.	_

I-B contains little rice, and a certain amount of lift.

The other points to consider in fixing rates are the out-turn, the khatadar's share and the prices. These can be considered all together. I have already shown that the out-turn of a moderate crop of sugdasi rice or a good crop of suthri rice in I-A group deh is about 1 kharar to the acre.

At present prices, which are low-

	At Jacobabad.	25 Miles off.
	Rs.	Ra.
1 kharar of sugdasi	=30	25.
l do. sathri	=25	20.
Khatadar's share of sugdas	i = 15	$12\frac{1}{2}$.
Do. sathri	$= 12\frac{1}{2}$	1.0.
40 per cent. of share $sugda$	si = 6	5.
40 do. sathri	= 5	4.

I assume that 40 per cent. of the assets* is a fair assessment. I do not

*Note.— "Assets" in settlement language means rent+profits of Sir lands. There are Sir lands in Sind called "Seri," but their extent is too small to be worth considering.

think that we ought to take more in view of the amount of debt which exists even with lower rates. It must also be remembered that a land-owner has numerous expenses incidental to his position which cannot be brought into a calculation of this kind. The expense of clearance, if it is well done, commonly

exceeds the allowance made for it. Nor must had years be left out of consideration, because our Remission Rules are not lenient enough to prevent dead loss in many cases.

According to this calculation, then, my proposed rate for group I-A (Rs. 4-8) is about right for moderate crops at rather long distances and for poor crops at short distances, but light for really good crops anywhere. The rate is about the same as in most good rice talukas. It is 12 annas less than in group I of Larkana. I know that Jacobabad rice is of somewhat inferior quality to Larkana rice, but I do not think it is inferior in out-turn. The only recorded crop experiment on rice in the famous "mail" country shows an out-turn of I kharar 10 kasas per acre, and the Collector informs me that I kharar 20 kasas is considered a normal good crop. It would not be considered anything more in Jacobabad, and I have seen many fields which exceed it greatly.

I think this shows that my rate is not too high. I do not think it is too low, seeing that it makes a rise of 28 per cent. Allowance must be made for the inferior fields which exist in even the best dehs, and also for the possibility of canal failures.

Flow—I propose no alteration in the flow rates. So far from improving since the last settlement, the kharif dry crops have, in most places rather deteriorated. One reason is the alkalisation of the soil, which I have mentioned above. Another is the increase of insect pests, The rise in the level of the

Begari and the increase of rice cultivation have rendered many lands too wet for dry crops.

I have calculated above that the khatadar's share of an ordinary dry crop in a good year is worth about Rs. 8, of which 40 per cent. = Rs. 3\frac{1}{2}. Allowing for expenses of transport from moderate distances, and also allowing for bad years, I think the present rate of Rs. 2-12 is as high as is safe,

There are a few fields close to Jacobabad town for which this rate is very light; but the majority of the land in the same dehs is bad—so bad that in many places only bajri is grown, although they are within sight of the cavalry lines, which afford an excellent market for juari and karbi.

On the other hand, the Rs. 2-12 rate is too high for the more distant dehs of the present 1st group. This rate is a good deal lower than the rates in the other districts of Upper Sind, but I know from experience that the dry crops of those districts are far superior.

Lift.—The present difference between the flow and lift rates (8 annas) is not—in this taluka, at least—proportionately less than the difference between the khatadar's share on flow and lift lands, respectively. I therefore propose no alteration.

Flow + lift.—This rate is now the same as flow. I propose to make it the same as lift. I have written a good deal on this subject in other settlement reports (Rohri, Thul, etc). I will now only say that, to prevent traud and simplify work, it is best to have no separate rate for this mixed mode of irrigation; and both objects are best attained by assimilating it to lift instead of to flow. Also, and this is really the main point, the batai rate on flow + lift is usually the same as on lift.

Gardens.—The present practice is to make gardens pay the rice rate or a special rate higher still. I propose to change this and abolish the heading "gardens" altogether. For one thing, it is against the principles of an irrigation settlement to assess according to the kind of crop instead of the kind of irrigation. It may be urged that a special rate is necessary, because it is hard to say whether a garden is cultivated in kharif or in rabi. But I think this difficulty is much less than the difficulty, which arises constantly under the present system, of deciding what is and what is not garden cultivation. Correspondence about the patch of turnips in A's wheat field and the water-melons in the corner of B's juari are familiar features of Sind jamabandis.

It is a common idea that garden owners make a lot of money, and that therefore they ought to be taxed highly. I know, however, from personal experience that gardening at Jacobabad does not necessarily pay at all, and when it does, the profit is due to capital and hard work, which are not rateable assets. Of course, if gardens took excessive water or occupied land which might grow rice, it would be fair to assess them at the rice rate; but naturally they can do neither. Again, most of the so-called gardens in this taluka are merely melon beds. The melons are grown in trenches in high sandy lands which will not grow anything else. They cannot use much water, and the tenants have to work very hard to make them pay. It is surely wrong to put a special high rate on such lands as these.

The figures, also, are instructive. They are always let on eash rents which vary from Rs. 5 to Rs. 10 per acre, Rs 7 being the commonest. Now, juari crops in the same dehs (Jacobabad, etc.) sell standing for anything over Rs. 20 per acre. Taking the lowest figure, the khatadar's share is Rs. 12, whereas Rs. 10 is an outside price for a melon patch. Yet at present the assessment on the latter is much higher.

In out-lying villages, tenants cannot pay any rent higher than the assessment, and many melon beds have been abandoned.

My proposal is that gardens shall be treated like everything else, and assessed according to the mode of irrigation. Thus, the few real market gardens will pay the kharif flow or lift rate + dubari = Rs. 3-12 or Rs. 3-4 in 1st group dehs, while melon beds and mango groves, only irrigated in the inundation, will pay kharif flow or lift alone (Rs. 2-12 or Rs. 2-4). The best gardens, therefore, will not be under-assessed, but will pay rather more than

they do at present, though less than the proposed rice rate; while the others will receive the relief which they deserve.

Rabi bosi.—I think it is best to keep to the simple plan of having the same rate for rabi bosi and kharif flow. The two are sufficiently interchangeable to ensure that they pay about equally well and the batai rates are generally the same. Wheat is rare and does not do very well without irrigation. On the other hand, rabi bosi takes less water than kharif flow; but it takes its water at an inconvenient time, and it is not advisable to encourage it by a special rate, especially as the Begari is not supposed to be designed for it.

Watered rabi.—There is very little of this here, and it saves trouble not to distinguish between the various kinds.

The Desert canal tail is now giving a perennial flow supply in a small area which would bear higher rates; but it is not really a perennial canel, and next year the supply may fail. I therefore maintain the old rates.

Dubari.—For this, I propose a considerable increase. The universal rate is 4 annas per acre. In Rohri, I obtained sanction for an increase to 8 annas per acre, and have proposed the same for Thul, Kandhkot and Kashmor. I now propose Re. I per acre. This, too, is only a compromise, as my own belief is that dubari should be treated as an ordinary rabi crop. If it is considered against the principles of the settlement to take two assessments in one year, then the rice assessments should be made very much higher. This, however, would press hardly on rice lands which do not grow dubari, and would not meet the case of other lands which do. And as a second assessment is already taken, it may as well be a fair one.

I suppose the 4-annas rate was fixed on the assumptions—

- (a) that dubari crops were very unprofitable;
- (b) that they did not take any water;
- (c) that they took some thing out of the soil.

Whatever may have been the case in those days, these assumptions are not now correct.

- (a) Dubari is now almost universal in rice lands, and quite common on dry crop lands. As far as I can see, dubari crops are little, if at all, inferior to ordinary rabi crops. It is true that matar is the most common crop, but matar does not pay badly; matar crops near the town sell standing for very high prices. Some of our best gram crops are dubari, and in some dehs people are beginning to grow dubari wheat.
- (b) It is also becoming a common practice to water the juari stubbles and grow rabi on them.
- (c) As dubari crops are generally leguminous, they do not impoverish the soil.

Some suburban land-owners grow juari with gram or matar to follow each year. They sell each crop green, and make at least Rs. 50 an acre gross—probably, half of it from the second crop. Their assessment is Rs. 2-12 for juari and 4 annas for dubari. Rice growers all over the taluka also make large profits from dubari. I see no reason why Government should make nothing out of all this unearned increment.

The increase of assessment under this head will be considerable, and, as I am already raising the rice rates, I think a rate of Re. 1 per acre will be sufficient for the present. It is not worth while to vary such a low rate according to groups.

I propose Rs. 2 an acre for irrigated dubari, of which there is very little. It is the same rate as is now paid on the Sukkur canal.

The rates on woods and meadows and chahi are in accordance with special circulars.

19. In this taluka, the best rice and the best dry crops are not found in the same deh. Extensive rice cultivation deteriorates the soil of the neighbouring dry fields, and on the other hand rice is seldom seen at its best in the dehs mostly cultivated with dry crops.

For this reason, I have found it necessary to propose two 1st groups, differing only in their rice rates. Roughly speaking, group I-A contains the best rice dehs and group I-B the best dry crop dehs. I have put Jacobabad and Akilpur in I-A, although they do not grow very much rice, because what rice they do grow is quite good, and, as they are very near the town, there is no reason to let them off the highest rates. The I-B dehs contain little rice, and that not capable of bearing the highest rate.

Some of the I-A dehs (i. e., Lal Wah and Wah Ali Haidar) are a long way from market, but the excellence of their crops more than compensates for this. Some of the I-B dehs are by no means good, but they are near the town and can easily pay the light dry crop rates, as they do now. The only deh raised from the 2nd to the 1st group is Shahdadpur, which grows about the best juari in the taluka.

Group II contains both rice and dry crop dehs. Some are at moderate distances from market, but of inferior soil; others are good, but remote. Some of the latter grow magnificent rice, but they are 25—35 miles from market, and their water supply is likely to deteriorate during the next 5 years—

E. g., Sawan Lashari.

Jafarabad.

Jamalabad,

Duniapur.

Thariri is perhaps fit for group I, but the rise would be too abrupt.

Of the dehs raised to this class, Muhammadpur has a greatly improved water-supply, and is closer to Shikarpur than any other deh.

Bakapur is quite near Jacobabad. It was put in group III by Mr. Mules because it is at the tail of the Nur Wah, where the water-supply is precarious. Its kharif supply is certainly bad, but of late years it has been almost entirely covered with excellent rabi crops.

These are near the town, and superior Hambi to other 3rd class dehs of the dehs re-Rasalabad ...) duced from the 1st class to the second. Belo Alipur Pir Padhro Gokalpur ••• Kadirpur Khalulabad These are moderately close to market, ,... **}** but their soil is distinctly inferior and Sumapur *** *** has probably deteriorated. Malhuabad Aurangabad Ramzanpur Nizamabad ٠., Amirabad Jamalabad ••• Khudabad Duniapur ... These are all fairly good dehs, and Alahabad } some of them grow fine rice. But, as Rasulabad ••• already explained, their great distance Sawan Lashari from market must be taken into account. Jafarabad Son Wah ••• Kur Khairo Gachal Kur Biro Izmatabad This is now an exclusively rice-growing Tajo Dero deh, and is surrounded by 1st group dehs. But its crops are inferior throughout, probably owing to its sandy soil, It will probably improve. These dehs resemble the I-B dehs, and are not very far from market. But the Nara

Dhad

Rahimabad

karias which irrigate them are of

enormous length, and the clearance

expenses are very heavy.

Group III.

Wariamabad Umranipur Phatan Wah	***	These are close to town, but are on the tail of the Nur Wah, and get very little water.
Detha Milkiat-i-Sarl	 Kar	} These are nothing but sand.
Shahid Khan Wah Hazaro	•••	Hazaro has no cultivation at all. It is nominally on the Sind canal system, but gets no water. The others are little better.
Reti	•••	{ Reduced from group II. Its soil is all salt and sand.
Dodapur Kur Rato Daro Jiand	•••	Reduced from group I. It will be observed that these delts are a very great distance from market. Probably,
17 a t mi		I their soil has deteriors ted but now of

any rate, it is extremely bad. The dry crops near Dodapur and the rice patch near Kotri are about the worst in the taluka. It is true that the area of sowing is fully kept up, though much of the seed sown does not germinate. But it is a common place of Sind settlement reports that area of cultivation proves little. At most, a large area of cultivation only proves that the haris can exist on their share of the crop. In these dehs, each hari cultivates a large area, so they get on all right even with very poor crops. The khatadar of all these dehs is a very wealthy zamindar, who also owns the rich rice land of Wah Ali Haidar, as well as estates in several other talukas. Very heavy losses on these inferior lands might make him turn his haris away, but, as long as he can just pay the assessment, he will not do so. It is presumably not the object of Government to keep assessment up to this point.

The adjoining dehs of Ratodero taluka, which look better, are in the 4th group, and pay less than my 3rd group rates. I believe Ratodero is much under-assessed as regards rice rates, but my proposed rice rates in the 3rd group are 12 annas higher.

Wasao ... These are the most remote of all, and their water-supply is failing already.

Hereafter, if necessary, these groups might be called I, II, III and IV. But, for the purposes of this report, it seems much more convenient to call them I-A, I-B, II and III, because all the chief rates in them, except the rice rate, are the same as in the corresponding groups of the existing settlement.

Clearance rebates.

20. I propose the continuance of the present rebate rates, which are the ordinary ones—

3 annas for flow. 4 annas for lift.

The actual expense of clearance is commonly 8 annas to Re. 1 per acre. My reasons for not proposing special rates I have already shown in connection with the Thul and Kashmor reports.

There are several very long karias in the taluka, but I have been careful not to put any deh in the 1st group which is far from the main canal. As the lands on the tails of the karias as a rule only grow dry crops, and the dry crop rates are low, it is unnecessary to make further allowances.

Financial results.

21. The proposed changes, worked out according to the rules on the average cultivation of the past 4 years, result in an increase of 10.70 per cent., the total assessment being raised from Rs. 3,05,408 to Rs. 3,38,072. In reality, the increase will probably be more, because the amount of rice and dubari cultivation is already far above the average of the last 4 years, and a further increase is more likely than a decrease, even under present circumstances. If the

Begari re-modelling scheme is carried out so as to permit of general rice growing, the revenue of the taluka will, I think, soon exceed 4 lakhs at the proposed rates. Taking the figures as they stand, I think the increase will be considered a reasonable one. The changes will give a considerable amount of relief to the owners of the less favoured lands at the expense of those who can well afford an increase.

In many cases, zamindars will be able to avoid increased assessment, if they wish to, by abstaining from rice cultivation. But I do not think they will.

In four dehs, the enhancement exceeds 33 per cent., namely :-

Sheranpur, 37 per cent. Pir Bakhsh, 34 per cent. Jahanpur, 36 per cent. Kohiri, 41 per cent.

This is due to the fact that dubari crops are grown almost throughout these dehs. The same fact accounts for the low average rate of assessment (dubari being reckoned as a separate crop). The average is only Rs. 2-13 even in Kohiri, a fine rice deh, where wheat is commonly grown as dubari. If dubari were not reckoned separately, the average rate would come to Rs. 5-2.

Period of guarantee.

22. By the time that the new settlement comes into force, it is probable that the Begari extension scheme will be in course of execution. By the fifth or sixth year of the settlement, it should be in working order, and by the ninth or tenth year, its results should be known. If the scheme is carried out on a liberal scale, it will then be possible to raise some of the lower group dehs, and it is likely that a further enhancement in the rice and dubari rates will be justified. Under these circumstances, it does not seem advisable to guarantee the settlement for a longer period than 10 years.

I have the honour to be,

Sir.

Your most obedient servant.

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

RESULT of RICE EXPERIMENTS.

(Vide paragraph 13.)

Number.	Deh.	Crop.	Price per kharar.	Out-turn per acre.	Value per acre,	Share at ½ of remainder.	Cal	ost of rriage.	Net pro- duce.	Fair assess- ment, i. e., 40 per cent. of assets.	Actual present assess- ment.	Remarks.
		Rice.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rg.	Miles.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Fatehpur I	Sathri	25	50 Krsas	20	10 @ }	1	9	9	3 3 5	33	Moderate crop. Za- mindar's estimate 36 kasas per acre.
2	Garhi Chand I	Sathri	25	1 Kharar	25	121 @ 1	ž	8	103	43	31	Good erop for sathrs.
3	Garhi Chand I	Sathri	25	1 Kharar	25	121 @ 1	3	8	103	4 3 10	31	Similar to above.
4	Garhi Mahrab I.	Sugdasi	30	2 Kharars 6 Kasas.	63	311 @ 1	13	9	30	12	3}	Very good crop, but others in neighbour- hood about as good.
5	Garhi Mahrab I.	Sugdasi	30	371 Kasas	18	9 @ }	ł	9	81	8 1	3 <u>1</u>	Worst crop in neigh- bourhood.
6	Garhi Mahrab I.	Sugdasi	30	1 Kharar 4 Kasas.	32	16 @ 1	2	9	15 1	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	31/2	Crop fair to poor.
7	Chhajra	Sugdasï	30	14 Kasas	7	31 @ 1	1	15	3	1-1-5	8‡	Worst sugdasi crop in neighbourhood, and experiment taken in worst part of it.
8	Wah Ali Hai- dar I.	Sugdasi	80	1 Kharar 4 Kasas.	32	16 @ }	21	25	13}	5 2/5	31	Fair crop, but below average of deh.
9	Wah Ali Hai- dar I.	Sugdasi	80	1 Kharar 28 Kasas.	44	22 @ }	3	25	19	7 3 5	3}	Good crop.

Note .- 60 Kasas=1 Kharar.

The word kharar when used in this report means the ropahi kharar, which for rice weighs 20 maunds, juari 25 maunds, and gram 26 maunds.

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

No. 571 of 1905.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

Camp Nur Wah mouth, 10th February 1905.

From

The Executive Engineer,
Begari Canals,

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

SIR,

With reference to your No. 4424, dated the 29th November last, I have the honour to furnish the report therein asked for.

- 2. The canals which irrigate the Jacobabad taluka are as follow:-
 - (i) The Begari.
 - (ii) The Nur wah ex Begari, and to a small extent the tail of the Desert canal.
- 3. The supply in the Begari up to mile 60 may be considered excellent as far as the capabilities of the canal go, and, as the rice cultivation in this part of the canal has gone up enormously, it would tend to show that the supply is ample wherever the command is good, and where the land is suitable for this kind of crop. But this increase has, however, done damage in this way—in that it has tended to curtail the supply to those lands which are of a higher level and on which dry crops are planted. Thus, this, combined with the very long water-courses (some of which are nearly 30 miles long, extending far into Kalat) which take off the Begari in this taluka, tends to make the supply to land on the average only fair, even in this length of the canal which is the most favourable.
- 4. Below mile 60, including that part of the Sir canal which only affects one deh, the water-supply can only be described as poor. This, being due to the large draw-off of water for rice cultivation in the reaches above—a draw-off which is continued in this length as well—leaves the higher dry crop lands badly off for water at that season of the year (June, July and August) when a good supply is necessary; and this has been aggravated by the increasing growth of the more valuable kinds of rice, which require more water and for a longer period. Thus, all along the Begari in the Jacobabad taluka, the rice lands flourish exceedingly at the expense of the dry crop cultivation.
- 5. On the Nur wah, the supply is good up to the 11th or even 12th mile—that is, the N. F. S. level is kept up; below the 12th mile, all the rest of the water is practically all taken off for rice cultivation in the *dhoro*, and leaves the men at the tail so badly off that complaints are always received, and it has been necessary for many years to regulate the outlets in the *dhoro*, to enable the tail lands to receive anything like a fair supply. This causes a good deal of grumbling by those zamindars in the *dhoro*, but with little reason, the water-course heads being generally far in excess of the wants of the land. One land-owner, for instance, having sluices that should suffice for about 8,000 acres, with a holding of 900 acres, howls more loudly than any one when his water-courses are closed. On this system, too, many very long

water-courses are met with, and, as a rule, the tails of these get a rather precarious supply.

The tail of the Desert canal supplies a very small area in this taluka (two dehs only). The supply since the re-modelling has been very poor for summer crops, but excellent for winter ones. Attempts are being now made to raise the water level in the canal so as to make the summer crops good as well.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. GULLAND,

Executive Engineer,
Begari Canals District.

APPENDIX III-A.

List of villages under existing irrigational settlement in the Jacobabad taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier district.

To.	Nam s of villages.	No.	Names of villages.
	1st group.		1st group-contd.
1	Jacobabad.	55	Kur Khairo Gachul.
2	Mahrabpur.	56	Kur Biro.
3	Akilpur.	57	Sawan Lashari.
4	Ahmedpur.	58	Dodapur,
5	Abdulah Drakhan.	59	Kur Rato.
6	Alipur.	60	Daro Jiand.
7	Abad.	61	Kotri.
8	Garhi Chand.	62	Garhi Khairo.
9	Garhi Mahrab.	i 63	Wasao.
ιŏ	Koureja.	1	Tanina
1	Sheranpur.	i	$m{Jagirs.}$
2	Pir Baksh.	64	Wakro.
13	Jahanpur.	65	Ghousabad.
.4	Alanpur.	66	Jani Dero.
5	Wah Ali Haidar.	67	1 -
16 16	Kohiri.	68	Dadpur.
	Lal Wah.	69	Nawazo.
17 18	Badal Wah.	1 00	
.8 .9	Lal Lodro.		2nd group.
	Dasti.	70	Shahdadpur.
0		71	Burj Salimi.
1	Dilawarpur.	72	Miranpur.
22	Bachalpur.	73	Thariri.
3	Mehar Shah.	74	Sultanpur.
4	Kaisarabad.	75	Mundranipur.
5	Mauladad.	76	
26	Mulah Rato.		Ghouspur. Attai.
27	Thariri Bhaleno.	77	1
28	Bhalenabad.	78	Chajra.
39	Khair Wah.	79	Bajhani.
80	Nawra.	80	Kimatahad.
31	Dhad.	81	Khanpur.
32	Rahimabad.	$\frac{82}{9}$	Dittal Wah.
33	Fatihpur.	83	Gul Wah.
34	Shahpur.	84	Lal Odho.
35	Cantonment.	85	Detha.
36	Pir Padhro.	86	Reti.
37	Gokalpur.	1	3rd group.
38	Kadirpur.		
39	Khalulabad.	87	Bakapur.
Ю	Sumapur.	88	Belo Alipur.
ı.	Malhuabad.	89	Risalabad.
2	Aurangabad.	90	Hambi.
$_{2}3$	Ramzanpur.	91	Muhammadpur.
14	Tajo Dero.	92	
5	Izmatabad.	93	
ŀ6	Nizamabad.	94	
17	Amirabad.	95	Milkiat-i-Sarkar.
18	Jamalabad.	96	
49	Khudabad.	97	Hazaro.
50	Son Wah.	98	Khan Wah.
51	Duniapur.	1	1
52	Allahabad.	1	Forests.
53	Rasulabad.	ļ	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	99	Belo Dickenson.

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX III-B.

LIST of VILLAGES under proposed irrigational settlement in the Jacobabad taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier district.

No.	Names of villages.	No.	Names of villages.
	Group I.A.	<u>i</u>	Group II—contd.
1	Jacobabad.	}	droup 11 —contd.
$\dot{2}$	Mahrabpur.	48	Pir Padhro.
3	Akilpur.	49	Gokalpur.
4	Ahmedpur.	50	Miraneur.
5	Abdulah Drakhan.	51	Thariri.
6	Alipur.	52	Sultanpur.
7	Abad.	53	Mundranipur.
8	Garhi Chand.	54	Hambi.
9	Garhi Mahrab.	55	Kadirpur.
10	Koureja.	56	Khalulabad.
11	Sheranpur.	57	Sumapur.
12	Pir Baksh.	58	Malhuabad.
13	Jahanpur.	59	Ghouspur.
14	Alanpur.	60	Attai.
15	Wah Ali Haidar.	61	Aurangabad.
16	Kohiri.	62	Chajra.
17	Lal Wah.	63	Bajhani.
•		64	Ramzanpur.
~ 0	Jagirs.	65	Tajo Dero.
18	Wakro.	66	Izmatabad.
19	Ghousabad.	67	Kimatabad.
	Forest.	68	Khanpur.
2 0	Belo Dickenson.	69	Muhammadpur.
	Group I-B.	70	Gul Wah.
0.1	,	71	Dittal Wah.
21	Badhal Wah.	72	Nizamabad.
22	Lal Lodro.	73	Amirabad.
23	Dasti.	74	Jamalabad.
24	Dilawarpur.	75	Khudabad.
25	Bachalpur.	76	Son Wah.
26 97	Mehar Shah.	77	Duniapur.
27	Kaisarabad.	78	Allahabad.
28	Mauladad.	79	Rasulabad.
29	Mulah Rato.	80	Jafarahad.
30		81.	Kur Khairo Gachul.
31	Bhalenabad.	82	Kur Biro.
32	Khair Wah.	83	Lal Odho.
33	Nawra.	84	Sawan Lashari,
34	Dhad.		Group III.
$\frac{35}{36}$	Rahimahad. Fatihpur.	10-	
37	·	85	Wariamabad.
38	Shahnar	$\frac{186}{87}$	Umranipur.
39	Shahpur. Cantonment.	87	Phatan Wah.
UU		88	Dotha.
	Jagirs.	89	Milkiat-i-Sarkar.
4 0	Jani Dero.	99	Reti.
41	Rind Wahi.	91	Shahid.
4 2	Dadpur.	92	Hazaro.
43	Nawazo.	93	Khan Wah.
	Group II.	94 95	Dodapur.
44	Bakapur.	•	Kur Rato.
45	Burj Salimi.	96	Daro Jiand.
4 6	Risalabad.	97	Kotri.
47	Belo Alipur.	98	Garhi Khairo.
.a. (I TOO TETT hat.	99	Wasao.

APPENDIX IV.

AVERAGE RAINFALL for 8 years from 1896-97 to 1903-1904.

Taluka.	Station where regist	ered.		Average rainfal			
······································						Inches.	Cents.
			1896-97	August January February April June July	***	 1	24 32 25 4 16
				TOTAL	***	2	4
			1897.98	August September December January February May July	•••	2 2	4.6 39 36 4 6 29
			TOTAL		6	40	
		1898-99	December February March May	•••		13 2' 60	
acob- {	Civil Hospital	1 {		TOTAL	•••	2	
			1899-1900	February March April May	•••	***	<u>{</u>
1				TOTAL	•••	•••	2
			1900-01	August September November December January February March May July		3 1 	35 18 43 27 26 92 65 55
				TOTAL	•••	7	65
			1901-02	September March May June	•••	***	3 8 6 27
	_			TOTAL	•••		44

Taluka.	Station where registered.	Mont	hs.	Average rainfall.		
		Sej De Jan	gust ptember cember nuary bruary	Inches. 1 2 4	Cents. 18 50 1 5	
Jacob- abad— continued.	Civil Hospital—{		rch ril ny ne	***	5 2 38 35 13 29	
eomemaca.		1002 1004) Fel	TOTAL auary bruary reh ae		93 68 11 57 30	
	Ĺ		TOTAL	2	66	

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner,

Upper Sind Frontier.

23

APPENDIX V.

DETAILS OF POPULATION.

Taluka.	Caste.	Males		TOTAL	FEMALES		TOTAL	TOTAL POPULA-	CAN READ OR WRITE OR LEARNING.	
		Under 15,	Over 15.	MALES.	Under 15,	Over 15.	FEMALES.	TION.	Males, per cent.	Fennales, per cent.
Jacoba- bad. {	Hindus Muhammadans. Christians Jains	2,154 12,380 3 5	2,864 19,231 29 5	5,018 31,611 32 10 36,671	934 11,025 6 3	2,258 14,0 54 15 6 16,333	3,192 25,679 21 9	8,210 56,690 53 19 64,972	28·0 1·23 10·00 4·90	

APPENDIX VI.

OCCUPATION OF PEOPLE.

Taluka.	No. of sur	veyed	Occupation	icultural 6	Num	BER.
Taiuka.	villages		Occupation.		No.	Per cent.
Jacobabad	98	{	Agricultural Partly agricultural Non-agricultural	•••	1,854 63,118	2·85 97·15
			TOTAL	•••	64,972	100.00

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX VII. STATEMENT showing sales in the Jacobabad taluka.

Year.	Number of cases.	Area.	Total sum for which sold.	Sale rate per acre.	Total Average rate per acre.	Passed into the hands of Hindus from Muhammadans.
1896	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 15 11 to 20 ,, ,, ,, 8 21 to 30 ,, ,, ,, 2 41 to 50 ,, ,, ,, 3 61 to 70 ,, ,, ,, 1 231 to 240 ,, ,, ,, 30	A. g. 3,616 12 111 5 5 34 28 23 2 30 1 25 3,766 9	R _B . a, p. 39,719 8 0 4,213 10 8 338 0 0 4,55 0 0 450 0 0 1,000 0 0 48,976 2 8	Rs. a. p. 10 15 9 37 14 8 57 12 5 113 14 7 163 10 2 615 6 2	Rs. a. Rs. a. 9,492 13 2 10 291 11 2 10 15 6 2 10 75 0 2 10 7 4 2 10 4 4 2 10 9,886 6 2 10	A. g. Rs. a. p. 256 10 672 11 0 13 10 34 18 0 22 38 60 4 0 7 4 0 295 8 775 0 0
	1 to 10 times Government Assessment, 14 11 to 20 " " " 14 21 to 30 " " 18 31 to 40 " " 18 51 to 60 " " 19 " 15 11 to 120 " " 11 111 to 120 " " 11 111 to 120 " 11 111 to 130 " 11 111 to 160 " 1 11 111 to	2,570 32 375 21 436 22 53 24 86 11 1 37 4 0 1 0 1 32 1 5 1 0 0 24	31,416 13 0 14,346 2 0 22,473 0 0 4,505 0 0 12,800 0 0 900 0 0 900 0 0 300 0 0 625 0 0 450 0 0 340 0 0 89,006 3 0	12 3 6 38 3 3 51 7 8 84 0 9 148 5 10 207 12 8 225 0 0 300 0 0 347 3 7 450 0 0 566 10 8	6,748 6 2 10 985 12 2 10 1,145 15 2 10 140 11 2 10 226 8 2 10 5 1 2 10 10 8 2 10 2 10 2 10 4 12 2 10 2 15 2 10 2 10 2 10 1 9 2 10 9,277 5 2 10	165 38
1898	Total 52 1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 10 11 to 20 ,, ,, ,, 16 21 to 30 ,, ,, ,, 2 31 to 40 ,, ,, ,, 2 51 to 60 ,, ,, ,, 1 71 to 80 ,, ,, ,, 1 121 to 130 ,, ,, ,, 1 191 to 200 ,, ,, ,, 1	2,394 28 1,152 1 25 87 38 10 18 20 26 19 7 25 0 5	89,006 3 0 26,214 12 0 44,228 4 0 1,444 12 0 3,705 0 0 2,600 0 0 5,200 0 0 2,490 0 0 60 0 0	10 15 2 38 6 3 55 11 0 96 13 10 140 8 8 196 6 7 326 8 11 480 0 0	6,285 12 2 10 3,024 1 2 10 68 1 2 10 100 7 2 10 48 9 2 10 69 8 2 10 20 0 2 10 9,616 11 2 10	246 20 647 2 0 178 6 467 10 0 193 19 507 14 0 16 7 42 7 0 28 10 74 3 0 18 20 48 9 0 25 4 65 14 0 7 25 20 0 0 467 11 1,226 9 0
1899 {	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 4 11 to 20 ,, ,, ,, 21 21 to 30 ,, ,, ,, 5 31 to 40 ,, ,, ,, 3 41 to 50 ,, ,, ,, 1 171 to 180 ,, ,, ,, 1 321 to 330 ,, ,, ,, 1	1,017 18 598 35 151 17 13 0 12 8 0 22 0 14	14,175 0 0 24,946 2 10 10,467 8 0 1,100 0 0 1,420 0 0 250 0 0 300 0 0	13 14 11 41 10 6 69 2 0 84 9 10 116 6 4 454 8 9 857 2 3	2,670 13 2 10 1,572 1 2 10 397 8 2 10 34 2 2 10 32 0 2 10 1 7 2 10 0 15 2 10 4,708 14 2 10	97 9 255 4 0 151 17 397 8 0 8 5 21 5 0 12 8 33 0 0
i	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 8 11 to 30 ,, ,, ,, 11 21 to 30 ,, ,, ,, 3 121 to 130 ,, ,, ,, 1 131 to 140 ,, ,, ,, 1 141 to 150 ,, ,, ,, 1 151 to 160 ,, ,, ,, 1	455 22 619 39 66 4 0 26 0 22 0 20 0 15 1,173 28	8,250 0 0 89,302 8 0 8,430 0 0 220 0 0 200 0 0 192 0 0 160 0 0	18 2 1 46 9 11 51 14 3 338 7 5 363 10 2 384 0 0 426 10 8	1,195 13 2 10 1,705 3 2 10 173 8 2 10 1 11 2 10 1 7 2 10 1 5 2 10 1 0 2 10 3,080 15 2 10	89 27 235 6 0 10 5 28 9 0 53 27 140 14 9 0 22 1 7 0
1901 {	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 6 11 to 20 ,, ,, , 6 21 to 30 ,, ,, ,, 1 31 to 40 , ,, ,, 1 51 to 60 ,, ,, ,, 2 91 to 100 ,, ,, ,, 1 101 to 110 ,, ,, ,, 1 171 to 180 ,, ,, ,, 1	610 11 97 3 7 15 4 10 4 30 2 5 7 25 0 14 733 33	7,800 0 0 3,215 0 0 500 0 0 365 0 0 690 0 0 550 0 0 2,100 0 0 169 0 0	12 12 6 33 1 11 67 12 9 85 14 1 145 4 3 258 13 2 275 6 7 457 2 3	1,602 0 2 10 254 13 2 10 10 6 2 10 11 3 2 10 12 8 2 10 5 9 2 10 20 0 2 10 0 15 2 10 1,926 6 2 10	260 28 684 5 0 40 21 106 6 0 4 30 12 8 0 2 5 5 9 0 308 4 808 12 0
1902	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 3 11 to 20 ,	39 36 18 25 112 3 5 13 8 25 9 35 0 24 0 18	125 0 0	13 11 4 42 15 11 71 4 8 115 11 1 110 5 6 140 0 0 308 5 4 384 9 10	104 12 2 10 48 14 2 10 204 3 2 10 21 14 2 10 9 8 2 10 25 15 2 10 1 9 2 10 0 14 2 10	20 26
1903 {	1 to 10 times Government Assessment. 2 11 to 20 ,, ,, , , 9 21 to 30 ,, ,, , 12 31 to 40 ,, ,, , 2	193 14 54 10 335 20 217 15 25 4 632 9	840 0 0 11,822 0 0 18,949 8 0 2,415 0 0	15 7 9 35 3 9 64 2 9 96 3 5 45 14 7	142 7 2 10 880 11 2 10 570 10 2 10 65 14 2 10 1,659 10 2 10	30 28 80 9 0 77 22 203 9 0 25 4 65 14 0
	GRAND TOTAL 247	*15,490-85	3,76,147 2 6	24 4 6	40,663 12 2 10	1,931 16 5,069 15 0

* Gardens, buildings and wells are also included in this area.

C. M. BAKER,
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

25

APPENDIX VIII.

Abstract of statement of sub-letting in the Jacobabad taluka.

Year.	Number of cases.	Number of acres sub-let.	Sum for which sub-let.	Rate per acre.	Total escessment.	Average rate of assess-ment per acre.
		A. g.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.
1896	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 4	9 65 19	1,463 0 0	1 8 3	2,534 6	2 10
1897 {	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 2 6 , 10 , , , , 1	300 11 7 0	257 8 0 140 0 0	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \hline 0 & 13 & 9 \\ 20 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$	788 4 18 6	2 10 2 10
	Тотац 3	307 11	397 8 0	1 4 8	806 10	2 10
1898	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 5	5,551 38	2,996 5 4	088	14,573 15	2 10
1899	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 6	4,832 21	6,662 8 0	1 6 1	12,685 6	2 10
1900	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 4	1,710 11	4,366 10 8	1 14 10	4,489 8	2 10
1901	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 5	2,632 24	2,604 9 11	1 1 8	6,201 13	2 10
1902 {	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 21 6 ,, 10 ,, ,, 2	3,909 8 3 13	4,571 7 0 63 5 4	1 2 8 19 0 1		2 10 2 10
	Total 23	3,912 21	4,634 12 4	1 2 11	10,270 3	2 10
1903	1 to 5 times Government Assessment 7 11 , 15 ,	-	2,161 13 3 1,800 0 0 200 0 0 440 0 0 400 0 0 5,001 13 3		144 11 7 14 9 3 6 9 2,978 15	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner,

Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX IX.

STATEMENT showing MORTGAGES in the Jacobabad taluka.

								REMA	RKS.	
Year,	Number of cases.	Total No.	Eum for which	Mort-	Total	Average ratiof namess-		ком Минам	BKADAMI	ro Hindus.
A 001.	Number of cases.	of acres.	montgaged.	nate per agra.	nssess- ment.	ment per aere.	With po	essession.	Without	possession.
							Area.	Assèssment.	Aroa.	Assessment.
_		A. g.	Rs. a.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs. a.
1896	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 33 11 , 10 ,	4,395 21 219 33 28 11 7 83	32.692 13 8,342 11 1,766 0 750 0	7 7 0 87 7 11 62 7 4 95 13 7	11,F38 4 577 1 74 4 20 9	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	3,414 28 97 15 7 30	8,963 9 255 10 20 6 	801 16 100 27 20 21	2,703 11 264 4 53 14
	TOTAL 44	4,651 18	43,451 8	9 5 6	12,210 2	2 10	3,519 93	9,239 9	922 24	2,421 13
1697	1 to 10 times Government Assessmout 28 11 ,, 20 , , 6 21 ,, 30 , , , 4 41 ,, 50 , , , 1 91 ,, 100 , , , 1 121 ,, 10 , , , 1 251 ,, 260 , , , 1	8,815 20 100 36 113 32 7 25 7 30 1 0 1 20	35,203 8 8,72 8 8,078 0 1,000 0 2,0 0 0 332 0 1,000 0	9 3 10 79 5 11 70 15 9 131 2 4 278 1 0 332 0 0 666 10 8	10,016 4 264 14 258 12 10 0 20 6 2 10 3 15	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	1,357 12 3 5 13 7 1 20	3,562 15 8 3 34 9 3 15	1,052 15 59 10 91 15 7 25 1 0	2,762 8 155 9 289 14 20 0
	TOTAL 42	4,048 12	51,646 0	12 12 1	10,626 13	2 10	1,375 4	3,609 10	1,211 25	3,180 9
1898	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 29 11 ,, 20 ,, 15 21 ,, 30 ,, 3 41 ,, 50 ,, 1 51 ,, 60 ,, 1	3,226 21 770 9 21 3 7 30 7 0	25,490 6 24,512 9 1,400 0 1,000 0	7 14 5 31 13 2 66 6 10 129 0 6 142 13 9	8.469 10 2,021 13 55 5 20 6 18 6	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	1,429 32 231 28 8 13	8,770 10 608 3 21 14	1,484 37 521 32 12 30 7 0	8,897 15 1,369 12 33 8
Ĺ	51, 60 , 1 101, 110 , 1 TOTAL 50	4,040 0	2,100 0 55,502 15	282 13 3 13 11 10	19 8	2 10	7 17	4,400 3	2,026 19	5,319 9
1899	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 38 11 ,, 20 ,, ,, 7 21 ,, 30 ,, ,, 6 61 ,, 70 ,, ,, 1 101 ,, 110 ,, ,, 1	8,029 15 127 1 73 15 2 20 4 0	\$6,717 10 3,970 0 4,894 0 450 0 1,140 0	4 9 2 31 4 1 6% 11 2 180 0 0 285 0 0	21,077 2 833 7 192 10 6 9 10 8	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	3,207 31 11 25 3 30 	8,420 7 80 8 9 14 	4.745 28 115 16 69 25 2 20 4 0	12,457 8 302 15 182 12 6 9 10 8
_	TOTAL 53	8,236 11	47,171 10	5 11 8	21,620 4	2 10	3,223 6	8,460 13	4,937 9	12,960 4
1900	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 21 11 ,, 20 , , , 8 21 ,, 20 , , , 3 31 ,, 40 , , , 4 121 ,, 13J , , , 1	3,381 9 162 32 38 0 321 30 7 30	43,763 8 5,9 8 8 2,474 0 33,180 0 2,500 0	12 15 1 36 9 7 65 1 8 101 13 9 522 9 3	8,875 11 427 6 19 12 855 2 10 6	2 10 2 1) 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	2,501 12 89 82 317 20 	6,565 15 104 8 8.4 2	860 2 104 30 38 0 8 0	2,257 10 275 0 93 12 21 0
	TOTAL 37	3,915 21	87, 73 0	22 7 1	10,278 5	2 10	2,855 04	7,504 9	1,010 32	2,658 6
1901	1 to 10 times Gry rament 11 , 20 , , ,	1,73 18 74 37 47 15 15 2 6 10 7 3) 0 11 0 9	10.6.9 1 2,570 0 2,588 0 18.0 0 1 0.00 0 2,000 0 100 0	5 6 2 30 0 6 60 15 4 110 9 7 160 0 0 258 1 0 363 10 2 511 1 9	5,180 5 1:6 11 114 6 33 8 16 7 20 6 0 12 0 9	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	709 8 61 22 	1,851 10 161 9 	1,264 10 13 15 30 00 7 12 6 10 	9,318 11 35 2 40 12 19 3 16 7
(191 ,, 200 ,, 1 501 ,, 510 ,, 1 Total 29	2,145 21	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	9 14 8	5,519 9	2 10	770 30	2,023 3	0 9 1,3.2 15	3,471 5
1902	1 to 10 times Government Assessment 12 11 ., 20 ., 2 21 ., 30 ., ., 3 51 ., 6) ., ., 1 71 ., 80 ., ., 1	2,502 30 18 .0 57 28 3 30 3 3)	15,087 0 8*0 0 4,141 8 5 0 0 700 0	5 15 5 44 14 11 71 7 6 133 5 4 186 10 8	6,727 4 47 15 152 2 9 11 9 14	2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10 2 10	1,224 25 8 35 3 30	3,214 10 23 5 9 14	1,338 5 18 10 49 3	3,512 10 47 15 128 13
	TOTAL 19	2,646 18	21,449 8	8 1 8	6,917 1	2 10	1,237 10	3, 47 13	1,409 8	3,699 4
1903	1 to 10 times Gyvernment 11 , 20 , , 6 21 ,, 30 , , 1	1,956 7 216 4 3 5	9,470 0 10,700 0 200 0	4 13 4 41 7 2 64 0 6	5,184 15 646 0 8 3	2 10 2 10 2 10	784 9 24 0	2,058 10 63 0 	1,016 28 299 11 3 5	2,747 9 785 10 8 3
	TOTAL 18 GRAND TOTAL292	2,205 16 #31,865 37	19,850 0 3,48,028 10	10 14 9	5,789 2 83,656 4	$\frac{2 \ 10}{2 \ 10}$	808 9 11,169 16	2,121 10 49,607 6	1,349 4 14,189 16	3,541 6 37,247 8
		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	walle and hui			<u> </u>	1			

Gardens, wells and buildings are also included in this area.

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX X.

STATEMENT OF AGRICULTURAL SPOCK in the Jacobabad taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier district.

CABTE.	Carts used for carrying loads.	83	2,928	3,663	3,557	3,444	:	13,591
ປື	Riding carts.	83	či.	20	92	46	i	16
ej.	Large	12	:	œ	25	9	:	£1
Prougus.	Sm.11.	9,	5,(0)	5,019	4,824	4,530	:	19,403
	Camels.	19	1,007	80	413	316	:	2,324
	Goats.	18	15,408	12,749	13.645	11,437	:	56,209
	Sheep.	17	10,748	179'6	10,8:3	10,610	:	41,872
	D опкеуя,	16	576	989	574	525	:	2,362
	Mulee.	15	10	16	17	15	:	58
	Ponies.	14	402	703	882	1,003	:	3,302
	Horses	13	1,120	1,336	1,231	827	:	4,514
	Total of cols. 2 to 11.	12	31,729	32,981	33,198	30,681	:	1,28,989
TOCK.	Buffalo calves.	F	983	1,039	1,254	1,075	;	4,353
Young Stock.	Calves,	10	7,193	7,411	1,741	7,070	• :	29,415
LTTLE,	She- buff. Lloce.	6	2,129	220'3	2,135	3,938	:	1853
Milce cattle.	Co m m	8	11,232	11,539	11,617	10,833	:	44,594
AND TEXAT TREE THER OSES.	Ho-buffallos.	1-	;	:	42	36	:	83
OXEN AND HE-BUFYA- LOIS USED FOR OTHER PURPOSES,	Охев.	9	83	636	121	210	:	683
BULLS FOR BERED- ING PURPOSES GNLY.	Bull buffalocs.	ro	S	63	10	מי	:	240
FOR 1 PURI	Bul's,	7-91	ç1 71	3	52	1	:	119
H9.	Не-рициросы.	ေ	83	;	;	61	:	컝
Procen cettee,	Охеп.	¢1	9,879	10,787	10,526	9,912	:	41,034
	Tear	1	CCC1-CC31	1002-0001	ID01-1903	1902:203	1903-1904 *	TOTAL

No enumeration of agricultural stock was made during the year 1903-1904.

c. M. BAKER,
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

APPENDIX XI.

STATEMENT showing Wells in the Jacobabad taluka from 1896-97 to 1903-04.

	Year,		Number of villages,	Number of wells used for drinking.	Number of wells used for irrigation.	wells used Total.	
1896-97 1897-98 1898-99 1899-1900 1900-01	•••	•••	61 61 61 61	63 115 160 141 134	158 166 142 164 185	221 281 302 305 319	A. g. 434 19 401 35 506 24 524 30 498 24
1900-01 1901-02 1902-03 1903-04	•••	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	61 61 61	121 125 131	210 222 223	331 347 354	381 23 443 2 532 25

APPENDIX XII.

STATEMENT of GROPS in the Jacobabad taluka (average of four years) from 1900-1901 to 1903-04.

_		YEARLY CULT.	IVATED AREA.				{
Crops.	1900-1901.	1901-1902.	1902-1903.	19(3-1904.	Total.	Average.	Percentage.
Kharif.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	
Juari Bajri Rice Grain other sorts Pulses Garden produce Spices Singarcane Til Indigo Cotton Fibres Other crops TOTAL	29,065 6,664 23,720 77 1,218 901 3 6 18,121 4 4	22,799 5,717 28,663 61 615 689 1 7 17,741 8 2 4 4	24,367 4,077 23,484 68 675 665 1 7 18,647 6 5 4	24,555 7,246 30,688 75 1,013 874 3 8 17,380 2 8 4 2	100,786 23,704 106,555 281 3,521 8,129 8 28 71,889 11 10 17 14	25,196 5,926 26,639 70 880 782 2 7 17,972 3 8 4 4	24·70 5·81 26·11 0·07 0·83 0·78 17·63
${\it Rabi}.$:						
Wheat Barley Pulses Garden produce Tobacco Spices Sariah Jambho Other crops	$egin{array}{c} 2,218 \\ 10 \\ 17,491 \\ 61 \\ \cdots \\ 25 \\ 1,210 \\ 6,954 \\ 40 \\ \end{array}$	2,151 8 9,843 27 1 9 303 1,121 30	934 12 18,124 23 2 7 968 4,719 34	2,921 7 16,712 18 6 1,637 10,388 12	8,224 37 62,170 129 3 47 4,123 23,182 116	2,056 9 15,542 32 1 12 1,031 5,796 29	2·01 15·25 0·03 0 01 1·01 5·69 0·02
TOTAL	28,009	13,498	24,823	31,701	98,031	24,508	24:02
CRAND TOTAL	107,792	89,804	96,829	113,559	407,984	101,996	100.00

C. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

APPENDIX XIII.

THUL TALUKA.

STATEMENT showing AVERAGE AREA of ABABLE GOVERNMENT LAND (excluding JAGIE and FOREST LAND) in the surveyed villages of Jacobabad taluka for the last year 1903-04 and two quadrennial periods of the current settlement.

						<u> </u>			Occupien	AREA.		1 cul-
No.	Name of deft.			Total area	Un-					Fa	llow.	unoccupied cul- to cultivable
	·		Poriod,	according to survey register.	enltivable waste.	Cultivable land.	Un- occupied,	Actually cultivated.	Un- cultivated portions of survey numbers.	j	Unexpired	Percentage of un tivable land t area,
	1st group.			A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A, g.	A. g.	A. g.	A, g.
1	Abdulah Drakba	m	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	3,187 4 3,187 23 3,186 14	463 0 465 8 471 24	2,724 4 2,722 16 2,714 30	187 36 218 28 277 5	2,461 11 2 441 6 2,141 15	22 25 16 10 24 4	0 28 1 28	52 12 45 29 270 18	6 36 8 1 10 8
2	Kaisarabad		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,948 16 2,961 10 2,965 26	161 1 158 27 156 13	2,787 15 2,802 23 2,809 14	23 35 173 9 258 24	1,449 10 1,534 14 1,281 0	5 0 7 16 6 11	4 36 0 25	1,369 10 1,082 28 1,262 34	0 34 6 7 9 10
3	Alipur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,057 37 1,560 17 1,394 37	161 16 128 30 117 8	1,893 21 1,431 37 1,277 29	743 6 315 12 192 19	965 10 838 20 872 9	9 25 8 30 17 1	21 20 8 25 5 4	157 0 200 30 190 36	39 7 22 0 15 1
4	Ahmadpur	***	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 1 years	3,905 25 3,905 29 3,906 2	1,072 39 1,073 27 1,073 9	2,832 26 2,832 2 2,832 3	769 2 850 6 1,011 33	1,885 3 $1,753$ 26	0 20 28 30	32 31 8 8	145 10 191 12	27 6 30 1
Б	Dilawarpur	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,027 18 3,258 30 3,953 4	613 28 853 30 1,575 0	$2,413 \ 30$ $2,405 \ 0$	274 18 258 4	1,573 25 1,245 0 1,243 15	23 35 36 22	6 30 11 19	210 16 863 27 855 20	35 29 11 14 10 29
લ	Dusti	•	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	1,375 18 1,373 12 1,372 16	903 19 922 31 937 17	2,378 4 471 39 450 21	209 0 165 9 143 38	1,257 16 251 25 240 17	39 37 0 35 4 39	7 29 2 25 0 26	854 2 54 25 60 21	8 32 34 38 31 37
7	Shahpur	,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	4,327 ±0 4,327 ±0 4,327 ±0 4,327 ±3	346 25 346 25 343 4	434 39 3,9°0 35 3,9°0 35 3,9°4 19	833 17 852 32 809 15	163 26 1,930 30 1,715 27 1,395 6	7 6 24 30 29 23 23 37	0 27 3 14	117 39 1,161 38 1,379 19	21 27 21 17
8	Gokalpur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,028 9 3,028 9 3,028 9 3,028 9	294 3 288 34 294 3	2,734 6 2,739 15 2,734 6	535 29 540 26 528 1	1,276 7 1,134 8 1,017 36	13 5 11 7	2 9	1,753 32 909 5 1,052 8	20 12 19 24 19 30 19 12
9	Aurangabad		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,881 38 2,881 36 2,881 36	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c }\hline & 307 & 3 \\ & 1,327 & 24 \\ & 1,332 & 3 \\ & 1,320 & 29 \\ \hline \end{array}$	1,554 12 1,549 33 1,561 7	253 2 244 19 222 11	591 31 481 36 598 19	14 34 21 4 11 23 18 0	25 15 14 11 4 2	1,173 15 659 37 794 24 718 15	16 11 15 30 14 9
10	Pir Baksh	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	3,787 2 3,787 2 3,787 9	721 20 721 20 721 20 721 20	3,065 22 3,065 22 3,063 29	166 25 171 4 168 21	2,255 7 1,843 9 1,572 32	92 20 55 20 15 2	73 10 69 7 8 38	478 0 926 22 1,300 16	5 18 5 23 5 20
11	Jahanpur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceeding 4 years	3,016 6 3,016 6 3,016 6	336 24 334 25 332 26	2,679 23 2,681 21 2,683 20	187 24 184 37 135 34	2,219 12 2,105 17 1,995 12	61 16 39 25 17 5	41 25 15 22 17 8	171 25 336 0 517 1	6 38 6 36 5 3
12	Sheranpur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 1 years	3,297 9 3,297 23 3,297 23	377 16 375 20 374 35	2,919 33 2,922 3 3,922 33	353 18 357 25 359 31	2,356 35 2,328 33 2,093 19	45 37 40 35 10 15	3 12 0 10	163 23 191 16 458 38	12 3 12 10 12 12
13	Daro Jiand		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	5,127 4 5,127 4 5,127 1	1,995 25 1,995 25 1,995 23	3,131 19 3,131 19 3,151 18	358 24 350 15 281 - 6	1,331 0 1,009 19 1,064 39	41 20 33 19 47 30	28 5 20 37	1,400 15 1,710 1 1,716 26	11 19 11 7 8 39
14	Kur Khairo Gael	al.	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,569 23 2,569 23 2,560 23	157 0 157 0 157 0	2,412 23 2,412 23 2,412 23	317 26 367 7 309 36	1,378 10 868 14 751 29	32 20 10 37 16 18	9 38 15 35	654 7 1,156 7 1,318 25	14 17 15 8 12 34
15	Kotri ,		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,177 9 2,177 9 2,177 9	138 21 138 21 138 21	2,038 78 2,038 28 2,038 28	$160 \ 39$ $160 \ 2$ $148 \ 17$	676 23 805 6 846 12	9 36 15 30 29 27	3 25 12 4 2 19	1,187 25 1,045 17 1,011 33	7 36 7 34 7 11
16	Kur Rato		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years	2,739 2 2,739 2 2,739 2	675 9 675 9 675 9	2,063 33 2,063 33 2,063 33	387 13 390 17 220 18	$873 \ 31$ $456 \ 3$ $436 \ 11$	51 9 22 20 18 36	4 20 46 8 27 10	747 0 1,148 25 1,360 38	18 30 18 36 10 26
17	Dodapur	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	2,702 2 $2,702$ 2 $2,701$ 35	155 24 155 24 155 11	2,546 18 2,546 18 2,546 24	243 39 235 15 171 32	266 15 692 3 918 34	7 0 6 19 16 17	11 5 22 35 14 14	2,017 39 1,589 26 1,395 7	9 23 9 9 6 30
18	Kur Biro	•••	19.03-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	2,456 20 2,456 20 2,456 10	459 3 459 3 457 12	1,997 17 1,997 17 1,999 7	90 26 93 7 59 10	712 11 637 23 662 13	4 14 9 22 21 37	10 5 17 35 16 8	1,180 1 1,239 11 1,236 19	4 22 4 26 2 38
19	Kohiri	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,622 33 3,622 33 3,625 31	548 33 548 33 535 21	3,074 0 3,074 0 3,090 10	1,016 18 1,019 36 1,017 4	1,912 32 1,798 6 1,572 30	10 10 13 15 9 24	4 15 12 6 11 14	130 5 230 17 479 18	33 2 33 7 32 37
20	Tajo Dero	***	1003-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	4,170 24 4,167 11 4,166 5	1,170 12 1,179 19 1,182 15	3,000 12 2,987 32 2,983 30	246 6 285 17 251 38	2,422 39 1,907 33 1,765 16	11 37 18 0 11 12	4 25 19 95 17 9	314 25 756 27 937 35	8 8 9 23 8 18
2 1	Alanpur	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	3,597 39 3,597 39 3,597 39	708 8 708 8 708 8	2,889 31 2,889 31 2,889 31	151 16 143 14 99 23	2,093 25 1,802 17 1,400 29	37 5 24 20 23 7	6 29 9 18	607 25 912 31 1,356 34	5 9 4 39 3 18
22	Wah Ali Haidar		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,656 36 2,656 38 2,657 0	264 36 264 38 264 14	2,392 0 2,392 0 2,392 26	23 18 22 17 20 8	2,119 3 1,827 17 1,476 15	5 25 11 15 32 2	15 38 13 20 15 35	227 36 517 11 848 5	0 38 0 37 0 33
28	Izmatabad		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,007 13 3,007 13 3,007 13	195 26 195 26 195 26	2,811 27 2,811 27 2,811 27	392 27 391 16 390 4	1,241 5 1,121 13 1,007 12	16 5 11 37 12 3	.33 0 26 30 1 14	1,128 30	13 39 13 36 13 35

•	,								Occupin	DARRA.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Table 1
			Words 4	Total area	W-	C-141	TTm.			F	illow.	o ealth
No.	Name of deh.		Period.	according to survey register.	Un- cultivable waste.	Cultivable land.	Un- occupied.	Actually cultivated.	Un- cultivated portions of survey numbers.	ĺ	Unexpired	Ferentage of uncecupied gul tivable land to euldvahl area.
	1st group-conte	d.		A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.
24	Fatihpur	•••	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,635 39 2,635 39 2,635 39	847 1 850 7 832 88	1,788 38 1,785 32 1,803 1	66 11 64 26 97 0	976 27 965 22 1,142 19	12 5 11 17 8 24	4 25 1 29 13 10	739 10 742 18 541 28	3 6 2 25 5 15
25	Kaureja	•••	1903-1004 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,011 7 2,011 9 2,011 12	252 28 252 28 252 28	1,758 19 1,758 21 1,758 24	9 36 8 38 10 11	1,733 30 1,656 1 1,498 23	11 35 3 24 0 24	:::	2 38 89 39 249 6	0 23 0 20 0 23
26	Nawra	••	19(3-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	3,600 36 3,600 36 3,601 12	423 21 421 32 415 31	3,178 15 3,179 4 3,185 21	88 20 79 21 69 32	1,874 30 1,373 0 1,232 36	36 15 15 32 7 9	2 14	1,178 30 1,710 31	2 31 2 20
27	Rahimabad	• • •	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,431 8 3,451 8 3,431 22	247 25 237 20 235 10	3,183 23 3,183 28 3,196 12	1,038 13 1,041 36	748 28 860 14 913 2	50 2 27 27 28 6		1,573 10 1,366 20 1,254 31	2 8 32 25 32 25
28	Dhad		,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,042-38 3,0-2-38	534 38 534 38	2,508 0 2,508 0	75 35 70 26	1,420 0 1,201 3	22 20 12 37	2 13 4 15 3 31	985 10 1,219 23	32 20 3 1 2 33
29	Pir Padhro	• • •	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,014 27 2,418 6 2,420 6	132 31 132 31	2,55 29 2,285 15 2,287 15	255 8 265 35	1,121 22 1,689 34 1,086 3	8 26 25 28 15 13	4 16 0 85	1,378 30 314 25 919 9	1 25 11 7 11 25
30	Lal Wah	•••	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,410 13 4,575 3 4,575 5	280 31 281 21	2,295 21 4,294 12 4,293 24	283 0 649 8 659 19	092 89 2,557 34 2,253 39	27 35 19 1	5 0 5 35 6 36	1,300 11 1,053 20 1,354 9	12 13 15 5 15 14
31	Garhi Chand		, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	4,575 7 2,396 28 2,596 27	272 20 431 88 433 17	4,302 17 1,964 30 1,963 10	640 3 26 32 27 19	1,585 5 1,770 24 1,697 32	29 12 4 25 8 20	6 15	1,741 22 162 29 229 19	14 34 1 15 1 16
32	Mehar Shah	•••	,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,396 1 1,577 1 1,667 4	432 19 248 33 335 30	1,963 23 1,328 8 1,331 14	20 36 167 33 167 22	1,601 28 677 15 521 24	8 12 6 30 7 26	1 36	330 31 476 10 631 13	1 3 12 25 12 23
3 3	Bachalpur		preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,936 1 1,881 39 2,016 19	129 13 263 33	1,752 26 1,752 26	107 8 310 15 324 27	846 2 724 3	4 28 16 4 16 5	3 11	651 37 650 5 687 31	14 34 19 17 18 22
34	Abad		,, preceding 4 years	2,419 38 1,845 20 1,907 24	340 26 362 29	1,752 26 1,544 34 1,544 35	323 38 25 5 24 11	581 12 1,243 29 1,196 25	10 1 19 20 24 22		837 15 256 20	18 19
35	Garhi Mehrab		Average of last 4 years proceeding 4 years	1,973 36 2,138 14	429 7 317 28	1,544 29 1,820 26	35 30 352 11	937 33 1,465 19	13 33 1 10	1 38 0 29	297 19 556 24 1 26	1 23 2 13 19 14
36	Allahabad		Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,141 30 2,141 14 4,458 13	363 8 403 14 1,484 21	1,778 22 1,733 0 2,973 32	306 10 260 12 45 21	1,410 26 1,058 38 1,216 20	7 36 2 15	0.4	53 26 411 15 1,697 24	17 9 15 1 1 21
37	Jafarabad		Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years 1902-1904	4,458 13 4,458 18 2,686 11	1,484 21 1,484 21 234 32	2,978 32 2,973 37 2,451 19	62 31 72 33 2 14	961 13 1,267 35 1,790 15	10 23 17 11 14 35	8 6 6 16	1,930 89 1,609 22 643 85	2 4 2 18 0 4
38	Sawan Lashari		Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years	2,686 11 2,686 9 4,068 37	234 32 284 29 355 37	2,451 19 2,451 20 3,713 0	2 14 2 14 4 4		11 28 4 19 19 15		837 20 968 33	0 4 0 4 1,439 12
39	Wasao		Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	4,068 39 4,06 9 11	355 37 356 3	3,713 2 3,713 8	4 4 4 3	2,178 13 2,348 16	15 1 15 17	4 3 0 13	1,511 21 1,341 39	0 4
		•••	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,185 1 3,185 1 3,184 12	286 17 286 15 286 15	2,898 24 2,508 26 2,897 37	60 23 67 38 79 11	1,649 23 1,616 22 1,788 17	14 13 34 38 21 39	:::	1,174 5 1,179 8 1,008 10	2 4 2 14 2 29
40	Rasulabad	•••	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,917 19 2,917 19 2,917 19	259 39 259 39 259 39	2,657 20 2,657 20 2,657 20	178 5 143 22 40 34	1,393 F0 1,255 27 1,311 34	40 5 23 33 15 21	20 9 1 31	1,045 20 1,214 9 1,287 20	6 28 5 16 1 21
41	Garhi Khairo	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	1,764 30 1,764 35 1,764 30	234 17 234 16 234 15	1,530 13 1,530 19 1,530 21	229 36 230 33 186 15	853 24 691 7 926 4	$10 \ 32$ $17 \ 6$ $22 \ 9$	2 15 0 24 3 25	433 26 590 29 392 8	15 1 15 3 12 7
4 2	Mulah Rato		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years "preceding 4 years	3,005 0 3,005 0 3,005 7	222 30 222 50 213 1	$2,782 \ 10$ $2,782 \ 10$ $2,79: 6$	38 16 38 16 29 12	1,715 25 1,528 9 1,685 7	10 15 12 34 2 23		1,017 34 1,202 31 1,075 4	1 15 1 15 1 2
4 3	Thariri Bhaleuo	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,841 10 2,841 10 2,841 34	479 21 479 21 479 21	2,361 29 2,361 29 2,362 13	10 9 8 17 5 22	1,359 25 1,139 38 1,027 2 9	2 15 0 24 0 30	6 5 5 7 2 12	983 15 1,207 23 1,326 0	0 17 0 14 0 20
44	Khair Wah	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,893 29 2,813 19 2,803 29	610 16 610 16 640 16	2,163 13 2,163 13 2,163 13	445 14 437 17 406 16	1,226 1 1,236 1 1,208 10	3 38 4 34	5 28 2 11	491 38 480 11 541 22	20 23 20 9 18 3i
45	Bhalenabad	,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	1,875 9 1,875 9 1,875 9	176 30 176 30 176 30	1,698 19 1.698 19 1,618 19	22 25 22 25 21 18	1,242 8 962 20 985 5	9 26 11 34 8 16	1 1 0 21	424 0 700 19 687 39	1 13 1 13 1 11
46	Mauladad		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,624 38 1,624 38 1,621 38	217 27 217 27 217 27 247 27	1,377 11 1,377 11 1,377 11		778 25 611 30 683 1	0 30 4 0 4 14	0 3 0 29	602 36 761 18 689 7	***
47	Ramzanpur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,619 31 3,619 30 3,619 36	281 9 282 8 285 3	3,338 22 3,337 22 3,331 33	373 20 369 10 350 12	2,159 22 1,615 19 1,714 15	9 10 9 6 8 19		793 10 1,343 27 1,261 27	11 7 11 3 10 20
4 8	Malhuabad	•••	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,956 33 2,956 33	660 28 600 28	2,296 5 2,296 5	875 35 882 19	1,081 25 1,002 5	3 25 7 1	4 0 1 0	331 0 403 20	38 6 38 17
40	Kadirpur		" preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,956 33 2,452 7 2,452 7	739 17 739 28	2,296 5 1,712 30 1,712 19	849 6 178 24 185 10	620 8 1,195 22 997 9	14 5 4 5	2 26	818 36 324 19 524 35	36 39 10 17 10 33
5 0	Khalulabad		n preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,452 7 2,501 25 2,801 19 2,301 17	727 0 352 27 349 23 355 7	1,725 7 1,948 38 1,951 36 1,946 10	115 7 177 37 223 21 190 10	855 5 1,377 1 1,111 7 765 35	2 9 13 5 3 29 4 19	5 25 3 1	752 26 375 10 611 18 985 26	6 27 9 5 11 16 9 31

-							(Occupied .	ABEA.		d cui-
Ì			Total area			_			Fa	llo ₩ ,	ocupie cult
No.	Name of deh.	Period.	according to survey register.	Un- cultivable waste.	Cultivable land.	Un- occupied.	Actually cultivated.	Un- enitivated portions of survey numbers.	ļ	Unexpired	Percentage of unoccupied cul- tivable land to cultivable
ا 	1st group—contd,		A. g.	Λ. g.	A, g.	А. д.	A. g.	Δ. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g
5 1	Sumapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,183 27 2,188 24 2,189 3	616 13 618 10 614 10	1,570 14 1,570 14 1,571 24	142 29 145 20 119 37	1,262 5 1,172 3 775 11	9 5 6 11 3 10	 1 11	156 15 246 90 674 35	9 10 9 10 7 21
52	Badhal Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,461 30 3,461 30	1,193 27 1,176 1 1,172 19	2,208 3 2,285 29 2,289 25	405 18 391 25 411 3	743 28 825 25 873 10	13 17 17 16 18 3	14 20 8 25 13 11	1,091 0 1,047 18 943 38	17 8 17 19 1
53	Jacobabad	,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,476 30 2,478 32	646 11 646 25 653 35	1,800 19 1,832 7 1,823 1	51 21 54 19 65 27	1,150 13 1,101 10 1,048 4	8 20 16 36 20 23	17 34 8 18 9 23	602 5 651 4 679 4	2 3 2 3 3 2
54	Lal Ladro	, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,665 0 1,671 13	675 18 687 10 696 28	989 22 983 23 976 19	382 31 376 19 416 12	806 28 201 2 227 6	14 33 15 3 1 17 14	3 0 0 30 6 2	282 10 289 12 303 25	38 2 38 1 42 2
55	Mahrabpur	,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,314 0 1,439 24	190 9 512 3 3	1,123 21 1,123 31 1,132 3	109 8 114 31 129 12	521 30 564 37 490 7	5 35 5 32 9 0	3 15 1 26	486 38 437 86 501 38	9 2 10 11 1
5 6	Akilpur	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,101 27 1,101 25	249 17 249 16	852 10 652 9 852 8	31 14 32 31 33 6	427 4 432 2 357 34	1 30 5 32 8 29	1 6 3 4	392 2 360 18 449 15	3 2 3 3 3 3
57	Cantonment	, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,816 11 1,816 11	742 81 1,628 2 1,528 2 1,528 2	288 9 288 9 288 9	288 9 288 9 288 9	307 34				100 100 100
5 8	Duniapur	,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3,740 21 3,740 21	427 31 427 31 427 35	3.321 20 3,321 30 3,321 19	22 25 22 25 22 24	2,784 39 2,547 34 1,998 9	22 0 33 0 16 0	0 15 1 12	492 6 717 30 1,283 14	0 2 0 2 0 2
6 9	Amirabad	Average of last 4 years	4,088 2 4,083 2	563 33	3,524 9 0,521 9 3,524 9	883 18 581 30 167 37	1,732 26 1,549 27 1,703 4	20 25 22 31 17 14	13 35 25 39 55 2	1,373 25 1,544 2 1,580 32	10 3 10 3 4 3
60	Jamalabad	preceding 4 years 19 3-1904 Average of last 4 years	1,927-10 1,926-30	126 37 11.6 36	1,800 13 1,799 24 1,8 A 26		1,545 35 1,336 3 1,130 0	7 0 8 24 3 29		247 18 455 7 667 37	
61	Nizamabad	Average of last 4 years	2,501 27 2,501 30	759 11 758 8	1,742 16 1,743 22 1,740 2	164 15 169 14 134 8	1,281 39 1,269 6 1,108 3	3 39 17 29 28 24	9 20 5 21 12 20	282 23 191 29 456 27	9 9 7
62	Khudabad	,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,101 24 2,101 24	153 6 153 6 153 4	1,948 18 1,948 18 1,948 23	140 20 137 16 75 19	895 0 909 11 961 5	18 25 15 12 5 24	9 25 16 20	894 13 870 24 8.9 55	7 7 3
63	Son Wah	n proceeding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	2,101 27 2,579 23 2,579 23	181 22 181 2 179 22	2,093 1 2,398 21 2,400 2	36 17 35 32 32 36	612 25 845 38 9 5 25	7 5 12 4 12 8	1 15	1,741 34 1,603 12 1,410 54	1 2 1 2 1 2
	TOTAL Of let GROUP,	Total of 1903 1904. Total Average of last 4 years	$\{1.,6.923,8\}$]	1,45,383 39	15,828 8	85,461-37	1 073 20	390 38	12,031 16 10,816 6 67,610 55	10 3
	2nd Group.	, proceding 4 yours	1,7.30.9 10		1,11,111	11,007 12	71,570 0		-		-
64	Burj Salemi	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, proceeding 1 years	2,421 5 2,423 9 2,423 37	221 29 221 21 216 39	2,199 16 2,201 28 2,206 38	24 38 33 31 38 17	678 18 902 27 963 23	8 2 9 10 4 10	£3	1,489 88 1,250 87 1,200 25	1 1 1
65	Rajhani	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2 813 16 2,813 19 2,813 35	7≻3 8	2 020 14 2 030 11 2 029 25	003 34 562 21 496 16	752 37 765 8 807 33	8 18 12 12 18 26	10 15 13 86	650 30 676 14 706 30	29 : 27 : 24 :
66	Chhajra	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,424 15 3,124 15	351 11	3,073 4 3,073 4 3,073 3	1 25 16 974 30 952 20	1,475 6 1,103 5 1,100 33	21 28 24 20 15 36	15 21 20 37 7 25	635 14 649 32 996 9	30 31 31
67	Kimatabad	10.00.0001	3 3 0 17 3,310 23	093 23	2 327 5 2,327 1 2,336 29	69 27 69 27 79 26	1,530 11 1,246 21 1,657 38	31 35 23 29 1 20	5 0 6 30	660 12 980 14 1,197 25	3 3 3
68	Khanpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,977 3 3,977 2	410 8 409 31	3,566 35 3,567 11 3,575 25	213 10 215 21 218 27	2,539 33 2,346 31 2,170 33	78 17 57 18 29 35	7 25 6 4 1 25	728 0 941 17 1,151 25	5 5 6 6
69	Gul Wah	1000 1004	3,0°0 34 3,080 37	156 8 156 14 156 33	2,924 28 2,924 23 2,924 13	145 14 145 15 121 16	1,341 33 1,333 : 2 1,036 30	27 10 35 50 15 38	4 35 2 18 8 39	1,405 14 1,407 8 1,741 10	4 5
7 0	Detha	1000 1001	3,938 6 3,968 6	3,148 37 3,141 17 3,138 6	819 9 816 29 860 0	14 23 12 24 39 33	609 31 430 10 427 33	4 0 3 11 10 26	2 0 4 27	220 32 398 4 376 36	1 1 1 2 4 2
71	Attai	1000 1001	2,362 2 2,362 2	512 20 539 10 536 19	1,819 22 1,822 24 1,825 15	141 27 148 12 151 38	1,020 8 838 3 705 37	2 0 3 6 2 30	11 15 2 34 0 4	644 12 835 7 934 26	7 8 8 8 1
72	Ghouspur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,056 14 2,056 21 2,066 14	691 33 693 17 701 25	1,374 21 1,373 4 1,561 29	437 16 419 21 358 22	5°3 35 470 1 446 32	0 20 1 3 0 36	1 5 0 38	472 30 481 5 557 21	31 8 30 2 26 I
73	Shahdadpur	19:3-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	1,949 0 1,949 0 1,949 0	134 26 134 26 131 31	1,814 14 1 814 14 1,817 9	370 38 270 38 356 19	740 10 675 4 595 8	5 30 4 10 4 1	5 25 6 38 14 32	691 31 757 4 846 29	20 1 20 1 19 2
74	Mundranipur ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years	2,891 11 2,893 8 2,892 19	261 35 259 30 276 15	2,629 16 2,633 18 2,616 4	1,133 28 1,270 35 1,374 14	894 1 827 37 643 18	18 32 12 10 26 35	 2 13	582 35 522 16 566 4	43 48 1 52 2
7 5	Sultanpar	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,541 29 3,542 18 3,541 30	227 27 222 35 221 11	3,314 2 3,319 23 3,320 19	735 7 750 20 713 18	1,257 35 1,335 7 1,309 8	3 80 16 32 26 33	1 15 2 5	1,317 10 1,215 29 1,178 35	22 1 22 2 21 2

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						Occurind	ARRA.]	d cul-
Mo.	Name of deh,	Period.	Total area	Un-	Cultivable	77			Fa	llow.	e of unoccupied land to cultiv
	Name of dell,	101104.	to survey register,	cultivable waste	land.	Un- occupied	Actually cultivated.	Un- cultivated portions of survey number.	Expired.	Unexpired	Percentage of untivable land t
	2nd group—contd.		A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	A, g.	A. g.
76	Thariri ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	2,805 33	425 0 426 10 427 15	2,380 15 2,379 23 2,379 9	78 14 80 39 74 22	2,002 11 1,774 8 1,224 11	5 5 5 39 13 16	2 25	294 25 518 17 1,064 6	3 12 3 16 3 5
77	Miranpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,727 0	396 38 239 32 187 20	2,487 16 2,487 8 2,487 2	142 6 143 5 123 32	1,915 5 1,607 10 1,214 28	21 30 17 16 16 4	3 11 13 31	408 15 716 6 1,088 27	5 29 5 30 4 39
78	Roti	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,446 13 2,446 13	1,231 7 1,230 29 1,215 31	1,215 6 1,215 24 1,230 22	263 37 350 9 284 1	655 33 540 25 410 7	24 0 18 12 14 1	9 1 13 12 21 12	162 15 293 6 501 1	29 38 28 32 23 3
79	Lal Odho	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2,761 10	612 25 632 15 638 38	2,148 25 2,128 35 2,122 12	25 30 17 17 5 0	88) 20 1,005 21 988 17	4 0 9 38 7 5	30 20 12 34 1 20	1,207 35 1,083 5 1,120 0	1 8 0 33 0 9
80	Dittal Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	2,510 19	141 2 141 2 141 2	2,399 17 2,399 17 2,399 17	635 7 596 25 459 27	643 20 655 0 794 13	0 15 4 30 16 31	10 10 36 21 32 20	1,110 5 1,105 21 1,096 6	26 19 24 35 19 6
	Total of 2nd group	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		10,721 10 10,577 20 10,500 32	38,552 23 38,544 15 38,568 22	6,061 12 6,162 30 5,848 28	19,441 29 18,152 39 16,021 10	263 22 260 6 225 23	110 7 135 18 115 6	12,672 33 13,833 2 16,357 35	15 21 10 32 15 7
1	Зив своир										
81.	Phatan Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	3,249 5	262 21 265 35 266 39	2,985 39 2,983 10 2,982 14	5 30 8 13	1,931 14 1,571 28 1,564 33	21 36 27 0 14 34		1,032 29 1,378 32 1,394 14	0 8 0 11
82	Bakapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	1.807 26 1,65 6 2 1,759 21	235 33 235 33 389 2 9	1,571 33 1,42) 9 1,369 32	51 38 71 4 75 24	1,418 30 1,227 21 899 15	6 0 7 0 15 32		92 5 111 24 379 1	3 20 5 2 5 20
83	Wariamabad	1903-1904	1,743 37	323 33 323 33 323 33	1,420 4 1,420 4 1,420 4	4 32 4 32 4 32	871 25 633 5 523 15	4 10 22 22 35 16	***	539 17 759 25 856 21	0 16 0 15 0 14
84	Umranipur ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	4,107 18	1,093 4 1,103 27 1,107 5	3,004 13 3,003 31 3,000 9	279 (20 282 2 272 32	1,833 25 1,447 28 1,105 32	10 5 20 29 43 16		861 3 1,253 12 1,578 9	9 12 9 16 9 4
85	Hambi	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	1,110 19	129 32 132 39 140 23	980 21 977 20 969 20	528 33 486 14 527 25	147 3 273 39 200 39	1 10 7 2 k 18 12	1	303 15 205 32 222 24	53 37 49 30 54 16
86	Milkiati Barkar	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years	998 13	933 9 933 9 2,856 9	65 4 65 4 65 4	11 29 11 29 10 2	51 10 33 26 23 0	2 5 1 4 0 10		18 25 31 32	18 0 18 0 15 17
87	Muhammadpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years		1,185 9 1,188 0 11,96 33	4,074 16 4,071 25 4,062 35	1,539 21 1,556 20 1,578 24	1,544 23 1,250 18 1,141 3	9 12 19 17 28 34	22 35 15 10 8 5	958 5 1,230 0 1,306 9	37 31 38 9 38 34
8 8	Shahid	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,377 36	2,687 14 2,720 8 2,731 6	690 22 657 28 646 30	304 15 253 0 212 17	366 32 145 27 158 20	0 31 3 1	2 4 10 3	19 15 256 6 262 19	44 0 58 19 32 34
\$ 9	Khan Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		372 3 371 32 370 38	2,327 29 2,327 37 2,328 23	1,261 5 1,317 30 1,520 23	163 4 240 17 275 24	7 13 3 25	8 11 3 30	900 20 724 6 525 1	54 12 57 36 65 12
9 0	Hazaro	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	3,986 30	227 19 227 19 227 19	3,759 11 3,759 11 3,759 11	3,601 31 3,601 31 3,405 10	 		6 23 118 10	157 20 150 37 235 31	95 32 95 32 90 23
91	Belo Alipur (Disforested during 1903-04).	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		872 20 	3,765 5 	3,458 15	190 21 	116 9	:::		91 34
92	Risalabad (newly formed out during 1903-04)	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	d ' '	3,107 21	1,305 39 	1,158 8	147 31 				88 27
	Total of 3kd grouf	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	28,189 14	11,430118 7,502 35 9,610 31	25,960 36 20,686 19 20,604 22	12,206 7 7,623 32 7,616 2	8,696 18 6,827 9 5,832 21	171 7 113 20 163 20	22 35 32 39 140 18	1,864 9 6,088 39 6,792 1	47 1 36 34 36 39
	GRAND TOTAL OF THUL TALUKA.	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	254,234 17	53,555 38 50,115 38 54,410 1	209,902 18 204,118 19 203,914 5	34,098 27 29,426 18 28,052 2	113,603 4 101,937 38 93,189 0	1,508 9 1,362 6 1,290 17	653 30	60,168 18 70,738 7 80,770 31	16 10 14 17 13 30

C. M. BAKER,

Duputy Commissioner,

Upper Sind Frontier,

APPENDIX IV-A.

JACOBABAD TALUKA.

JACOBABAD

STATEMENT showing CULTIVATED LAND, excluding JAGIR and FOREST LAND, in each village of of the existing settlement

			Gabds:	80				KHARIY	•				 	
Serial No.	Name of deh,	Year.	OLDDS.	м в, шо,	FLOW	BIOM.	Отик	PLOW.	L	IFT.	LIFT AI)		F	LOW.
25			Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess-	Ares.	Ascess- ment.
-	lst group.		A. g.	Rs. n.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs, a.
1	Abdulah Drakhan	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	7 30 24 9 8 25	27 2 84 6 29 15	1,028 19 826 32 421 23	3,534 11 2,838 6 1,446 6	669 28 725 4 819 13	1,799 13 1,947 9 2,201 12	 	 	2 37	8 ^{''} 1	32 7	103 3
2	Kaisarabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. proceding 4 years	8 30 2 7 1 34	28 15 7 4 6 1		••• ••• •••	614-20 821-20 848-38	1,575 13 2,123 3 2,195 4					30 20 7 25	93 8 23 6
3	Allpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	51 0 44 5 33 37	178 8 151 15 118 12	224 5 179 37 85 5	784 7 629 13 297 15	411 20 453 38 489 17	1,132 14 1,249 9 1,347 3	17 25 23 20 17 18	39 11 52 15 39 5	 1 35	5 3	52 19	170 10
•	Ahmadpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	67 15 45 34 18 39	231 2 157 7 64 14	914 16 792 32 395 21	3,148 2 2,731 7 1,360 10	541 0 606 83 778 25	1,452 4 1,626 0 2,093 11	14 20 24 20 29 37	30 14 52 1 64 14	11 29 77 36	31 6 206 3	13 5 49 39	41 8 160 4
5	Dilawarpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	276 20 248 24 236 25	944 4 816 1 808 2	32 0 9 19	109 0 32 4	385 19 455 36 430 10	1,021 12 1,209 15 1,142 1	441 26 469 31 478 23	953 3 1,013 7 1 031 1	10 31 30 32	29 10 81 9	37 3	
6	Dasti	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	••• •••		19 20 11 17 7 6	67 5 39 13 25 1	43 10 46 34 42 1	115 15 125 9	184 15 168 38	412 8 379 6	i3 23	36 9		117 7
7	Shahpur	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	 0 25	2 1 1 1	168 5 158 0 104 14	556 11 523 3 345 8	1,158 30 1,013 25 954 14	2,971 5 2,600 1 2,455 13	118 15	265 10	3 9	5 13	5 30 139 28 54 31	428 3
8	Gokalpur	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	0 13	0 11	314 0 232 0	1,039 15 769 2	593 30 673 29	1,526 9 1,731 14		***			55 20 28 0	168 3 170 1 86 2
9	Aurangabad	Do. preceding 4 years 1603-1904 Average of last 4 years	4 25 3 10	15 5 10 12	121 36	403 13	783 18 466 18 377 11	2,014 14 1,201 5 969 0		***			28 30	88 1
10	Pir Baksh	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years			1 37 1,686 22 1,371 19	5,589 8 4,545 9	501 15 351 30 337 2	1,290 2 902 2 864 8					25 15	77 12
11	Jahanpur	Do. preceding 4 years	11 16	37 12 38 0	811 13 1,763 22 1,568 14	5,843 11 5,198 10	541 28 366 0 341 34	1,390 12 938 14 876 12		•••	•••		28 26	97 13 9"8
12	Sheranpur	Do. preceding 4 years		29 1	1,105 35	3,662 3 6,653 2	342 18 113 10 252 25	878 4 292 10 735 6					29	
13	Daro Jiand		3 33	8 13 12 11	1,718 5	3,394 3	460 31 1,266 30	1,200 2 3,218 4						6 18
14	Kur Khairo Gachal	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years		18 11	2 15 2 32	7 14 9 5	1,003 4 1,082 20 1,410 30	2,573 5 2,776 9 3,617 8		•••				***
15		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years		4 9 15 14	9 28	32 1 648 4	856 29 731 1 3 25	2,197 2 1,874 13		•••	:::		6 33	12 4 20 14
		Average of last 4 years Do, proceding 4 years	8 10 1 8	10 12 3 15	183 5 113 13	606 4 375 4	439 5 585 26	1,126 4 1,502 1		***	•••		2 18 94 36	7 8 290 13
16	Kur Rato	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	3 36 	12 15 	55 35 59 8 34 11	185 0 195 15 113 8	846 0 402 6 380 16	2,169 4 1,031 2 975 6	34 8 28 16	68 6 56 13	1 12 3 28	3 5 9 4	10 16	31 14
17	Dodupur	Nerage of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	***		82 25 40 3 	273 12 132 12 	12 30 595 12 861 23	32 124 1,527 8 2,210 2		***			25 22	78 · · · 5
18	Kur Biro	Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	2 10 	7 7			726 30 663 29 703 18	1,863 12 1,699 10 1,804 7		, 				
19	Kohiri	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	 		1,811 37 1,646 18 1,130 27	6,138 9 5,582 2 9,837 6	20 35 54 26 219 0	55 10 148 8 577 13	:::	••• •••			31 6	99 15
20	Tajo Dero	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	5 10 5 29 26 27	17 6 18 11 88 8	1,572 10 1,178 27 532 36	5,202 11 3,906 1 1,797 7	652 26 403 21 653 12	1,421 14 1,033 6 1,651 5		***			115 9	353 8
2)	Alanpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	12 0 6 12	39 12 20 14 14 3	564 20 519 3 264 34	1,869 11 1,719 3 870 15	641 10 734 12 798 30	1,643 15 1,882 14 2,053 4		•••	 		13 5 48 14 147 20	40 3
23	Wah Ali Haidar	• " "		 1 1	1,539 11 1,216 12 886 12	5,094 1 4,027 5 2,930 6	249 5 306 6 420 0	638 8 785 0 1,081 12	4 12	13 3			43 15 25 24	132 14 78 7
23	Izmatabad	1003-1904 Average of last 4 years	0 20 2 35	1 10	31 25 8 26] 114 11 28 11	553 20 599 17 765 26	1,410 3 1,537 6 1,963 9		,			18 14 157 33	56 4 483 12
24	Fatihpur	Do, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	 0 8	9 8	114 25 190 35 241 21	379 11 662 1 800 0	440 37 490 1 567 19	1,195 9 1,195 3 1,258 15 1,462 5	9 2					

XIV-A.

TALUKA.

taluka Jacobabad, under each kind of irrigation, during the year 1903-04 and two quadrennial periods with the assessment thereon.

			- · · · ·			B	ABI.								1			
L	FT.	Bost A	DED BY FT.	SAII	ABI.	Во	st.		LOW.	0 n w	KLLS.		AIDED		ILL EENTS,	To	ral.	Remares.
Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assecs- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Ares.	Assess- meut.	Area.	Авнеявизепт.	
. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. s.	A. g.	Rs. n.	A. g.	Rs. s.	A, g.	Ro. a	A. g	Rs. a.	A. g	Rs. a.	Λ. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	
					:	777 39 881 39 882 22	2 075 2 2,061 0 2,360 14									2,483 36 2,458 4 2,167 7	7,436 12 7,231 5 6,150 3	
						800 20 670 33 437 4	2,054 8 1,722 12 1,122 11							44 21	111	1,454 10 1,54 26 1,287 36	3,752 12 3,687 14 3,324 0	
•••						292 5 214 15	804 1 500 0						:::			996 15 915 35	2,939 9 2,674 4 2,568 4	
•••						211 3 331 3 294 22	589 4 1,032 6 792 9		3 15						•••	1,918 14 1,790 24	5,894 12 5,436 5	
,,,,				6 5	13 13 3 7	250 27 133 35 95 14	699 3 358 0 254 15									1,610 24 1,275 25 1,291 16	3,400 0 3,389 11	
•••				1 21		91 29 8 0	245 12 22 1									1,305 2 255 5	3,426 0 617 13	
						5 10 1 28 622 35	14 7 4 10 1.597 2		:::				:::		***	246 2 171 19 1,955 20	595 12 413 10 5,142 12	
						436 26 307 18	1,119 12 788 6								***	1,748 24 1,421 12	4,673 4 5,758 15	
•••						326 2 212 15 104 31	833 14 544 13 268 15			:::						1,289 12 1,146 21 1,032 30	3,573 7 3,132 10 2,756 14	
***				 		17.: 10 101 19 91 34	436 7 260 1 23 5 8		,						••• •••	641 13 510 30 620 21	1,653 1 1,327 14 1,609 13	
***						382 25 209 15 215 5	980 15 665 10 551 12									2,420 07 1,967 36 1,596 32	7,172 0 6,075 11 4,717 3	
						181 15 235 33 573 21	465 12 607 2 1,477 13		,							2,322 13 2,100 24 2,630 25	7,289 1 6,730 0 6,047 5	
•••						308-29 36 7 -13	791 8 94× '5									2,402 32 $2,373$ 2	7,747 5 7,478 2	
						629 19 105 30 59 38	1,638 9 271 2 153 11									2,104 4 1,372 20 1,071 3	6,245 9 3,519 6 2,753 9	
•••			::: 			48 14 	123 14			 						1,133 26 1,410 30	2,909 12 3,817 8	
				•••		27 5 31 30 490 29	69 10 81 7 1,258 1									889 9 781 2 690 4	2,283 9 2,025 1 1,915 10	
•••			***			205 11 83 15 27 25	526 4 213 11							•••		F33 9 878 18	2,277 0 2,385 12	
***	•••	•••		•••		$\frac{24}{25} \frac{1}{10}$	70 14 61 10 64 12								***	929 20 524 31 482 17	2,425 2 1,373 5 1,251 9	
***						189 5 -84 25 92 20	484 15 217 0 237 3			1 17	3 15					284 20 721 17 979 25	791 7 1,841 3 2,525 10	
•••	 					 	***									726 30 664 39 703 18	1,863 12 1,707 1 1,504 7	
•••		•••				94 25 122 13 212 35	250 4 319 4 557 11					:::				1,927 17 1,823 27 1,693 28	6,444 7 6,649 14 5,072 13	
•••				***		309 15 1 357 32	794 1 917 12					***				2,439 21 1,945 23	7,436 0 5,875 14	
•••						463 28 899 35 525 25	1,196 14 2,307 3 1,348 1					,				1,793 37 2,130 30 1,833 26	5,087 10 5,900 12 5,119 1	
•••		122	•••			217 39 352 10	558 12 903 1	::: •••				,	,,,			1,433 14 2,140 26	3,943 1 6,635 10	
•••				***		25 6 19 187 3 2 702 5	734 7 481 7 1,800 12									1,852 12 1,524 12 1,297 10	5,(7:) 10 4,586 4 3,334 10	
***	 		 	•••	·•• ···	533 3 94 15	1,367 1 242 5						 		*** P##	1,160 0 1,020 29	2,991 0 2,699 2	
***	 	 	 	***		437 35 291 29 346 11	1,122 12 747 15 888 3	:::	 				 			998 17 978 28 1,164 13	2,637 10 2,659 3 3,168 10	

_			GARDER		-	-		Кна	IF.	*				
Serial No.	Name of deh.	Year,	GARDER	s, a.c.	FLOW	BICN.	Отна	PLOW.	L	i wy.	LIFT AT	DED PT	FL)W.
3			Ares.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Агов.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- nient.	Area.	Assess- ment,
	let group—contd.	.	A, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g,	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs, a.	A, g,	Rs. a.
25	Koureja	1903-1904	. 434	35 6 16 10 21 11	258 10 205 22 136 5	882 2 702 11 465 0	332 14 472 4 618 22	890 10 1,257 13 1,641 1	 11 26	 21 12	7 30 16 0		***	
26	Nawra	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Po. preceding 4 years	2 21 0 22	 8 6 1 13	148 15 92 16 28 14	491 7 303 9 93 14	1,145 0 966 27 1,002 4	2,936 1 2,482 1 2,573 8		 			34 39 4 6	107 3 12 12
27	Rahimabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years.		15 12 16 2 9 5	 15 18	 51 2	527 5 739 12 773 14	1,386 13 1,921 1 2,004 2		 			29 27 8 15	91 0 25 10
28	Dhad	1:03-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	39	3 3	 3 7.	 10 9	804 15 762 36 821 35	2,062 15 1,958 12 2,121 4	:::			***	12 24 54 14	38 10 166 8
2 9	Pir Padhro	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years.		 3 5	 19 13	 64 0	1,328 32 851 2 523 15	3,411 12 2,186 8 1,315 10					77 34 31 8	238 15 95 8
80	Lal Walı	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years.	. 7 5 4 34	23 10 16 1	1,255 4 97 7 24 333 21	4,162 2 3,238 15 1,104 11	819 5 932 35 1,300 6	2,103 1 2,395 5 3,339 1	2 27 5 15	 5 6 10 12	24 30 16 34 30 5	59 7 41 8 75 5	93 2 78 5	285 6 239 6
31	Garbi Chand	1903 1904 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	9 20	32 10 13 12 9 8	559 15 41 7 36 122 11	1,931 13 1,440 1 419 9	638 2 548 37 648 33	1,670 10 1,447 13 1,729 5	2 9	 5 0	4 10 4 10 3 11	11 11 11 11 9 0	3 23	11 ^{***} 4
32	Mehar Shah	1903-1904	1 25	5 11 	10 5 10 25 3 32	35 7 37 3 13 6	395 35 166 28 91 15	1,085 9 457 0 250 7	24 15 167 4	54 5 374 15	32 10 144 37 121 28	87 2 397 9	22 10	72 6
3 3	Bachalpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	5 31	19 2 16 8	93 30 63 21	319 6 182 6	392 30 411 26 411 1	1,019 2 1,070 9 1,070 7	18 29 78 34	38 11 164 13	97 15 59 13 13 35	252 15	 6 4	 18 11
34	Abad	Do, preceding 4 years 1903-1004 Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years	5 35 6 23	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	219 20 181 23 42 20	764 3 613 6 148 1	345 14 385 8 418 6	920 13 1,026 8	32 20 50 10 70 1	69 0 108 3 151 6	7 10 49 11 17 11	19 15 132 9 46 4	31 5	110 5
35	Garhi Mehrab	1903-1901	2 19	8 13 7 6	1,017 10 804 6 261 16	3,186 9 2,760 3 8.3 4	211 5 209 31 386 36	576 7 550 10 1,012 9		***			10 39	 35 ^{'''} 3
36	Allshabad	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	. 5 5 6 33	17 0 22 10 16 13	66 0 65 15	218 10 216 9	1,007 17 835 2 930 2	2,577 0 2,134 12 2,375 4	4 20 30 16 101 30	9 0 60 13 2.14 14	86 25 21 26	216 9 54 2	3 20 61 35	10 12 189 11
37	Jafarabad	Do, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years			3 k 10 675 30 100 39	2,343 1 1,743 9	871 15 880 9	2,327 13 2,338 1	***	2.4 14	***		11 1	35 6 J19 4
38	Sawan Lashari	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	3 0	10 5	2×6 10 1,484 5 1,27 ± 37	5,106 2 4,782 3	50 1 10 70 2 23	2,291 4 1,318 5 1,855 8		•••		***	37 58 21 15 42 38 244 5	69 8 138 10 765 6
3 9	Wasao	Do. preceding tyears 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	17 10	56 5 71 12		2,491 0 735 9 1,135 12		3,017 9 3,698 9 3,025 2					54 7	173 ^{**} 3
40	Rasulabad	Do. preceding 4 years		47 13 	123 14 314 5 273 19	1,173 5 931 8	1,458 39 776 10 750 30	3,966 1 2,065 12 1,973 2	3 25 36 24	8 3	7 10 1 15	23 2 3 6	29 30 35 26	113 5
41	Garhi Khairo	Do, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	20 35	70 9 77 6	176 11 81 35 85 29	280 8 293 4	825 14 617 34 445 27	2,153 12 1,027 14 1,177 12	31 0 23 15	80 12 72 3 49 10	1 4 24 30 6 8	65 0 16 4	23 21 3 35 18 20	75 3 12 4 58 6
42	Mulah Rato	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	10 29	36 7	18 35 2 15 2 15	64 6 7 14 7 11	631 32 1,051 25 1,023 14	1,679 2 2,697 1 2,624 5	5 4. 	10 14	6 3	15 15	110 22	349 5
4 3	Thariri Bhaleno	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904			145 2 58 25 41 21	480 7 194 2 137 8	1,967 15 1,250 0 980 12	3,205 12 2,537 8	•••				117 9	359 3
4-1	Khair Wah	Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904	6 5	20 5 21 13	258 26 210 21	856 10 697 4	587 3 233 15 478 20	1,506 1 598 14 1,228 12					6 1 5 0 39 9	18 8 15 5 119 14
4 5	Bhalenabad	Do. proceding 4 years	12 17	41 3 65 11 63 0	269 9 25 30 132 19	892 2 85 5 356 0	713 20 484 14	1,543 5 1,829 10 1,242 1					2 16 4 14	7 6
46	Mauladad	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904	. 15 39	52 13 	18 8 69 0 40 6	228 7 132 15	562 16 496 0 500 4	1,443 4 1,210 1 1,277 11			 ï 34	4 10	58 28	179 13
4 7	Ramzanpur	Average of last 4 years Do, preceding 4 years 1903-1904	.] ,	3 15 2 1			1,127 27 1,037 20	1,254 12 2,892 13 2,663 3					6 10	19"'3
48	Malhuabad	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904	0 21	1 12 4 2	348 30	1,156 2	1,054 30 604 10	2,708 7 1,652 3 1,052 7					3 35	6 13
, 40	Kadirpur	Average of last 4 years Do. proceeding 4 years	0 25	3 1 2 1	296 7 22 24 99 20	981 6 75 3 929 7	409 34 462 38 640 1	1,692 1 1,645 10					8 37 7 9	27 6
50		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years 1903-1904	2 16	7 15	148 19 9 1 358 84	491 14 30 1 1,188 8	549 6 584 14 589 37	1,411 3 1,504 9 1,514 9				•••	5 13 	16 5
		Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years.	0 15 7 20	1 4 24 14	252 22 33 15 441 35	836 6 111 4 1,475 8	549 12 621 13 89 7	1,412 8 1,599 12 229 1			***	•••	2 29	8 5
6 1	-	Average of last 4 years Do. proceding 4 years.	8 30 2 22	29 0 8 7 652 1	338 21 119 34	1,121 15 397 6	286 25 491 8 141 10	735 4 1,263 14 362 4		5 9 5 9 506 14	123 0		5 ¹¹ 9	16 0 60 9
52	Badhal W		150 15	499 15 386 7		171 6	189 8	484 8	312 7 159 11	651 8 368 11	148 12 255 7	970 15	9 5 36 3	27 15 103 7

	TAL,	To	III.s,	<u> </u>	ATDED	Line			AIDED	Bost	RABI.	1		.	DED BY	BOST AT		
R1.marge	 -		LENTS,		FLOW.			() w w	LOW.		Rosi.		1		rr.	71F	FT.	
	Азвевышеці.	Area,	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assers- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Mare s- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area,	Assess- ment.	rea.
	Rs. a.	А. д.	Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs, a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	. g.
	4,837 14 4,633 10 4,131 12	1,745 25 1,669 25 1,499 7		 				 	 		3,029 12 2,275 4 1,677 1	1,144 26 835 37 630 10		•••	231 14 252 12	73 18 80 1	 	 ••• •
	5,011 8 3,650 8 3,213 9	1,911 5 1,358 32 1,242 19	***	 				***	,		1,584 0 740 5 551 10	617 30 292 9 207 13	 	 	 	 ,		/ 3 : :
	2,035 7 2,344 1 2,458 9	778 20 197 1 943 23			 				***		6; 2 14 315 14 368 6	246 35 123 9 143 25	.,,				, 	
	3,710 6 3,131 13	1,416 35 1,217 31						•••			1.647 7 1,134 6 652 3	642 20 4 2 11 274 9						
	2,953 11 4,493 10 2,870 6	1,134 24 1,715 22 1,102 11									991 14 44 15	38 6 3 0 173 15					***	
	7,592 11 6,619 6	712 10 2,591 24 2,279 36									352 8 1,244 7 646 13	157 16 485 20 252 0	•••					
A. e. 5 7 Huri. 4 16.	5,134 13 4,866 8	1,920 32 1,770 2 1,701 36					 1 13	0 26			445 1 1,485 1 1,807 13	173 20 553 35 681 30	•••		132 5	40 35		
0 17.	4,393 11 1,884 11	1,611 19 684 5									2,121 14 676 9 506 10	799 38 245 35 184 9		,	104 7	32 7		
	1,458 6 1,246 8 2,317 4	532 19 479 3 862 6			•••						2.0 7 725 13	73 34 278 11	•••				***	
	1,965 6 1,504 9 3,546 2	740 8 591 13 1,283 9	***							 	501 (198 6 1 51 10	191 8 70 23 651 30				 		, ,
A. g.	3,405 12 2,579 14	1,223 5 952 15								•••	1,469 13 977 3	F46 16 361 13 145 24			2 10	0 ::4 	***	***
3 30 Huri. 2 32	4,778 3 4,433 1 3,081 9	1,462 39 1,415 34 1,061 13							 		390 4 865 11 764 6	3/11/39 281/28	•••		217 12 368 13	77 19 115 8	*** ****	***
	3, 194 8 2,543 14 3,309 11	1,230 27 980 2 1,291 22							 	 	156 5 44 4 406 10	61 0 17 10 158 22			 			•••
	5,336 7 4,690 7 4,191 14	1,8 5 10 1,611 25 1,480 13		 	 	 			10 4 	3 14 	665 9 563 3 779 8	258 - 5 $216 - 2$ $295 - 31$		 		 	 	•••
A. g. 2 g 2 g 0 22	7,2 8 3 6,850 11 6,955 0	2,267 15 2,195 8 2,363 24				 			 		684 4 461 1 669 6	255 25 171 30 250 37		 				***
	4,645 0 4,742 2 5,033 3	1,663 26 1,661 20 1,810 16							12 11	3 3s	154 9 323 10 469 12	56 30 . 119 4 173 22		 	 	 	 	•••
	4,057 1 3,623 11 3,023 14	1,433 35 1,299 29 1,329 6									818 0 532 3 6 98 15	313 20 202 35 262 31				 :::	 	
A, g. 1 15 1 15	2,346 15 1,955 10 2,594 6	865 16 707 22 949 21	87 1	 34 33	7 1	2 10			5 5	1 26	218 9 365 4 345 15	82 7 99 27 129 37			10 11	3 15		
2 17	4,427 15 3,953 10	$1,726 0 \\ 1,541 3$									1.723 0 1.321 7	672 0 515 :4						
	4,498 8 3,552 8 2,969 10	1,087 30 1,368 5 1,145 29									918 3 152 10 294 10	35 4 4 59 20 114 : 6					 	
	2,647 8 3,314 12 3,378 6	1,030 31 1,226 1 1,245 25									1,122 15 1,853 10 1,: 07 11	437 27 723 35 509 35						
A. g. 4 20	3,335 1 3,232 5 2 523 4	1,215 15 1,247 14 970 35									851 1 1,251 11 847 14	330 39 488 10 330 20	,			•••		,
4 20 3 14	2,582 7 2,031 3	985 28 774 15									847 5 562 J1	330 17 219 15						
	1,604 6 1,702 1 5,562 12	615 33 688 4 2,168 32	:::								189 2 503 6 2,669 15	73 2) 196 12 1,041 6				•••		
	4.171 7 4,424 6 3,058 9	1,624-25 1,722-34 1,689-to				•					1,488 0 1,702 5 346 2	580 10 663 2s	***			·	•••	•••
	2,816 0 1,638 7	1,010 6 628 3	:::					•••			772 5 341 12	300-39 132- 3 9			•••	•••		
	3,180 13 2,689 1 2,217 14	1,209 27 1,002 14 857 14	:::	:::				 	 		1,205 12 762 14 659 0	470 6 397 20 256 10	 	 	 	 		
	3,849 7 3,061 0 2,009 0	1,395 31 1.117 37 770 14	:::			 	 	 	 	 	1,143 6 802 10 298 0	447 0 312 39 115 26	* 	***	***		 	•••
	3,600 10 3,283 2 2,097 9	1,271 10 1,178 14 779 32				 	 •••	 			1,871 3 1,390 6 408 5	729 24 541 27 158 8		•••	••• ••• •••	::: :::	:::	
	2,010 2 2,131 8 2,383 6	771 25 846 26 904 24				•••	 				120 3 96 11 115 15	46 35 37 27 45 9	:::			 		•••

				GARDE:	Ns, &c.				KHARIF	• .					
Reria Mo.	Name of deh.		Year.			FLOW	BICE.	Отви	R PLOW.		Livt,		II. AD HY	FL	OW.
ž				Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess ment,
	let group—contd	١.		Λ, g.	Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.
5 8	Jacobabad	•••	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	65 36 97 39 142 19	225 8 333 11 484 14	105 5 87 5 39 31	359 2 296 14 135 9	144 20 132 16 194 39	381 6 244 15 505 13	F93 30 567 13 432 33	1,098 11 1,213 14 944 5	193 8 158 85 149 9	506 3 367 4 403 4	80 35 21 21 24 9	250 5 66 12 74 18
14	Lat Lodro		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	4 36	i; 0		:::	7 30 9 6	20 10 24 5	201 36 291 27 238 20	656 14 6.6 12 556 12	8 15 2 4	23 T 5 12		
5	Mahrabpur	٠٠.	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	 1 20 1 12	5 2 4 7	106 20 127 11 17 7	362 11 433 12 58 8	119 0 207 36 290 11	3'6 6 552 8 771 10	18 3	38 7	20 35 36 ln 41 10	54 13 95 11 109 10	129 25 38 10 53 37	409 7 120 13 107 8
6	Akilpur		1903 1904 Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years	2 15 1 31 5 22	7 11 5 12 18 5	15 30 19 8	53 10 65 5	216 4 218 35 191 33	574 1 582 10 518 14	2 10 28 50 37 27	4 8 63 4 81 7	58 5 90 20 63 13	150 6 239 12 167 15	58 20 25 10 4 38	188 0 80 12 15 9
7	Cantonment		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	•••											
8	Duntapur		1903 1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	3 10 0 32	11 1 2 13	1,629 76 1,453 26 719 80	5 523 14 4,923 14 1,140 10	651 18 640 13 937 32	1,637 13 1 037 10 2,443 15			, 		1 :6	4 7 67 13
)	Amirabad		1903 1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	5 35 2 5 8 55	19 7 7 0 23 6	441 10 -29 t 483 t2	1,431 1 1 .51 9 1 .62 13	879 21 7.5 11 944 5	2,255 10 2 042 5 2 413 12	 4 0	 8 8			:1, 21 :1, 21	63 10 : 106 11
, 	Jamaiabad		1973-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years			748 20 724 21 413 54	2,502 8 2,47 7 1,125 4	643 0 422 34 560 18	1,6°5 2 1,60 2 1,30 14					25 o	85 13 191 4
	Nizamabad		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	8 10 10 22 7 15	28 1 35 5 25 2	611 10 657 37 589 31	2 0 s6 13 2 245 11 1,3 0 8	1.7d 0 3.0.29 .08.16	1,02 2 84 6 82 4	2 35 0 29 0 2)	6 2 1 9 1 9	 0 25	 1 10	1 i6	4. 7
	Khudabad	 	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			271 0 2 0 6 225 14	877 5 83 15 740 9	3/6 5 470 St 5/5 10	887 10 1,2-4-10 1,3-8-4-1	5 15	iö 12			38 1.7 23 tu	
	Son Wah		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years			48 0 85 0	1f0 a 119 7	03 30 710 2 662 7	1 e : 7 15 1, 20 11 2 2 1 0					2 16 0 Ja	7 6 2 11
	Готав оу 157 бро	Up		845 6 810 19 713 1	2,857 13 2,73 1			75,250 17 38,595 37	51,471 7 8 (9.56 7	1,778 22 2,116 5	3,559 8 4,573 10	677 83 707 2	1,755 5 2,0 4 14 2,10 22	ر 3 رسو 1,015 18	1,525 1; 3,143
	2nd group,									<u></u>	·				
	Burj Salemi		1903 1904 Average of last 1 years	22 30 5 28	73 15 18 8	***	111	374 25 653 - 8 918 35	931. 6 1 031. 12 2,231. 5			1 32	4 5	192 30 48 8	568 4 1,2 1
	Bujhani		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	••• •••		255 : 5 210 - 9 145 18 :	795 0 654 4 451 10	207 20 505 14 459 52	4 5 10 7 (8 5 1,076 2			17 20 4 15 5 10	30 5 9 13 11 15	8 12 27 10	24 12 79 5
	Chhajra		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceeding 4 years	4 28	14 6	732 18 694 22 308 36		423 31 604 26 548 22	98 3 2 1,174 14 1,276 8			***		17 70	 48 8
	Kimatabad		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	1 30 1 14 0 3 i	5 6 4 2 2 13	610 21 52 · 12 27 t 15	1,870 13 1,618 14 840 9	523 5 525 34 635 24	1,218 4 1 2.5 11 1,76 3		***	•••		 29 4	 81 13
	Khanpur		1903 19 14 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 1 years	13 20 10 4 11 5	41 8 31 0 34 2	784 5 899 4 572 23	2,402 14 2,55 7 1,663 0	897 10 734 59 1,095 17	2,074 8 1 8 7 13 2,534 10					10 17 75 18	29 5 212 2
	Gul Wah		1003 1004 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		***	2 7 3 207 3 99 2	634 9 634 9 303 8	560 35 735 22 860 24	1,2 6 12 1,7 io 9 1,500 0			**1 *** ***		.5 5 1 (1 5) 31	14 7 3 10 156 14
	Detha		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceeding 4 years	 0 25 0 25	1 14 1 14			278 15 276 6 354 14	613 10 658 13 824 8	1 37		••• •••		4 25 1 6	13 0 3 4
	Attai	 	19:8-19:04	2 19 6 88	7 13 18 12	35 35 19 35	113 5 62 12	484 38 480 14 524 0	1,105 13 1,155 12 1,263 3			3 50	8 14	 	,
-	Ghauspur	•••	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2 36 3 7	8 14 9 12			243 35 2 247 24 259 2)	586 1 692 11 8.7 0			19 1 37 4	44 4 83 7		
	Shahdadpur	• • •	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	7 15 5 i 1 36	23 5 15 15 6 1		•••	569 0 551 4 471 12	1,318 1 1,278 2 1,007 2		::: :::			19 10 4 53	55 3 13 13
	Mundranipur		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	84 55 95 32 28 3	230 0 295 12 85 6	3 9	9 14	716 94 5 5 21 49 3 3 4	1,681 2 1,301 8 1,457 6	11 2 64 5 90 21	19 6 120 9 171 4	17 2 21 31 13 5	42 10 53 15 31 9	22 0 	61 14
	Sultanpu <i>r</i>	•••	1903-1804 Average of last 4 years	11 25 5 14 3 15	36 11 17 1 10 9	135 20 164 33 10) 23	427 15 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	58 0 8 6 1 1,071 32	1,331 0 2,473 0 2,565 15			13 0 4 1	9 9	23 19 124 6	66 0 344 9
	Thariri		1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	0 14 1 17	I 2 4 9	1,038 16 654 31 107 0	3,235 3 2,043 11 342 10	461 35 719 35 773 31	1,135 2 1,7 6 13 1,8(8 12				:::	8 25 23 14 45 16	25 14 69 12 134 12
	Miraupur	•••	190 -1901 Average of last 4 years	9 30 2 17 2 15	29 14 7 8 7 4	396 25 405 33	1.236 6 1,261 7	1,23 7 5 882 7 642 26	2,882 0 2,0 8 7 1,507 13	6 15 1 24 0 33	11 2 2 13 1 7	1 24 0 31	3 9	6 5 45 11 106 8	17 4 121 12 300 7
	Reti		, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years			233 15 2 8 21	562 1 695 7 613 8	410 19 299 1	9:5 11 6:4 7		:::			3 20	9 14
			,, preceding 4 yours	4 34	15 0	105 8	325 9	209 3	701 15	[1	, 1	11 30	33

							RABI.									τ.	OTAL.	1
L	IPT.		IDED BY IFF,	SAT	LABI.	Во	81.		AIDED PLOW,	0 8 7	WELLS.	RY	AIDED		KENTS.			Banata.
rea.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	ABBEBB-	Aren	Vesese- ment.	Area	Afress. meat.	Area.	A esee seen	Area.	Assessment	
. 8	Ra. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	Α. ψ.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	. Rs. a.	A. g	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. s.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.
				2 7 0 22	5 0 1 4	73 30 73 29 92 8	200 13 201 10 243 5			1 22	45				 	1,174 11 1,124 2 1,075 28	2.8 1 9	2 22 2 22 2 28
		· · · ·			::	24 10 11 8 2 36	61 12 25 15 8 0	 							*** ***	324 21 317 22 250 22	7.0 1	
•••						151 25 162 39	401 2 413 9	1.11		·						#27 25 #7	1.546 7 1 0 6	
		***				98 33 75 30 54 6	263 1 202 1 146 5								 	423 34 4∋9 ∪	1,18 : 5 1, 82 12	
•••		•••				63 9	16a 6									369 27	5.0 B	
		•••				522 15	 1,361 6									2,8 6 3 9	F 5 14 2	
		***		119 8	3 2 11	362 34 314 0 141 20	918 15 824 7			***						2,5:1 9 2,015 21 1 767 6	6 o t∂ 15 4, 65 12	
		•••				240 21 307 16	616 15 770 7						:::			1,5(8-17 1,7 7 5-10 1,510-0	4:36 9	2 35
• • •		•••		•••		158 20 169 9 157 34	109 6 431 2 415 10						***		 	1,341 72 1,133 29	4,0% 10 3,533 14	2 35
				49 55	145	297 3 231 11 170 30	793 6 613 3 583 2				 	•••	 	 	 	1 285 18 1 234 19 1,149 7	3 9 4 12	
• • •						2.90 20 153 1.9 189 7	$\begin{array}{ccc} 76 & 2 \\ 407 & 9 \\ 485 & 2 \end{array}$							···		913 25 9 1 8 983 9	2 6 3	
			,			133 0 113 39 - 8 19	417 14 281 8 119 6									619 86 859 17 921 12	2 2 0	
•••		89 0 196 1	2 14 15	8 12 171 d	13 13 492 13	21,0 4 25 16,416 30	56 223 7 42 743 2		26 14	3 25	ţ0 1	3 10	7 1	11 21	111 6 57 1	: 6,9°6 : 7	2,15,954 0	22 18 20 20 9 13
		227 16	726 0	10 1	4 (3	14,639-16	38.370 12	1 2;	8 8					31 33		72,000		
110						90 15 179 23 17 20	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							25 16 21	71 0 3 12	681 20 917 0 967 88	2 280 13	
		1 D	 3 8			290 3 5 261 7	639 4 613 5									771 3) 701 14 826 19	2 (2) 15	
		***		0 1	0.1	183 20) 3 % 5 214 5	826 9 563 3	***								1,512 14 1,448 #2	4.070 1 8,890 5	
						249 36 429 36 (2) 20	581 3 991 4 512 1			•••						1,121-14 1,567-6 1 2 7 0	2,855 3 4,685 11 3,350 12	
		***				11c 10 92s 15	2.59 4 2.149 1									1,059-18 9793-10 2,409-5	2,670 10 6,667 15 6,259 14	2 15 1 8
						604-21 477-31 600-5	1,609 5 1,104 5 1,389 4									2,202 13 1,073 28	5,148 3 3,335 0	
14				***		428 4 46 10	589 13 106 14						:::			1,372 0 1,061 27 613 34	3 · 2s · 0 2,6 · 7 · 4 1,42 · 4	
 		***				330 31 153 1 86 15	765 10 365 12 199 12	····								436 l 143 ll	1,027 8	. •
		5 39	17 15			548 25 316 25 153 39	7:6 1 368 6			***						1.0°3 23 8 0 3 708 31	2, '85 15 2,051 13 1,731 0	
•••		6 19	17 12	ļ		2:10 20 14:18 49 2:	602 3 338 9 115 4		 		 					f0 (15 472 18 4)8 26	1,108 4 1,102 2 1,045 7	
						156 0 125 14 140 33	366 11 292 2 326 3									751 25 68 - 12 614 - 1	1.733 4 1.600 0 1,329 6	
		***				83 ±0 77 ±9	193 13 180 1							:::		9 2 33 8 6 7 675 26	2.196 15 2.0 3 9 1,4 1	
.						526 20 273 : 2	117 8 1 226 11 637 13			•••			····			1 231 25 1,553 14	3,082 5 3,319 4 3,479 12	
		***		[126 10 498 20 381 33	2.07 12 1,32 10 939 11									1,428 6 2.:07 16 1,180 7	5,624 13 4,796 1	
						312 23 241 85	717 8 659 to							}		1,240 12 1,936 35 1,627 37	3, 98 3 4,836 4 4,142 10	
		***				291 1 310 10 55 0	644 2 738 7									1,271 23 688 31	3,179 3 1,768 6	
•••	:::					61 7 23 17	111 10 55 4									572 9 445 12	1,499 7	0 8

1			0					KHARI	F'.					
Serial No.	Name of deh,	Year,	GABDE		FLOW	RICH.	Отиы	R PLOW,	L	FT,	LIFT AIT		FL	w,
Ser			Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess ment.
	2nd group-contd.		Α, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	Λ. g.,	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. s.	A · g.	Rs. a.
79	Lai Odho	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2 5 2 20 2 19	6 9 7 11 7 9	302 7 179 32 6 3 13	924 0 550 7 194 5	402 8 604 14 585 21	9 3 1 3 1,397 15 1,353 12	0 25	 1 2	 		5 30 61 19	16 3 172 14
\$ 0	Dital Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	 	 	48 36 36 24	149 12 112 1	302 5 464 28 692 25	906-10 1,074-9 1,601-13	19 9 80 4	73 10 52 10	 ii 9	36 7	10 16	29 4
"	Total of 25d. grove.	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	153 10 139 12 66 10	477 4 431 10 204 11	1,836 5 4,241 15 1,985 16	14,485 9 13,107 6 6,118 7	8,778 : 0 9,536 18 10,789 18	20,354 15 22,355 1 25,331 45	17 17 84 38 124 0	30 8 157 0 229 13	34 22 62 13 76 20	81 15 124 12 174 11	21.6 20 196 1 762 12	694 0 562 4 1,593 10
	3rd group,										ļ			
8]	Phatan Wah	1903-1904	13 35 9 39 22 8	40 6 29 8 66 4		****	740-25 769-39 502-39	1,615 14 1,688 8 1,932 9	111 5 83 1 301 2	194 13 145 8 518 11	23 17	52 3	56 15 48 38 15- 36	155 2 132 3 414 0
82	Bakarpur	1903 1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	27 15 28 20 22 14	79 10 82 15 65 12	111 10 158 29 90 0	333 12 471 5 270 0	506 15 432 22 458 35	1,097 4 910 14 1,002 14	5 7	8 13	 4 34	10 7	92 35 43 34 91 12	249 1 119 1 244 0
82	Wariamabad ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	12 15 3 4	35 15 9 0		111 111	67 10 114 16 11 16	145 4 216 15 24 10	39 30 76 1 265 27	61 12 123 11 432 5	140 5 121 30 113 14	298 0 258 14 241 0	107 20 71 11 58 10	285 14 189 9 154 14
14	Umranipur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	54 25 38 26 10 6	153 13 112 7 28 7	3 31	8 1 	437 35 359 35 20 24	951 2 755 10 44 7	297 10 357 23 757 35	484 9 663 8 1,268 6	202 20 106 4 25 18	448 9 231 14 55 10	89 10 92 30 151 32	241 8 251 4 410 4
85	Hambi ,,.	10:3-1901 Average of last 4 years preceding 1 years	9 20	 1 6	···		121 33 200 34 185 10	254 13 419 14 393 4	48 27	84 0 11 0	 13 34 21 26	30 11 48 13	2 4	5 6
88	Milkint-i-Sarkar		,,,, ,,,			,,,,	53 15 20 0 12 20	110 2 61 15 26 0		***				
67	Мицатпадриг	,	18 35 25 18 3 32	47 8 71 5 10 12	145 20 315 24 186 15	409 0 887 5 524 1	1,048 1 717 24 759 39	2,175 12 1,4×4 15 1,571 6	126 14 111 33 81 19	189 8 167 11 131 12	 5 2 1 9	10 2 2 7	3 20 16 5	9 9 41 8
86	Shahid	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years				 	366 32 144 18 251 3	757 6 298 4 312 0		***				
89	Khan Wah ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			 4 24	12 15	163 4 226 4 226 31	336 8 466 13 468 1	25 26 25 11	38 8 37 15				
90	Hazaro						32 36	67 15	77 Il		 8 3	16 2		
91	Belo Alipur (Disforested during 1903-04.)	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years	.,,,											
92	Risalahad	preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Avorage of last 4 years preceding 4 years		•••		 	147 31	333 0 						
	TOTAL OF SED GROUP		125 5 1 5 27 59 0	362 4 305 3 172 9	256 30 477 4 210 39	7-12 12 1,366 11 807 0	3,653 1 2,995 32 2,765 13	7,777 1 6,393 11	564 19 742 31	933 10	342 25 270 7 174 81	746 9 583 12		931 9
	GRIND TOTAL OF THE WHOLK TALUKA.		1,124 1	3,097 5 3,480 1	31.112 5 27,271 29	1,03,384 5 92,378 13	47,682 8 46,838 7	1,19,803 7 1,17,745 3 1,32,971 14	2,360 18 2,943 34	4,823 10 5,958 8	1,055 0 1,059 22	2,583 13 2,723 6	1,006 15 1,473 35	2,952 5 4,412 8

			,							í.	RABI							
REMARES.	AL.	Тот	ILL RENTS,			Libr /		OK W		BY F	81,	Воя	ABI.	SALL	er, ey		IPT.	1
	Assessment.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area,	Assess- men.	Area.	Acess.	Area.	Assest-	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area,	Assess- ment.	Area.	A partsas Jacobs	Атга	A s	rea.
	Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.				1	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs, a,	۸. g.	Ин. н.	4. S.	Real is	. g.
	2,344 12 2,517 13 2,385 14	915 0 1,028 13 997 12		 				!		 	482 0 545 9 656 4	208 20 235 37 283 35	••• •••				• (•) • · ·	•••
	1,512 5 1,635 13 1,965 8	654 5 696 11 843 24							 	 	605 11 377 14 133 5	262 0 163 18 57 26	••• ••• •••				[^
A. g. 2 15 Huris. 1 8 ;; 0 8 ;;	50,169 14 46,832 7 40,117 13	19,813 3 18,547 15 16,361 31	71 0 3 12	28 16 1 21							13,845 11 10,002 2 6,442 11	5,005 39 4,260 34 2,750 11	0 4	,,,	21 & 1, 15	∜ 64 5 5 •		::: :::
	4,298 8 3,520 4 3,431 4	1,953 10 1,598 28 1,579 27	133	5 ii		 	 		 	 	2,292 5 1,459 3 349 12	1,031 10 658 3 200 22	::: :::					
	3,246 2 2,852 2 2,129 4	1,424 30 1,234 21 915 7		 	 	 			·		1,486 7 $1,237$ 15 527 6	686 35 570 36 242 25	, 			 		
	1,936 4 1,411 0 1,690 8	975 35 655 27 558 31	***		 						1,103 7 582 15 237 11	508 35 269 5 110 4	 	•••			 	
	4,012 3 3,089 14 2,209 10	1,873 30 1,468 17 1,149 8		::		; ; ;		 			1,757 10 1,037 2 402 8	802 ±0 470 39 183 3	***				 	
	309 7 580 14 458 2	$\begin{array}{c} 148 \ 13 \\ 285 \ 14 \\ 219 \ 11 \end{array}$									54 10 40 15 3 11	26 20 19 35 1 31		••• •••	 	.,. 		
	110 2 71 12 43 5	53 15 34 30 23 10	 	::							 9 13 22 6	 4 30 10 30		, ,		···	 :::	
4 15 3 11	3,308 4 2,842 11 2,548 4	1,572 15 1,281 34 1,178 2									486 8 211 13 266 8	235 25 102 24 129 3	., 	,	 		:::	
	757 6 306 11 354 14	366 32 148 22 171 34						 			 8 7 42 14	 4 4 20 31		*** ***				
	336 8 514 2 573 4	163 4 256 1 282 39	 -4								8 13 54 5	 4 11 26 13		***				•••
	13 9 200 0	6 23 118 10	 				 				i3 9 	 6 23		•••				
	691 2 	306 30			 						691 2	306 30						***
	333 0	147 31 																
4 15 ,, 3 11 ,,	19,368 14 15,202 15 13,043 7	8,886 5 6,970 17 6,196 19	133	5 11							7,875 1 4,610 9 2,007 0	3,598 5 2,111 9 926 2					:::	
29 8 25 8 9 20	3,15,492 12 2,83,433 2 2,52,515 0	1,15,606 5 1,03,928 26 95,111 32	195 8 90 13	78 8 36 14		2 10	101		26 11	8 21	77,944 3 57,365 13	31.164 23	18 13 192 13	8 12 171 6 16 5	284 15 648 8	89 0 293 29 233 15		

APPENDIX XIV-B-I.

STATEMENT showing DUBARI CULTIVATED LAND, excluding JAGIR and FOREST LAND, in each village of taluka Jacobabad, which has taken other water, under each kind of irrigation, during 1903-1904 and in two quadrennial periods of the existing settlement with the assessment thereon.

							н	ABI.								
No,	Villages,	Year.	GAF	DEN.	Во)SI,	SAII	ABI,	LIFT A		FLO	w.	FLOW BY W		Тот	L,
			Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- n.ent.	Area.	Arbers-	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Атев.	Arsess- ment.	Area.	AFFEFF-
	1st group,		A. g,	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs, a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g	Rs a.	A. g.	Rs. a.
1	Abdulah Drakhan,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			 				 	 	23 16	63	 	 	23 16	6 3
2	Alipur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years					:::			 	26 20	6 14			26 20	 6 14
8	Wah Ali Haidar	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years		:::		 	 	 			2 22	 0 11		: ::::	2 23	0 11
4	Garhi Chand	Average of last 4 years				:::		::: :::			 16	 0 5		 	 1	 0
5	Wasao	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years						:::	 :::	 	 2 3	09	2 12	0'''8	2 12 2 3	0 °
E	Kaureja	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							3 15 0 24	0 14 0 2		:::			3 15 0 24	0 14 0 2
7	Garhi Mahrab	Average of last 5 years preceding 4 years									0 3c	 o```3		 	0 30	0 3
B	TOTAL 1ST GROUP	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		:::					3 15 0 21	0 14 0 2	56 17	14 13	2 12	0s	5 27 57 1	1 6 14 15
	3rd group.							-					ĺ			Ì
y	Phatan Wah	Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years					:::				3 18	0 15	:	 	3 18	0 15
10	Bakapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	\ :::								 87 19	22 12			 87 19	22 12
11	Umranipur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years , preceding 4 years									3 19	10			3 19	1"0
	TOTAL 3nd GROUP.	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years									94 16	24 11			94 16	24 1
13	GRAND TOTAL	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							3 15 0 24	0 14 0 2	150 33	30 8	2 12	0"8	5 27 151 17	39 1

APPENDIX XIV-B-II.

STATEMENT showing DUBARI CULTIVATED LAND, excluding JAGIR and FOREST LAND, in each village of taluka Jacobabad, which has not taken other water, under each kind of irrigation during 1903-04 and also in two quadrennial period sof the existing settlement, with the assessment thereon.

					,				RABI.							-
Berial No.	Wallene		GAR	DEN.		AIDED VELLS.	SAI	LABI.	Во	ыī.		AIDED		ILL ENTS.	Ton	Γ Α Ι ι.
Reria	Villages.	Year.	Area.	Asressment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assersment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assessment.	Arca.	Assessment.	Area.	Assess- ment,
	1st group.		Λ. g.	Rs. a.	A, g	Rs. a	A. g	Rs. a.	Λ. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	1	A. g.	Rs. a.
1	Abdulah Drakhan	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							1,488 18 1,318 3 844 3	377 5 331 7 217 3	1 33	0 15		***	1,489 18 1,319 36 844 3	377 6 332 6 217 3
2	Kaisarabad	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							255 5 139 32 36 26	65 1 35 8 9 2	0 19	02		:::	255 5 140 11 36 26	65 1 35 10 9 2
3	Alipur	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							503 0 387 12 217 38	130 10 98 13 58 6	1 18	1 1			503 0 388 30 217 38	130 10 99 14 58 6
4	Ahmadpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	4 10				,		1,275 15 1,241 14 843 29	322 7 312 12 219 11		•••			1,275 15 1,245 34 843 29	323 7 312 12 219 11
5	Dilawarpur	1903-1904 Average of hot 4 years preceding 4 years	44 2	0 6 					414 20 355 36 257 21	92 12 83 15 65 15	1 28	07			414 20 401 26 287 21	02 12 84 12 65 15
6	Dasti	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							75 15 62 23 8 26	19 2 15 15 2 5	•••				75 15 62 23 8 26	19 2 15 15 2 5
7	Sbahpur	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							267 30 194 11 100 21	67 12 49 1 25 6		, 			267 30 194 11 100 21	67 12 49 1 25 6
8	Gokalpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							340 20 238 34 129 39	85 15 60 4 32 13			•••		340 20 238 34 129 39	85 15 60 4 32 13
8	Aurang aba 1	Average of last 4 years		 					11 0 10 4 15 0	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 9 \\ 3 & 15 \end{array}$					11 0 10 4 15 0	2 13 2 9 3 15
10	Pir Bakhsh	1963 1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years					:::		1,489 22 1,283 32 776 18	394 4 327 11 194 0					1,489 22 1,-83 32 776 18	394 1 327 11 194 4
11	Jahanpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		•••				 :::	1,727 28 1,537 9 1,106 1	430 10 381 9 273 9					1,727 28 1,537 9 1,106 1	430 10 384 9 278 9
12	Sheranpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							1,875 13 1,791 19 1,000 23	475 4 442 15 261 12					1,875 13 1,794 19 1,030 23	475 4 442 15 261 12
13	Daro Jiand	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							2 15	 0 10					2 15	"ö 10
14	Kur Khairo Gachal.	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years				 			7 5						 7 5	 1 13
15	Kotri	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							195 30 175 19 118 30	49 6 44 12 30 12		:::			195 30 175 19 118 30	49 6 44 12 30 12
16	Kur Rato	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding kyears,				: :	•••		50 35 59 23 35 19	$\begin{bmatrix} 14 & 2 \\ 15 & 6 \\ 9 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$:::			50 35 59 23 35 10	14 2 15 6 9 1
17	Dodapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							82 25 20 26	20 13 5 3			:::	:::	82 25 20 26	20 13 5 3
18	Kur Biro	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		:::												***
19	Kohiri	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							1,664 13 1,473 4 958 19	415 8 368 11 250 2					1,664 13 1,473 4 958 19	415 8 368 11 250 2
20	Tajo Dero	1903-1004 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		::: }					1,330 9 1,043 24 532 34	331 9 267 12 128 9		:::			1,330 9 1,043 24 532 34	331 9 267 12 128 9
21	Alaupur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							531 15 479 33 241 29	133 1 120 3 61 1					531 15 479 33 241 29	133 1 120 3 61 1
22	Wah Ali Haidar	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							1,486 18 920 25 862 35	371 11 294 6 228 15		:::			1,486 18 920 25 862 35	371 11 294 6 228 15
23	Ismatabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							132 10 33 23 21 15	33 7 8 8 5 4	:::				192 10 33 23 21 15	33 7 8 8 5 4

Ī			1				-		RABI.					•		
			GAR	DEN.	LIFT A		SAIL	ABI.	Во	sī.	Bosi BY V	AIDED VELLS.	JII	LL ENTS.	Тот	L,
	Villages.	Year.	Area:	Assessment.	Area,	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Asee sment.	Area.	Assess. ment.
-	1st group-contd.		A. g	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A . g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A, g.	Rs. a.
4	Fatihpur .	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years				···			0 12 4 22 102 8	$\begin{smallmatrix}0&1\\1&2\\27&3\end{smallmatrix}$			 	 	0 12 4 21 102 8	0 1 27
	Kaureja .	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		03					462 39 228 20 20 15	114 6 57 1 6 8	0 16	o2		 	462 39 231 16 20 15	114 57 6
3	Nawra	1903 1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							141 15 35 14 27 24	35 14 9 6 7 3	 				141 15 35 14 27 24	35 1 9 7
'	Rahimabad .	1963-1904						, 	11 15 2 34 	2 14 0 12				:::	11 15 2 34 	
	Dhad	1903-1904						 	71 30 27 13 	18 2 6 15	•				71 50 27 13	18 6 1
	Pir Padhro .	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years			***				6 10 1 23 18 31	1 9 0 6 4 15					6 10 1 23 18 31	1 0 4 1
	Lai Wah .	Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years.							1,033 9 875 0 331 30	$\begin{array}{ccc} 260 & 5 \\ 221 & 1 \\ 86 & 6 \end{array}$					1,033 9 575 0 331 30	260 221 86
	Garhi Chaud .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	: :::		:::			 	584 3 397 39 62 33	$\begin{array}{ccc} 148 & 9 \\ 100 & 13 \\ 17 & 7 \end{array}$					584 3 397 39 62 33	148 100 1 17
	Mehar Shah .	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.						 	10 5 6 15	2 9 1 10 				 	10 5 6 15	2 1 1
-	Bachalpur .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							20 20 36 8 	22 15 9 3		:::	 	 	90 20 86 8	22 : 0
	Abad	1903-19 4 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years	0 33						470 20 245 33 21 6	119 1 62 6 5 9	07				470 20 246 33 21 6	119 62 5
	Garhi Mehrah .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							632 35 421 33 22 39	160 10 106 7 6 8				 	632 35 422 19 22 50	160 106 6
	Allahabad .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years							19 15 14 35 69 0	4 14 3 12 17 7	 				19 15 14 35 69 0	4 3 17
	Jafarabad .	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.							704 10 651 1 334 15	178 1 163 8 90 11	\ \			 	704 10 651 1 334 15	178 163 90
	Sawan Lashari .	1903-1904							1,379 25 1,162 30 766 32	348 1 293 6 124 13				 	1,379 25 1,162 30 766 32	348 293 194
	Wasao	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.							300 15 359 23 178 37	75 0 8) 15 47 9					300 15 359 23 178 37	75 89 4 7
, !	Rasulabad .	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.							963 30 192 0 159 25	94 0 49 11 42 5					368 50 192 0 159 5	94 40 42
ı	Ga∴hi Khairo	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.	1 33		0.32				130 25 146 19 58 18	31 11 35 14 15 0					130 25 149 4 58 18	31 35 15
	Mulah Rato	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years.							147 25 50 30 110 31	37 3 13 0 28 0					147 25 50 30 110 31	37 13 28
	Thariri Bhaleno	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.							132 0 44 1	33 4 11 2 					132 0 44 1	33 11
ŀ	Khair Wah	1903-1904							258 1 199 38 213 27	85 3 50 9 54 7					258 1 199 38 213 27	65 50 54
; !	Bhalen aba d	1903-1904	7 28					:::	123 10 45 10 12 23	27 5 9 8 3 1					123 10 52 38 12 23	27 9 3
,	Mauladad	1903-1904							76 0 34 30 	19 2 6 12 					76 0 34 30 	19 8
7	Ramzanpur	1903-1904						:::	4 5 1 1	0 4					4 5 1 1	0
	Malhuabad	1903-1904							296 10 174 3 30 14	74 15 44 0 7 11					296 10 174 3 30 14	74 44 7
9	Kadirpur	1903-1904							128 10 146 15 9 38	32 6 38 2 2 8					128 10 146 15 9 38	32 38 2
		2 Francisco di Joseph		"							\perp		<u> </u>	1		

1								Į.	ABI.		-					-
NG.			GAR	DEN.	FIRE M	LLLS.	SAII	ABI.	Во	81.		AIDED ELLS.		LL RNTS.	Тот	fl L.
Serial No.	Villages.	Year.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area,	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assess- ment.
	lst group—conid.		A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs a.	A. g.	Re. 2.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	Λ, g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.
6 0	Khalulabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							431 29 268 16 27 0	110 10 68 2 6 14	 			•••	431 29 268 16 27 0	110 10 68 2 6 14
6 1	Sumapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years						 	391 25 267 14 97 10	99 11 67 12 24 13			•••		891 25 267 14 97 10	69 11 67 12 24 13
5 2	Badhal Wah	Average of last 4 years Do. preceding 4 years			***				30 25 8 16 2 25	7 13 2 2 0 11	·•·				30 25 8 16 2 25	7 13 2 2 0 11
63	Jacobabad	1903-1904	. 29 5						269 31 164 30 112 23	56 13 78 14 21 1	o"io	01			269 31 194 5 123 21	56 13 38 15 21 1
51	Lal Lodro	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							89 36 49 9 6 1	$\begin{array}{ccc} 22 & 12 \\ 13 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 \end{array}$	 				89 36 49 37 6 1	$\begin{array}{ccc} 22 & 12 \\ 13 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 \end{array}$
65	Mahrabpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years.							155 10 143 14 3 8	39 4 35 14 0 13					155 10 143 14 3 6	39 4 35 14 0 13
5 6	Akilpur	1903-1904 Average of last tyears preceding Tyears							121 10 1(4 21 25 0	$\begin{array}{ccc} 30 & 2 \\ 26 & 5 \\ 5 & 12 \end{array}$					121 10 105 15 25 24	30 2 26 5 5 12
67	Cautonment .								•••							
Б8	Duniapur	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							1,619 5 1,298 36 623 13	413 0 328 10 158 0					1,620 5 1,298 35 623 13	413 0 328 10 158 0
E9	Amiraba I	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							376 20 4 7 1 580 6	93 13 122 14 122 14					370 20 4×7 1 580 6	93 13 122 14 122 14
CO.	Jamalabad	Average of lost 4 ye rs preceding 4 years							728 20 701 25 407 11	181 10 176 5 104 10					728 20 701 25 407 11	181 10 178 5 104 10
61	Niz amabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							669 15 804 23 443 34	167 6 2 2 9 113 13	•••				6-9-15 +01-25 -443-34	167 6 202 9 113 13
.62	Khudabad								208 10 192 59 145 36	52 12 48 15 3 7 6					208 10 192 30 145 36	52 12 48 15 3 7 6
63	Son Wah							***	48 0 19 31 	12 3 5 0					48 0 19 31 	12 3 5 0
64	TOTAL 1ST GROUP	Total of 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years		09	0.32				27,925 11 22,789 30 13,202 12	7,029 13 5,796 5 3,248 13	6 11	2 12			27,925 11 22,289 21 13,213 14	7,029 13 5,799 10 3,348 13
	2nd group	1													90.05	
65	Burj Salimi	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years.							26 35 25 36 24 3	6 12 6 8 6 7			2 8	0 10	26 35 28 4 24 3	6 12 7 2 6 7
.6 6	Bhajhani	Average of last 4 years n preceding 4 years					 		324 10 278 29 213 30	81 8 70 1 53 15					324 10 278 29 213 10	81 8 70 1 53 15
67	Cahajra	Average of last 4 years preceding & years							746 33 673 21 207 12	180 10 170 10 75 7					746 38 673 21 297 12	189 10 170 10 75 7
68	Kimatabad	Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years							403 31 2 8 26 63 38	104 9 51 5 16 0				***	403 31 208 "6 63 3s	104 9 53 5 16 0
69	Khanpur	1903-19 4 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	0.31						766 20 793 29 502 4	$\begin{array}{ccc} 193 & 1 \\ 200 & 7 \\ 125 & 13 \end{array}$					766 20 794 20 502 4	193 1 200 7 125 13
70	Gul Wah	1902-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							149 33 129 7 50 9	$\begin{array}{c} 37 & 12 \\ 32 & 9 \\ 12 & 10 \end{array}$					149 33 129 7 50 9	37 12 32 9 12 10
71	Detha								35 10 8 33 0 34	8 15 2 4 0 4			 		35 10 8 33 0 34	8 15 2 4 0 4
72	Attai		· · · ·						4 10 3 29	 1 1 1 10					 4 10 4 35	 I I I 10
73	Ghouspur	1992-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							i 1	 0 4					 1 1	". 0 4s
74	Shahdadpur	1903-19)4 Average of last 4 years preceding 1 years	7 15												7 15 1 34	
75	Mundranipur								199 20 56 31 7 3	50 7 14 6 1 !3		:::			199 20 56 31 7 3	50 7 14 6 1 13

			_						RABI.]	
No.			GAR	DEN.	LIFT BY W.	AIDED Ellis.	SAII	ABI.	Bos	BI.		AIDED ELLS,	Н	l L L En T S,	Тот	AL.
Berial No.	Villages.	Year.	Area,	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Агеа.	Assessment.	Arca.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assersment.	Агеа,	Assessment.	Area.	Assess- ment.
	2nd group—contd.		A, g.	Rв. а.	A. g.	Rs. a.	Λ. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.
76	Sultanpur	Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years						, ,	375 25 281 37 169 0	96 6 71 11 42 15					975 25 28 37 169 0	96 6 71 11 42 15
77	Thariri	1903 1904 Average of last 4 years ,, preceding 4 years							1,115 11 782 35 135 37	281 11 197 11 34 8				:::	1,115 11 7e2 35 135 37	281 11 197 11 34 8
8	Miranpur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							561 30 471 6 218 10	145 6 120 2 56 2		:::			561 30 471 6 218 10	145 6 120 2 56 2
79	Reti	7004 7004			1				289 25 252 2 105 6	73 14 56 2 26 13					289 25 222 2 105 6	78 14 56 2 26 13
80	Lai Odho	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years							240 25 174 31 106 2	63 9 43 13 27 1					249 25 174 31 106 2	62 9 43 13 27 1
81	Dital Walı	,, preceding 4 years 1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							16 28 17 0	4 3 4 5					16 28 16 28 17 0	 4. 3 4. 5
82	TOTAL 2ND GROUP	Total 1908-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	7 15 2 25						5,244-33 4,130 - 2 1,914-17	1,332 8 1,045 1 485 11			28	0 10	5,252 8 4,134 35 1,915 23	1,352 8 1,045 11 485 11
	3rd group.							<u>-</u>								
83	Phatan Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							142 0 76 14 81 15	38 0 19 10 21 0					142 0 76 14 81 15	38 (19 10 21 (
64	Bakapur	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years				 		:::	418 20 396 33 194 22	10 t 8 99 9 49 11					418 20 396 33 194 22	104 8 99 (49 1)
95	Wariamabad	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceeding 4 years							49 0 40 19 5 25	15 3 10 13 1 7					49 0 40 19 5 25	15 3 10 13 1 3
₽o	Umranipur	1 '03-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years					***		191 0 97 38 5 11	48 3 24 11 2 0				:::	191 0 97 58 5 14	48 3 24 11 2 0
37	Hambi	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							43 25 10 36	12 0 3 0					43 25 10 36	12 (3 (
38	Milkiat-i-Sarkar	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years							9 10 2 30	2 6 0 11					9 10 2 30	2 6 0 11
39	Muhammadpur		2 9 0 24	07					115 15 220 13 18J 20	26 9 54 13 47 9					115 15 222 22 181 4	26 8 55 4 47 9
ю	Shahid	1000 1001	***						•••							***
91	Khan Wah	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	 						25 1 6 10 49 29	6 4 1 9 12 9					25 1 6 10 40 29	6 4 1 9 12 9
2	Hazaro ,	1903-1904 Average of last 4 years proceding 4 years				::	***									***
3	Belo Alipur (Distorested during 1903-1904.)	1903-1904								•••						
14	Risalabad	1903-1901 Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years						 		**** *** ***					 	***
	Total of 3rd Group.	Total 1903-1904 Total Average of last 4 years preceding 4 years	2 9 0 24	07	:::		***		993 31 851 33 517 5	$\begin{array}{r} 253 & 1 \\ 214 & 12 \\ 134 & 4 \end{array}$					993 31 854 2 517 29	253 1 215 3 134 4
98	GRAND TOTAL OF THE WHOLK TALUKA.	Total 1903-1904	7 15 97 13		0 32				34,163 35 27,771 34 15,633 34	8,615 6 7,056 2 3 ,988 12	6 11	2 12	2‴8	0 10	34,171 10 27,878 18 15,646 36	8,615 6 7,060 8 3,968 12

c. M. BAKER,

Deputy Commissioner,

Upper Sind Frontier.

47
APPENDIX XV.

STATEMENT showing DEMANDS and REALISATIONS in the Jacobabad taluka for the years 1896-97 to 1903-1904.

Year.	Gross as mand.	Remissions.	Revenue for collection.	Arrears.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1897-98 1898-99 1899-1900 1900-01 1901-02 1902-03	2,34,686 2,64,897 2,54,017 2,72,504 3,04,947 2,60,759 2,73,203 3,24,113	$\begin{array}{c} 6,168 \\ 16,776 \\ 3,762 \\ 4,360 \\ 2,592 \\ 13,102 \\ 25,594 \\ 168 \end{array}$	2,28,518 2,48,121 2,50,255 2,68,144 3,02,355 2,47,657 2,47,609 3,23,945	4,157 5,018 1,857 1,062 8,265 5,115 6,259 52,952
TOTAL.	21,89,126	72,522	21,16,604	84,685
Average .	2,73,641	9,065	2,64,576	10,586

APPENDIX

JACOBABAD

STATEMENT showing the RESULTS of the proposed rates, as compared with the existing rates, in

<u>i</u>												KIL	RIF.							·			
			G.	A RD ENS	'	Rie	E UND	EB.	Отнва	CROPS FLOW.	UNDER		Lift	г.		TAIDE:	р в у)	FLOW,			FT AID	
No.	Name of village.		Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area,	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment,	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Bate.	Assessment
	Group I-A.		Α.	Rs. a.	Rs.	Δ.	Rs. u.	Rs.	Λ.	Rs. a.	Rs.	Λ.	Rs. a.	Rs.	Λ.	Rя, а.	Rs.	A.	Rs. a.	Re.	Α.	Rs. s.	Rs.
1	Jacobabad Exis settlen Projecttlen	nent. posed	98 98	3 8	243 280	87 87	3 8 4 8	305 392	132 132	2 12	363 3 63	567 567	24	1,276	139 139	2 12	362 313	22 22	3 4	72 72		3 4	
2	Mahrabpur $\left\{egin{array}{c} { m Do} \\ { m Do} \end{array} ight.$		2	3 8	7 6	127 127	3 8	415 572	208 208	$\begin{array}{c c} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 12 \end{array}$	572 573		2 4 2 4		26 36	2 13 2 4	90 81	38 38	3 4 3 4	124 124		3 4 3 4	
3	Akilpur $\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} D_0 \\ D_0 \end{array} \right.$		2 2	3 8	7 6	19 19	3 8 4 8	67 86	219 219	2 12 2 12	CO 3 602	29 29	2 4	65 65	91 91	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	250 205	25 25	3 4 3 4	81 81		3 4 3 4	•••
4	Ahmadpur $\left\{egin{array}{c} D_0 \\ D_0 \end{array}\right.$		46 46	3 8	161 131	793 793	3 R 4 8	2,773 3,5 6 9	607 60 7	2 12 2 12	$^{1,669}_{1,669}$	25 25	2 4 2 4	56 56	12 12	2 12	33 27	13 13	3 4	42 42		3 4 3 4	:::
5	Abdulah Drakhau. { De		24 24	3 8	8 l 66	817 827	3 8 4 8	2,995 3,722	$\frac{725}{725}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 12 \end{array}$	1,994 1,994		2 4 2 4			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$			3 4			3 4 3 4	
6	Alipur { Do	0	44 44	3 8	154 121	180 100	3 H 4 8	630 810	$\begin{array}{c} 454 \\ 454 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}2&12\\2&12\end{array}$	$\frac{1,249}{1,249}$	$\frac{24}{24}$	2 4 2 4	54 54	:::	2 12			3 4 3 4			3 4 3 4	•••
7	Abad { Do	0	7	3 8	25 19	185 185	3 8 4 8	643 833	385 385	$\begin{array}{c}2&12\\2&12\end{array}$	1.059 1,059	50 50	2 4 2 4	113 113	49 49	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	135 110		3 4 3			3 4 3 4	:::
8	Garhi Chand $\left\{egin{array}{c} \mathbf{D}_0 \\ \mathbf{D}_0 \end{array}\right.$		4	3 8	14 11	418 418	3 8 4 8	1,463 1,881	519 519	2 12 2 12	1,510 1,510		2 4 2 4		4 4	2 12 2 4	11 9	4	3 4 3 4	13 13		3 4 3 4	:::
9	Garhi Mahrab { Do		2 2	8 8	7	804 804	3 8 4 8	2,814 3,618	210 210	2 12 2 12	578 578		2 4 2 4			2 19 2 4		***	3 4 3 4	•••		3 4	
10	Koureja $\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} D_0 \\ D_0 \end{array} \right.$		5 5	3 8	18 14	208 206	3 8 4 8	721 927	$\frac{472}{472}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$	1,298 1,298		2 4 2 4		8 8	2 12 2 4	22 18		3 4			3 4	
11	Sheranpur { Do		3	3 8	11 8	1,718 1,718	3 8 4 8	6,013 7,731	283 283	2 12 2 12	778 778		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4		2 3	3 4 3 4	7		3 4	
12	Pir Baksh $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} D_0 \\ D_0 \end{array} \right.$			3 8		$\frac{1,371}{1,371}$	3 8 4 8	$\frac{4,799}{6,170}$	337 33 7	2 12 2 12	927 927		2 4 2 4		•••	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}$			3 4 3 4			3 4	
13		o	11 11	3 8	39 30	1,568 1,568	3 8 4 8	5,489 7,056	342 342	2 13 2 12	941 941	···	2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4		3	3 4	10 10		3 4 3 4	
14	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	6	3 8	21 17	519 519	3 8 4 8	$\frac{1,817}{2,336}$	784 734	2 12 2 12	2,019 2,019		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4		48 48	3 4 3 4	156 156	:::	3 4 3 4	
16	Wah Ali Haidar $\left\{ egin{array}{c} D \\ D \end{array} ight.$	0	***	3 8		1,916 1,216	3 8 4 8	4,258 5,472	306 306	2 12 2 13	842 842		2 1 2 4			2 12		43 43	3 4 3 4	140 140		3 4	
16		0		3 8	···	1,617 1,647	3 8 4 8	5,765 7,412	55 55	$\begin{array}{c c} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 12 \end{array}$	151 151	:::	2 4 2 4	<i></i>		2 12 1			3 4		:	3 4	:::
17		0,	5 5	3 8	18 14	978 978	3 8 4 8	3,423 4,01	933 933	2 12 2 12	2,566 2, 66	3	2 4 2 4	7 7	17 17	2 12 2 4	47 38	93 93	3 4	302 302		3 4 3 4	
	TOTAL GROUP I-A Settler	sting men., posed ment.	259 259		909 729	12,663 12,663	,	44,325 56,988	6,951 6,951		19,118	698 698		1,571	356 356		979 801	291 291		947 947			
	Group I-B.	Ì																					
18	Badhal Wah { Exist Retiles Project les	posed [150 150	 3 8	525 413		3 g 4 0		189 189	2 12	520 520	312 312	2 4	702 702	148 148	2 12	407 333	9	3 4	29 29		3 4	
19		00,	ដ 5	3 8	1-F		3 8 4 0		8 8	2 12 2 12	22 22	292 292	2 4	657 657	2 2	2 12 2 4	6 5	•••	3 4			3 4 3 4	
30	Dasti { D	0,		3 8		11 11	3 8 4 0	39 44	47 17	2 12 3 12	129 1: 9	165 169	2 4 2 4	3: 0 380	14 14	2 12 2 4	32 32		3 4 3 4			3 4	
31	Dilawarpur {	00	$\frac{219}{249}$	3 8	873 69 9	9 9	3 8 4 0	32 36	456 456	2 12 3 12	1,254 1,254	470 470	2 4 2 4	1,059 1,058	11 11	2 12 2 4	30 25		3 4			3 4	
22	Bachalpur { D)a,)⊙	6 8	3 8	21 17	54 54	3 8 4 0	189 216	412 412	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$	1,133 1,133	19 19	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	43 43	59 59	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	162 133		3 4			3 4 3 4	
2 3	Mehar Shah {)o	2 2	3 8	7 0	11	3 8 4 0	39 41	167 167	2 12 2 12	459 459	24 24	2 4 2 4	51 54	145 145	2 12 2 4	399 528		3 4	:::		3 4 3 4	
24		00, 00,	2 2	3 8	7 6	,	3 8 4 0		823 822	2 12 2 12	2,261 2,261	:::	2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4	 	8 8	3 4 3 4	26 26		3 4 3 4	
25	Mouladad { E)o,		3 8	:::	40 40	3 8	140 160	500 500	2 12 2 12	1,375 1,375		2 4 2 4		2 2	2 12 2 4	6 5		3 4 3 4			3 4 3 4	:::
26)o)o		3 8	:::	2 2	3 8 4 0	7 8	1,023 1,023	2 12 2 12	2,813 2,813		2 4 2 4			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$			3 4 3 4		:::	3 4 3 4	`
27	Thariri Bhaleno $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} I \\ I \end{array} \right.$)o,)o,		3 8		42 42	3 8 4 0	147 168	989 989	2 12 2 12	2,720 2,740		2 4 2 4		:::	2 12 2 4			3 4 3 4			3 4	
28	Bhalenabad $\left\{\begin{array}{c} I\\1\end{array}\right.$)o Jo. ,	19 19	3 8	67 87	132 132	3 8	462 528	481 481	2 12 2 12	1,331 1,331		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4		4	3 4	13 13		3 4 3 4	:::

XVI.

TALUKA.

each village of the Jacobabad taluka, on the basis of the cultivation of 4 years from 1901 to 1904.

, 				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		-					RAB	1.															INCREA	ви ов	
ROSI LIFT			U.	TURA NDAT	ION	(-		ICIAL I	NUNDA-	On	WELL	8.	TO	Him Bren			Dub ATE				DUBAT NWATE		Тот				PER CI		sment.
Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	A constant of	Absestment.	Ares.	Rate,	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Trea.	Rate.	Asres-ment.	Area,	Bato		As sess ment.	Area.	Hate.	Assessment.	Area,	Assessment,	Іпстевье.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.	Average assessment
	ls. n. 3 4 3 4	1	λ. 1	Rs. 3)	3	A. 77 77	Rs. a. 2 12 2 12	Rs. 213 213	A. 2 2	Rs. a 2 4	Rs, 6	A.	Rs. 2	}	Λ,	Rs.	- 1	Rs. 	A. 194 194	Rs. a. 0 4 1 0	Rs. 40 191	A. 1,319 1,319	Rs. 3,011 3,110	} 99		3.29		Rs. s. $\begin{cases} 2 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 \end{cases}$
	3 4 3 4			3 4 2 1			163 163	2 12 2 13	41; 463		2 4			2 8 1 8		ļ	0 2	40		143 113	0 4	36 113	717 717	1,731 1,946	} 215		12.43	·••	${2 \atop 2} \frac{7}{11}$
	3 4			3 2 1		::	55 55	2 12 2 12	15 15)		2 4			2 H			0 2	4 0		105 105	0 4 1 0	26 105	515 515	1,249 1,301	52		4.16	.	$\begin{cases} 2 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 \end{cases}$
1	3 4			3 2 1			295 295	2 12 2 12	81: 81:		24			2 5	1	ļ	0 2	4 0		$^{1.2 \circ 6}_{1,2 46}$	0 4	312 1,246	3,038 3,038	5,863 7,554	} 1,691		28:81	:	${1 \atop 2} {15 \atop 8}$
	3 4 3 1			3 2 1	0 2 -		881 882	3 12 2 12	2,426 2,426		2 1			2 ×	1	ł	0 2	4.	:	$^{1,220}_{1,320}$	0 4	330 1,320	3,778 3,778	7,729 9,528] 1,799		23.28	•	$\begin{cases} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 8 \end{cases}$
	3 4			3 2 1			2.4 214	$\frac{2}{2} \frac{12}{12}$	589 589		2 4			2 8			0 2	4 0		389 38 9	0 4	97 339	1,305 1,305	2 773 3,212	} 419		15.83		{2 2 2 7
1	3 3	3		3 2 1			5 (i) 5 kii	2 12 2 12	1,502 1,502		2 4			2 8		1	0 2	40		247 247	0 4	62 247	1,470 1,470	3,547 3,883	} 339		9.56		$\begin{cases} 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 10 \end{cases}$
41 41	3 3	133 133		3 2 1			682 682	2 13 2 14	1,876 1,876	1	2 4	3 2		2 8		1	0 2	4 0	0	398 398	0 4	100	2,101 2,101	5,10 3 5,⊴33	} 710		13:80	•••	(2 7 (2 12
7.7 77	3 3	-		3 2 1			322 322	2 13 2 13	836 836		2 Ja	:::		1 8			0 2	4.	•••	422 422	0 1	106 422	1,837 1,85 7	4,641 5,760	} 1,119		21.11		{2 8 (3 2
7.3 7.3		i 237 i 237	ļ	3 2 1			896 293	2 12 2 12	2.454 2,464		2 4			2 :			0 2	4 0	1 6	231 231	0 4 1 0	58 231	1,834	4,819 0,195	3 376		7:80	***	\$2 9 \$2 12
	3	i		3 2 1			3 17 3 17	2 12 2 12	1,00p 1,00p		2 4			1 2 5		i	0 2	4 0		1,794 1,794	1 0	119 1,791	4,167 4,167	3,237 11,327	} 3,050		37:01		$\begin{cases} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 11 \end{cases}$
		i	·	3 2 1	0 2		$\frac{259}{259}$	3 12 2 13	71: 71:		2 4			2 5			0 2	$\frac{4}{0}$		1,234 1,254	0 4 1 0	321 1,284	3,251 3,251	6.759 9 093	} 2,334		34153		$\begin{cases} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 13 \end{cases}$
		l		8 2 1		:::	236 233	2 12 2 13	619 649		3 4			2 8			2	4 0		1,537 1,537	0 4 1 0	:284 - 1,537	3,67 3, 8.7	7.511 10,223	3 2,712		36.11		(2 l (2 ls
		1 1		3 1	0 2	::: :::	$\frac{524}{526}$	2 12 2 12	1,447 1,417		2			2 8		1	0 2	4 0		480 - 80	0 4 1 0	120 4:0	2,313 2,313	5,580 6,45 5	} 875		15.68	•••	$\begin{cases} 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 13 \end{cases}$
		1 1		3 2			284 286	2 12 2 12	737 757		21			2 8 1 8		1	2	4		921 921	0 4	230 921	2,772 2,772	6,25 5 8,162	} 1,907		30:49		$\begin{cases} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 15 \end{cases}$
		i		3 2 1		:::	$\frac{132}{122}$	2 12 2 13	3 36 336		2 1		!	2 4		ļ	0 2	4.0		1,473 1,473	0 4 1 0	368 1,473	3,2 7 3,∄07	6,620 9,372	} 2,752		41.57		$\begin{cases} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 13 \end{cases}$
	3 3	 1		3 2 1			$\frac{253}{252}$	2 12	69 3		2 4		ļ ···	2 S			0 3	Ö		875 875	0 1	219 575	3,156 3,156	7,975 8,196	} 1,621		22-28	***	(2 5 (2 13
193		!	1	1	i		6,180 6,180	,	16,95s 16,99s	3		7	···· 			1 .				13,659 13, 50	1	3,267 13,059	40,657	88.753 1,10,853	}22,100		24.90		$\begin{cases} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 12 \\ \hline \end{cases}$
	3			3 2	- }		38 38	2 12	105 105	,	2 4		ļ	2 8	i			1 0		8	0 4	2 8	854 854	2,290 2,110	}	1:0		7:88	{2 11 {2 8
	3	4		3			11	2 12 2 12	30		,	.,,		2 8			0	1		5/3	0.4	13	368	746	} 32	,,,	4.29		${ \{ egin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{smallmatrix} }$
	3		1	3	0		11 5	2 12	30 14		2 4			2 8						63 63	0 4	16	368 309 309	617	} 		7:29		{2 0 {2 2
	3	4.	2	. 3	0	 6	95 95	2 12	261 261		2 4			2 8			3 0	4		402 402	0 4 1 0	63 101 402	1,694 1,694	3,614 3,741	} 127		3:51		{2 2 {2 3
	3	4	1 '''	3	0		95 191	2 12	525		2 4			2 8	ı		0	4		36	0 4	e l	777	2,082	} 21		1.01		{2 11 {2 11
	3	4		3	0		191	2 12	525 506		2 4			1 8	,		0 3	4		6	0 4		559 539	2,103 1,466 1,401	; ;	65		4.43	C9 10
	3	4		3	0		671 671	2 12 2 12 2 19	1,845		2 4		45	$egin{bmatrix} 1 & 8 \\ 2 & 8 \\ 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$	313	, , , , ,	0 3	4		1 (a)	0 4	35	1,688 1,688	1,401 4,297 4,316	}		1:38	,	{2 9 {2 9
	3	4 .		3	0		671 74 74	2 12 2 12 2 12	1,845 204 204		2 4			2 8	۹		0	4		35 35	0 4	9	651 651	1,731	} 45		2.00		{2 11 {2 12
	3	4		3	0		515 515	2 13 2 12 2 12	1,416 1,416	},	2 4			2 1	1	. i	0	4		51	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \\ \pm 1 & 0 \end{array}$	13	1,591 1,591	4,249 4,288	} } 89		0.93		$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c c} 2 & 11 \\ 2 & 11 \\ 2 & 11 \end{array} \right. $
	3	4		3	0		115	2 12 2 12	316 316		2 4			2	8 8		0			44 44	0 4	11	1,190	3,194 3,248	} 54		1.69		$\begin{cases} 2 & 11 \\ 2 & 12 \end{cases}$
	3	4	.	3	0		331	2 12 2 12 2 12	910 910		2 4			2	s	.	l e	4		53	-	13	1,023 1,023	2,796 2,902	} 101		3.79		{2 13 {2 13
	<u>i </u>	98		1			1 001	1	31,1		*			1 *	- j '''	+	. ,			l		1	1	1 -,555	<u> </u>	i 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1 `"

				<u> </u>				<u> </u>		KH	IARIF.					**			· · · · · · ·			••••	
			G	ARDENS	1,		UNDER		Отнкв	CHOPS	ORDER		Lipt			AIDED	ВҮ		Frow.			T AID	
No.	Name	of village,	Area.	Late.	Assersment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Атев.	Rate.	Assessment,	Area.	Rate.	Arsersment,	Area.	Rate.	Ascersment,	Area.	Rate,	Arrestment.	Area.	Rate.	Asse sement
	Group 1-1	8.—continued.	Λ.	Rs. a.	ks.	Α.	Ks. a.	Ks.	Α,	Rз. a.	Rs.	A.	Bs. a.	Rs.	Α.	Rs. a.	Rs.	Δ.	Rs. a.	Rs.	A.,	Rs. a.	\mathbf{R}_{S}
29	Khair Wah	Existing settlement. Proposed settlement.	8 8	3 8	28 22	211 211	3 8 4 0	739 844	479 479	2 12 2 12	1,31 7 1,31 7		2 4		•••	2 12		3 9	3 4 3 4	127 127		3 4	
30	Fatibpur	{ Do, Do,		3 8		197 197	3 8 4 0	690 783	49 0 4 90	2 12 2 12	1,318 1,318		2 4 2 4			2 12 2 4	···		3 4 3 4			3 4 3 4	
31	Shahdadpur	. { Do	5 5	3 4	16 14		3 4 4 0		551 851	2 8 2 12	1,378 1,515		2 0 2 4			2 8 2 4		5 5	$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 \end{array}$	15 16		3 0 3 4	:::
32	Shahpur	{ Do	1	3 8	4 8	158 158	3 8 4 0	553 632	1,014 1,014	$\begin{array}{c c} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 12 \end{array}$	$\frac{2,789}{2,789}$		2 4 2 4	:::		2 12 2 4		140 140	3 4 3 4	455 405	••• •••	3 4 3 4	
2 3	Cantonment	{ Do Do		3 8	: <u>:</u> :		3 8 4 0		•••	2 12 2 12			2 4 3 4			2 12 2 4		ļ 	3 4 3 4		 	3 4 3 4	
	Total group	Existing set demont. Proposed settlement.	447	•••	1,50 1,20	867 867		3,087 3,468	7,631 7,631		20,849 20,986	1,286 1,286		2,894	381		1,049 859	205 205		668		•••	
	21	nd group.						-															
84	Nawra	$\cdots \begin{cases} \text{Existing} \\ \text{settlement}, \\ \text{Proposed} \\ \text{settlement}. \end{cases}$	3	3 8	1. 8	92 92	3 s 4 o	323 308	967 967	2 12 2 8	2 659 2,418		2 4			2 12		35 35	3 4 3 0	. 114 105		3 4	
35	Dhad	{ Do		3 8			3 8 4 0		7.:3 76.3	2 12 2 8	2,098 1,908		3 4 3 0			2 13 2 0	***	13 18	3 4 3 0	42 39	::-	3 4 3 0	
86	Rahimabad	{ Do		3 8	18 13	***	3 8	•••	739 7. 9	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$	2 032 1,848		2 4 2 0			$\begin{bmatrix}2&12\\2&0\end{bmatrix}$		30 3 0	3 4 3 0	1'8 90		3 4 3 0	
37	Bakapur	$\dots \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{D}_0, & \dots \\ & \mathbf{D}_0, & \dots \end{array} \right.$	29 25	3 0	8: 7.:	159 159	3 0 4 0	477 836	433 433	2 4 2 8	974 1,∈83		1 12 2 0			2 4 2 0		44 44	$\begin{smallmatrix}2&12\\3&0\end{smallmatrix}$	121 132		$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 12 \\ 3 & 0 \end{array}$	
ಶಿಕ	Burij Salemi	{ Do	6 ti	3 4	20 15	***	3 4 4 0		653 65 1	2 8 2 %	1,633 1,633		2 0 2 0		2 2	2 B 2 U	5 4	48 48	3 0 3 0	144 144		3 0 3 0	
89	Risalabad	{	1	3 0		***	3 0 4 0			2 4 2 8		•••	$\begin{array}{c c} 1 & 12 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}$	***		2 4 2 0	•••		2 12 3 0			2 12 3 0	
40	Belo Alipur	{ Do Do	1	3 0		•••	3 0 4 0	•••		2 4 2 8		•••	$\begin{array}{c c} 1 & 12 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}$		•	2 4 2 0			3 12 3 0			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	
41	Pir Padhro	{	1	3 8	! !	***	3 8 4 0		851 851	2 12 2 8	2,040 2,128		2 4 2 0			2 12 2 0		78 78	3 4 3 0	254 234		3 4 3 0	
42	Gokalpur	{ Do		3 s		233 21 2	3 8 4 0	812 928	674 67 F	2 12 2 8	1,834 1,(8)		2 4 2 0			2 12 2 0		28 28	3 4 3 0	91 81		3 4 3 0	:::
48	Miraupur	$\cdots \Big\{ \begin{array}{ccc} D\sigma, & \dots \\ D\sigma, & \dots \end{array}$			7 5	406 40 i	3 4 4 0	1,320 1,624	88.3 832	2 8 2 8	2,205 2,20 5	$\frac{2}{2}$	2 0 2 0	4	$\frac{2}{2}$	2 8 2 0	5 4	43 43	3 0 3 0	129 129		3 0 3 0	
44	Thariri	$\cdots \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} Do. & \\ Do. & \end{array} \right.$		3 4		655 655	3 4 4 0	2,129 2,620	720 720	2 8 2 8	1 800 1,800		2 0 2 0			2 8		23 23	3 0 3 0	69 69	:::	3 0	
. 45	Sultanpar	{ Do Do			16 13	165 165	3 4	536 630	886 886	2 8 2 8	2,415 ≽,415		2 0 2 0		***	2 8 2 0		23 23	3 0 3 0	69 60		3 0	
46	Mundranipu	r { Do,			312 240	3 3	3 4 4 0	15 12	556 556	2 8	1,390 1,39+	6 t 64	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	128 123	23 22	2 8 2 0	55 44	22 22	3 0 3 0	66 66	:	3 0	
47	Hambi	{ Do		3 0			3 0 4 0		201 201	2 4 2 8	452 503	49 49	1 12 2 0	86 98	14 11	2 4 2 0	32 28	2 2	2 12 3 0	6	:	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	
4,	Kadirpur	{ Do,		3 8		148 148	3 8	t.18 592	549 549	2 12 2 8	1,510 1,573		2 4 3 0			2 12 2 0	***	7 7	3 4 3 0	$\frac{23}{21}$		3 4 3 0	
4	Khalulabad	{ Do,		3 8		253 258	3 8 4 0	886 1,012	549	2 12 2 8	1,510 1,373	:::	2 4 2 0			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		3	3 4 3 0	8 10		3 4 3 0	:::
5	Sumapur	$\cdots \left\{ egin{array}{c} \mathbf{Do.} & \mathbf{Do.} \\ \mathbf{Do.} & \mathbf{Do.} \end{array} ight.$			22 23	339 339	3 8 4 0	1,187 1,356	287 287	2 13 2 8	759 718	3	2 4 2 0	7 6		$\begin{array}{c c} 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}$		i	3 4 3 0			3 4 3 0	
ē	Malhuabad	{ Do,	1		4 3	296 296	3 8	1,036 1,151	410 410		1,128 1,025		2 4 2 0	:::		2 12 2 0		2 2	3 4 3 0	7 6		3 4 3 0	
. 6	2 Ghouspur	{ Do,			10		3 4 4 0	***	298 298		7 (5 7-65		2 0 2 0		19 19	2 8 2 0	-18 38		3 0	:::	•	3 0 3 0	
	a Attai				7 5	*36 06		117 144	480 460		1,200 1,200		2 0 2 0		4	2 8 2 0	10 8		3 0			3 0 3 0	::: \
	4 Aurangabad	l { Do. :		3 3 8	11 8		3 8 1 0	***	377 377	2 12 2 8	1,037 943		$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$			2 12 2 0	:::	29 29	3 4 3 0	94 87		3 4 3 0	
; ,	Chhajra			5 3 4 5	16 13	695 695		2,259 2,780		2 8	1,263 1,263		2 0 2 0			2 8 2 0			3 0			3 0	
. 1	Bajhani				· :::	210 210		683 846		2 8	763 763		2 0 2 0		4	2 8 2 0	10	9 V	3 0	27 17		3 0 8 0	:::
	Bamzanpur	{ Do. Do.		1 3 8	3		3 8		1,038 1,038	2 12 2 8	2,855 2,595		2 4 2 0			2 12 2 0	:::	6		20 18		3 4	
1	Tajo Dero			6 3 6	1 10	1,179	3 8	4,123 4,710			1,111 1,010		2 4 2 0			2 12 2 0			3 4 3 0			3 4 3 0	
1	Izmatabad			1 3 8	3 4		3 8	3: 36		2 12 2 2 8	1,847 1,498		2 4 2 6			2 12 2 0		18 18	3 4 3 0	59 64		3 4	
į.	ĕē Kimatabad	{ Do.		1 3			3 4 3 4 6	1,716 2,113					2 0		:::	2 8 2 0		:::	3 0 3 0	:::		3 0	

											RAI	a.															Ben O	
	I AID			IN	ATUR. GNDAT	TOE		PICIAL NON (B	INUNDA-	0	N WEL	LA.	7	Has,			D. BA			DUB		To	TAL.				CENT,	
A rea.	Fate.		Assessment.	Ares.	Rate.	Asressment,	Area.	Bate.	Arsesamen'.	Area.	Rate.	Assersment.	Area.	Rate	As estrent.	3.048.	Вы:е,	Assesment	Area,	Rate.	Affessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Incresse.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.	
۸.	Re.	-	Rs.	Λ.	Rs, a	1	A. 51:	Rs. a		Α.	Rs. 4.	Rs	Α.	Rs.	Ì	1	Re. a	ì		Rs. a.	1	Α.	Rs.	Rs.	A.		-	Rs.
	3 4	. \			3 0 2 12		510	i	1,403		3 4			2 8	ì	ĺ	3 0	1	2:0	ł	200	1,417	3,664	349	 	6.80		1
	3 -				3 0 2 14		293 312		: - 803 - 60 3		2 4			2 8			0 4		5 5	0 4	1 5	941 984	2 842 2 911	} 102		3.28		\{\frac{2}{3}
	3 6	0 4			2 12 2 13		125 125		313		24			2 1		1	. 4		2 2	0 4	1 2	688 658	1,7:3 1,891	} 168		9.75		\{\bar{2}{2}
	3 -				3 0 2 12		437 457		1,2 g 1,102	\	2 4			2 8			0 4 2 0		194 194	0 4	49 191	1,944 1,914	5,052 5,-75	} 223		4.41		{
	3	ı [3 0 2 12			2 12 2 12			2 4			2 8	·		0 1 2 0			$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}$				}				{
				2	,	6	3,59	. 1	9,873	 			45	• • • •	113	1	•••	···	1,2 9		:.25	15,717	40,350	1,025		2 51		{
		-		- 2			3,59	-	9,281				45		1 68	-	4-1		1,282		1,289	15,747	41,381			<u> </u>		-
	3	Ì			3 0	1	292 292	i	8 3		 2 0			2 8	i		0 1		35	0 4	9 35	1,424 1,424	3,918 3,664	}	254		6:48	{
		10			3 0		142		[1,216 1,1e5		3.0			2 8			0 4		27 27	0 4	7 27	1 245 1,245	3,363 3,079	}	234		8.44	{
	3	4	***		3 0		125 103	2 13	328 305		20			2 6	d,	i	0 6		3 3	0 4	1 3	9(0 500	2,487 2,262	}	225		9.05	{
	2 1				2 B	!	571 571		İ		2 0			3 8	۱.	į	0 1	1	397	U 4	(9 31.7	1,6°3	3,04° 3,749	708		23.20		{
	3 3				2 12 2 8		18		150 150	Í	3 0		18	2 5			0 4	1	2 s 2 s	0 4	7 28	945 945	2,8 2 9 2,316	}	13		0.26	{
	3 1				2 8			2 4 2 8			2 0			2 8	1		0 5	1		0 4-				}				{
	$ \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$				2 8			2 4 2 8			20			2 8			2 0			0 4	421	1		}				{
	3 3			,	 3 0 2 8		171		476 433		2 0]		$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 6 \end{vmatrix}$			1 4		2 2	0 4	1 2	1,104 1,104	3 071 2,797	}	271		8.92	{
	3				3 0		21 2 11 2		8		2 0			2 1			0 4		2 39 2 39	0 4	80 20 9	1,355 1,355	3,400 3,466	} 66		1.94		{
	3				2 12 2 8		294 291		: 18 :28		2 0			2 8			0 1 2 0	ļ	:71	0 4	118 471	2 659 2,683	4,516 5,170	654		14:45		{
	3		•••		2 12 2 8		3 - 5 3 - 5		935 915		2 0			3 8 1 5			0 4 2 0			0 4 1 0	193 7c3	2,563 2,563	5,149 6,327	} 1,078		20.94		{
	3			ļ. <u></u>	2 12		27 I 27 I		(87 £95		2 0			2 8	1		0 4			ъ о і О Т і	71 2×2	3,635 1,635	3,592 3,924	} 332		9 34		{
	3				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		75 78		1 /5 195		2 0			2 +		1	0 4 2 0			0 !	1 ' 57	898 898	$\begin{array}{c} 2,170 \\ 2,152 \end{array}$	}	39	,.,	1.75	{
	3 1				2 8		20 20				5O		 	2 8	¦		0 4 2 0			0 4	n 3	297 297	696 696	} 72	***	11'54		{
	3 3				3 0 2 5		2.35		820 745		20			2 8 1 8		1	0 4 2 0			0 4	.07 146	$\frac{1,118}{1,148}$	2,909 2,877	}	31	•	1 07	{
	3 3		•••		3 0 2 8		319		861 7-3		2 0			2 8	·		0 4			0 4 1 0	67 268	1 386 1,386	9,331 3,445	} m		3:33		{
	3				$\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 8 \end{vmatrix}$		542 542		1,491 1,3-5		2 0			1 8	1		$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}$		267 267	0 4	(7 237	1,41 7 1,417	3,573 5,725	} 152		4.52		{
	1	0			3 0		301 303		763		2 0		 	2 8 1 8	1		0 4			1 0	11 174	1 184 1,184	3,047 3,145	} 98		3.32	•••	{
;	3	0	18 18		2 12 2 8		i	2 8	365 365		20			3 8 1 8			0 4 2 0		1	0 4		473 473	1,186 1,175	}	11		0.63	{
		0			2 12		317	2 8	793 793		2 0			2 8 1 8	!		0 4 2 0		1	0 4 1 0	1 4	843 843	2,128 2,154	} 26		1.53		{2 2
	3	U	•••		3 0 2 8		101	2 8	278 253		2 0			2 8 1 8			0 4 2 0		10 10	0 1 1 0	3 10	028 048	1,423 1,301		122			{ ;
		U			12 12 2 8		245 245	2 8	613 613		2 0			2 8 1 8	.		0 4 2 0		674 674	0 4	169 67 }	2,124 2,124	4,310 5,843	} 1,023		23.68		{2 2
	1	0	3		2 12 2 8		2:31 2:31	2 8	653 653		2 0			2 8	1		0 4 2 0		279 279	0 4	70 2 7 9	1,0:9 1,0:9	2,209 2,573	364		16:48		{2 2
	} 8 . #	0	.	:::	3 0 2 8		580 580	2 8	1,505 1,400		30			2 8			0 4 2 0		1	0 4	1	1,626 1,626	, , ,	}	407		9.10	l
	3	o	•••		3 0 2 8		358 358	2 8	895 568		20			2 8			0 4 2 0		1,044		261 1,014	2,991 2,991	6,505 7,682	} 1,177	***	18:09		{
••	18	0			3 3 2 8	100	533 533	2 8	1,466 1,333		20			2 8 1 8			0 4 2 0		34 34	0 4	9 3 4	1,194 1,194	3,217 2,958	}	259	-	•	${2 \choose 2}$
					2 12 2 8		222	2 8	555 555	:::	2 0			2 8 1 8			0 4 2 0		200 209	0 4 1 0	52 209	1,486 1,486	3,641 4,194	} 558		15.19		{ 2 2

										KHAR	IF.											
		G	ABDEN	19.		B UNI		Отнев	CROPS FLOW,			Li	FT.	Lif	T AIDS			FLOT	7.		IFF AT	
Mo.	Name of village.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Aseessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Ares.	Rate.	Astessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment,	Area.	Rate.	Asressment.	Area.	Bate.	Arsensment.
	2nd group-continued.	Α.	Rs. a		Λ.	Rs. a	1	Λ.	Rs. a	Rs.	A.	Rs. a	}	A.	Rs a	Rs.	Α.	Rs. s		A .	Į.	- 1 7
61	Khanpur Existing settlement, Proposal settlement.	10	3 4	27	899	3 4 4 0	2,922 3,596	795 795	2 8	1,988	<u></u>	2 0			2 8 2 0		10	3 0	30	ļ	3 0	
62	Muhammadpur $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Do.} & \dots \\ \mathbf{Do.} & \dots \end{array} \right.$	25 25	3 .0	66	316 316	\$ 0	1,264	718 718	2 8	1,616 1,795		1 12 2	2.4	5 5	2 0	10	4	. 3 0	12		2 12 3 0	
€3	Gul Wah { Do Do		3 4		207 207	3 4 4 0	673 818	733 733	2 8	1,840		2 0 2 0	ļ		2 8 2 0		1	i	3		3 0 3 0	
64	Dittal Wah { Do		3 4		49 49	3 4	159 196	40.5 165	ř	1,163	19	2 0	38		2 8 2 0			3 0		;::	3 0	{ :::
бБ	Nizamabad { Do	11	3.8	39 31	658 658	3 8	2,3:3 2,:32	331	2 12	910 818		2 0	ł		2 12 2 0 2 12	į :::	1 1	3 0	3		3 0	
66	Amirabad $\left\{\begin{array}{c} D_1 \\ D_0 \\ \dots \end{array}\right.$	2 2	3 8	5	530 730	3 8 1 0	1,855 2,120	795 795	j	2.181		3 4			2 0	1	31 31	3 4 3 0	93	} ::: 	3 0	
67	Jamalabad { Do,	:::	3 8		725 725	3 8	2, 38 2,900	4 3	2 12 2 8	1,103		2 4 2 0		:::	2 12 2 0		28	3 1 3 0	81		3 0	
68	Khudabud { Do	,	3 8		260 2 0	al o	1,040	471 471	2 12 2 8	1,295 1,178	5	2 4 2 0	11		2 12		39 39	3 4 3 0	117	· }	3 0	
69	Son Wah $ \begin{cases} D_0, \dots \\ D_0, \dots \end{cases} $		3 8		36 36	3 8	126	51 x	2 13 2 5	1,953		$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$			2 12 2 0	•••	2 2	3 4 3 0	6		3 4	
70	Duniapur $\left\{egin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Do}, & \dots \\ \mathbf{Do}, & \dots \end{array} ight.$	1	3 8	3	1 18 k 1 1,454	3 S 4 0	5,816	643 643	2 8	1.768		2 4 2 0	· ···		2 12 2 0		1	3 0	3		3 0	
71	Aliahabad { Po Do	7	3 8	25	65 65	3 8	228 260 	835 855	2 12 2 8	2,798 2,0 8	30	2 4	65 60	22 22	2 12 2 0	61 41	4	3 4	13 12		3 4	
72	Rasulabad $\begin{cases} D_0, \dots \\ D_0, \dots \end{cases}$		3 8		272 272	3 S 4 0	952 1,08	751 751	2 12 2 8	2,365 1,875	37	3 0	83 74	1	2 12 2 0	3 2	3:	3 4	117		3 4	:::
73	Jafarabad { Do, Do,		3 8	ļ	F01 501	3 8 4 0	1,751 2,-61	88-1 88-1	2 8	2,120	1	3 4		•••	2 12 2 0	; i	11	3 4 3 0	33		3 0	
74	Kur Khairo { Do Gachal.	1	3 8			3 8 9 0		81.7 81 7	2 12 2 8	2,357 2, 43		2 4			2 12 2 0	i	4	3 4	13 12		3 €	:::
75	Kur Biro { Do	2	3 8	7 5		3 8 4 0		(3/3 6/3	2 12 2 6	1,823 1,650		2 0		•	2 13 2 0	 		3 4		::: j	3 4	
76	Lal Odho $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{p}_{\sigma_{\bullet}} & \dots \\ \mathbf{p}_{\sigma_{\bullet}} & \dots \end{array} \right.$	3	3 4	10 8	1:0 180 i	$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 \end{array}$	5หก 720	604 604	2 8	1,510 1,510		2 0			2 8 2 0		6	3 0	18 18		3 0	
77	Sawan Lashari { Do	3	3 8	11	1,275 $1,275$	3 8 4 0	5,100	703	2 1: 2 8	1 9671		2 4 2 0	-		2 2 2		43 43	3 4 3 0	140 129 -		3 4 5	
1	TOTAL 2ND GROUP. Existing settlement. Proposed settlement.	213 213		798 627	12,532 12,532	•••	43,572 51,323	26,032 24,002		€8 5H 65,033	: 22		644 644	95 95		24) 180	681		2 15% 2,052			
	3rd group.									6-7		1 12	133	12,	2 4	275	71	2 12	195		2 1.	
79	Warismahad Settlement. Proposed Followent.	3		7		3 8	***	114	2 4	257 257	76 76	1 12	133	122	1 12	214	71	2 12	195		2 2	
79	Umranipur { Do	39 39	3 0	117 88	3 1	3 8	9 11	3:0 3.0	2 4	510 510	395	1 13	697 697	105	2 4	259 18.i	93 93	2 12 2 12	236 : 1/6		2 12	
# 6	Phatan Wah { Do Do	10 10	3 0	30 23	}	3 8 3		770 770	2 4	1,733	⊬3 83	1 12	145 145 .	23 23	2 1 12	52 40	49 49	2 12 2 12	135 . 16 !		2 12 3 0	
81	Detha { Do, Do,	1	3 4	3 2		3 & 3 8		276 276	5 4 5 8	690 621		2 0 1 12			2 8 1 12	:::	1 :	2.2	3 3 }		2 12 2 12	
22	Milkiat-i-Sarkar { Do Do		3 0	:::	::: {	3 8		30 ! ; 0	2 4	6∹ 68		1 12 1 12	::: j	:::	2 4 1 12			2 12 2 12			2 12	
63	Reti { Do		3 4		209 200	3 4 3 8	679 732	299 203	2 8 2 4	748 673	}	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$:::	2 8 1 12		4	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$	11		3 0 2 12	
64	Shahid $\dots \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} D_0 & \dots \\ D_0 & \dots \end{array} \right.$		3 0			3 8		144 144	2 1	32 t		1 12 1 12			2 4 1 12		!	2 12 2 12			2 12 2 12	
85	Razaro { Do		3		::: }	3 0 3 8			2 4 2 4			1 12 1 12			2 4 1 12			2 12 2 12			2 12 2 13	
8 6	Khan Wah { Do		3 0		:	3 0 3 8		226 224	2 4 2 4 2 4 1	5″9 509	23 26	1 12 1 12	-16 -166		2 4 1 12			2 12 2 12	:::		2 12 2 12	
87	Dodapur { Do Do		3 8		40 40	3 8	140 110	7.9 505	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 13 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$	1,636 1,3 3 9		2 4 1 12		-::	2 12 1 12			3 4 1 2 12	-:- -:-		3 1 2 12	::: }
88	Kur Bato { Do	4	3	14 9	59 59	3 8	207 207	40± 402	2 12 2	1,106 905	34 31	2 4 1 12	77 60	1	2 12 1 12	3 2		3 4 2 12			3 4 2 12	:::
90	Daro Jiand $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} D\sigma_{c} & \\ D\sigma_{c} & \end{array} \right.$	6	38	21 14	2 2	3 8 3 8	7 7	1,003 1,063	3 12 2 4	2,758 2,257		$\frac{2}{1} \frac{4}{12}$			2 12 1 12			$\begin{smallmatrix}3&4\\2&12\end{smallmatrix}$			$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$:::
\$ @ }	Kotri { Do Do	3 3	3 8	11 7	1-3 183	3 8 3 8	641 651	43.) 439	2 12 2 4	1,207 988		2 4 1 12			$\begin{smallmatrix}21&2\\1&12\end{smallmatrix}$		2 2	3 4 2 12	7 6		3 4 2 12	:::
91	Garhi Khano { Do Do	23 23	3 8	81 56	86 86	3 8 3 8	301 301	446 446	2 12 2 4	1,227 1,004	23 23	2 4 1 12	40 53	8 6	2 12 1 12	17 11	19 19	3 4 2 12	62 52	2 2	3 4 2 12	7
92	Wasao { Do Do	21 21	3	74 48	329 329	3 8 3 8	1,152 1,152	1,124 1,124	2 12 2 4	3,091 2,529		2 4 1 12			2 12 1 12	 	54 54	3 4 2 12	176 149	 	3 4 2 12	
	Total 3rd GROUP Existing settlement. Proposed settlement.	110		360 254	911 911	***	3,136 3,191	6,228 6,228		16,184 14,017	640 640		1,150 1,121	258 258		586 453	293 293		84 6 807	2		6
	GRAND TOTAL OF settlement.	1,059 1,059		3,632 2,971	27,273 27,273	•••	94,170 114,975	46,842 46,842	[]	1,24,915	2,948 2,948		6,238 6,236			2,854 2,303	1,473 1,473		4,614	2	***	7 6

										BAL	ď.															ASB OR	
	AIDED OB FL		INL	ATUB NDAT	HOL	ARTII	PICAT: I ON (BO	NUNDA- 81).	G	N WEL	LB.	TO	II tt.			Duba Vateb		. 0	DUBA NWATE		Тот	AL,				CENT.	ement.
. red.	Bule.	Assessment,	Ares.	Rate.	Assessment.	Атеа.	Rate,	Assessment.	Area.	Rate.	Assessment.	Area.	Bate.	Assessment.	Area,	Rate.	Assesment.	Area.	Bate.	Assessment.	Area,	Assessment.	Increase.	Decrease,	Increase.	Decrease.	Average Assessment.
A .	Rs. a.	Rs.	Α.	Rs. s	Rs.	Α.	Ru. a.	Rs.	A.	Rs. a.	Rs.	Α.	Rs.	Rs	Λ.	Rs. o			Re. a.	Rs.	A,	Rs.	Rs.	A,			Rs. s
	3 0			2 12		695 695	2 8	1,738	!	2 0			1 6	1		2 0		795 795	1 0	199 795	3,204	6,910 8,174	1,264	,,,, 	18:29	141	$\begin{cases} 2 \\ 2 \end{cases}$
.,.	2 12			2 8		103	2 4	232		.			2 9			0 4 2 0		223 223	0 4	56 223	1 506 1,506	3,145 3,552	} 707		22:48		${rac{2}{2}}$
	3 0 3 0			2 12	<u>:</u>	103 428 428	2 8	1,070		2 0			$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$			0 4 2 0		129 129	0 4	32 119	1,501 1,501	3,618 3,878	} 252	ļ	6.97		${ {2}\choose{2} }$
	3 0			2 12 2 2	2	163 163	2 8 2 8 2 8	1,070 408 408		2 0			3 5	3		0 4 2 0		17	0 4	4 17	713 713	1,772 1,122	} 50		2.82		${ {2}\choose{2} }$
•	3 4 3 0		50 50	3 (- {	231 231	3 12 2 8	635 578		20			2 1			0 4	١	805 805	0 4	201 805	2,088 2,088	4,213 5,004	} 761		17*94		${2 \choose 2}$
	3 4 3 0			3 (2)	,	241 241	2 12 2 3	663 603		20			2 8			0 4 2 0		487 487	0 4	1:22 4:87	2,086 2,086	4,931 5,295	} 362		7.34		${2 \choose 2}$
	3 4 3 0			3 (2 8	,	166 166	2 13	457 415		20			2 9			0 4 2 0		702 702	0 4 1 0	176 702	2,044 2,044	4,425 5,159	} 731	***	16·59		{2 {2
	3 4 3 0	-::		3 0	,	159 159	2 12 2 8	417		2 0			2 6			0 4 2 0	1	193 193	0 4	48 193	1,127 1,127	2,828 2,936	} 108		3.82		$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 2\\2\\2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$
	3 4 3 0			3 (,	111	2 13 2 8	305 278		2 0			2 8			$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$:::	20 20	0 4	5 20	8 7 9 879	2,396 2,_23	}	173		7.22	${2 \choose 2}$
	3 4 3 0		119 119	3 6	357	303 363	2 13	938 908		2			2 8	ı		0 4		1 239 1,299	0 4	325 1,299	.3,850 3,860	8,544 9,935	} 1,391		10.28		{2 2
	3 4 3 0	:::		3 (17	2 12 2 3	47 43	:::	20			2 8		:::	0 4 2 0		15 15	0 4	4 15	995 995	2,742 2,540	}	202		7:37	${2 \ 1 \ 2}$
	8 4 3 0			3 0		203 203	2 12 2 8	558 508	:::	20			2 8			0 4 2 0		192 193	0 4	48 1 2	1,492 1,492	3,826 3,850	} 24		0.63		${ig\{}^{2}_{2}$
3	3 4 3 0	10		3 0		316 216	2 12 2 8	5 94 5 4 0	,,,	20			2 8			0 4 2 0		651 651	0 4	163 65)	2,202 3,262	4,977 5,137	} 460		9*24	**	${f 2} \ {f 2}$
:::	3 4 3 0			3 6		27 27	2 12 2 8	74 68		20		Ì	2 8			0 4 2 0	:::		0 4 1 0		889 883	2,448 2,225	}	222	 	9.07	${2 \choose 2}$
	3 4 3 0		:::	3 6		:::	2 12 2 8			20			2 8			0 4 2 0		l	0 4 1 0	:::	665 665	1,830 1,663	}	167		9 ·13	${2 \choose 2}$
	3 0			2 12 2 8		236 236	2 8 2 8	89 0 69 0		2 ```0		l	2 8		:::	0 4 2 0		175 175	0 1	44 17ა	1,201 1 201	2,757 3,021	264	•••	9.28		$\begin{cases} 2\\2 \end{cases}$
	3 4 3 ()			3 (2 8		172 1,2	2 12 2 8	473 430		2 0) :::	2 8			0 4		1,163 1,163	0 4 1 0	291 1,163	3,359 3,359	7,311 8,588	} 1,277	,	17:47	•	${2 \choose 2}$
10 10		31 30	169		ļ	10,786 10,783		28,: 41 26,976				28 28		70 42		0 4	"	12,312 12,312	l	3,034 12,342	63,513 63,513	1,48,333 1,59,717	11,384		7:67		$\left\{ \left\{ 2\atop 2\right\} \right\}$
		-	-		-			20,010				1		-	-		-	\	<u> </u>				<u> </u>			 -	
	2 12 2 12			2 4		269 269	2 4 2 4	605 605		1 12			2 1	1		0 4 2 0		40 40	0 4	10	695 695	1,494 1,451	}	33		2:22	$\left\{egin{matrix}2\\2\end{aligned} ight.$
	2 12 2 12			2 8		471 471	2 4 2 4	1,030		1 12		 	2 1	. 1		0 4	1		0 4	25 98	1,568 1,568	3,213 3,206	}	7		0.53	${ \left\{ egin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \end{array} \right. }$
,	2 12 2 12			$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 8 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$		658 658	2 4 2 4	1,431		1 12		-5	2 ,	13		0 4		76	0 4	19 76	1,674	3,608 3,641	} 33		0.91	***	${f 2} \ {f 2}$
,	3 0 2 12			2 12 2 12	ı,	158 158	2 8	1,4 (1 39% 366		1 12		5	2 1			0 4		9	0 4	2 9	445 445	1,093	}	102	.,,	8.33	
	2 12 2 12			2 8	ا	5 5	2 4 2 4	11		1 12			1 8 2 8 1 8	ļ		0 4		3	0 4	1 3	38 38	80 82	} 2		2.20		$\left\{ egin{array}{c} 2 \ 2 \end{array} ight.$
	3 0 2 12			2 12	3	61	2 8 2 4	153 137		1 12			2 8			0 4		222	0 4	56 222	795 715	1,648 1,775	} 127		. 7.71	***	${2 \choose 2}$
	2 12 2 12			1 8 2 4	ş	4	2 4 3 4	9		1 12			2 8 1 8	ı		0 4	· [0 4		148 148	333 333	}				$\left\{ egin{smallmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{smallmatrix} ight.$
•••	2 12 2 15			2 4		7 7	3 4 2 4	16 16		1 13			2 8			0 1 2 0			0 4	:::	7 7	16 16	}				${2 \choose 2}$
•••	2 12 2 12		ļ	2 4	a l	4	2 4 2 4	9		1 12			2 8			0 4	١	1 _	0 4	2 6	2/2 202	566 570	} 4		0.41	*	$\{rac{2}{2}$
	3 4 2 12			3 (- 1	85 86	2 13 2 4	23 4 191	1	1 12	3 2		2 1			0 4		21 21	0 1	5 2 1	742 742	2,018 1,693	}	325		16.11	${ {rac{2}{2}} }$
	3 4 3 12			3 6		24 24	2 12 3 4	66 54		1 12			2 8			0 4 2 0		60 60	0 4	15 60	584 581	1,488 1,297	}	191		12.84	${2 \choose 2}$
***	3 4 2 12			3 6		60	2 12 2 4	165 135		1 12			3 8	۱		0 4 2 0		2 2	0 4	1 2	1,073 1,073	2,952 2,315	}	537		18:19	$\left\{ egin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & \end{smallmatrix} ight.$
***	9 4 2 12			3 (205 205	2 12 2 4	53 4 4 61		1 12		ļ	2 F	l	-	0 4 2 0		175 175	0 4 1 0	44 175	1,007 1,007	2,174 2,278	}	19 ₀	l	7 92	${2 \choose 2}$
3	3 4 3 12	10	<u></u>	S (100	2 12 2 4	275 225		1 13		1	2 8	i 1	:::	0 4 2 0		149 149	0 4	37 149	857 857	2,059 1,852	}	217		10-49	${2 \choose 2}$
•	3 4 2 12	13 11	:::	3 (119	2 12 2 4	327 268		1 12			2 1	:::	2 2	0 4 2 0	1		0 4 1 0	9) 3 (0	2,013 2,013	4,924 4,521	}	403		8.18	{ 3 2
7		23				2,230 2,230		5,370	1	,	3	5		13	2		1	1	""	307 1,221	11,903 11,908	27,966 26,121		1,845	•	0.CO	$\begin{cases} 2 \\ 2 \end{cases}$
7 210		630	172		516	22,790		6,018	1		3	5		100	5		1	<u> </u>	! -}	6,983		3,05,408	1				(2
3 10		675	i		1	1	1	60,562 58,876	4		12	7d 78		118	5		1	37,881	F	21,881	1	3,38,072	33,664		10 70		},

C. M. BAKER, Deputy Commissioner,

APPENDIX XVIII.

STATEMENT showing the general FINANCIAL RESULTS of the proposed settlement of the Jacobabad taluka based on the average of the last 4 years from 1900-1901 to 1903-1904.

			Present settlement.	Proposed settlement.	Increase.	Increase per cent.
Surveyed land Unsurveyed land	•••		3,05,408 	3,38,072 	32, 6 64 	10.70
	TOTAL	• • •	3,05,408	3,38,072	32,661	10.70

APPENDIX XIX.

LIST of PRICES CURRENT, Jacobabad taluka.

Year,	Juari, white.	Juari, red.	Bojri.	Til,	To- bacco.	Cotton, cleaned.	Coiton, un- cleaned.	Paddy (sug-dasi).	Paddy tenilis rini.	Wheat, 1st sert.	Wheat, and sort.	Matar.	Gram.	Mung,	Sariah,	Jambho.	Kirang (millet).	Barley.
	Per	Per manad.	Por maund,	Per maund.	Per mauad,	Per maund,	l'er maund,	Por maund,	Per magad.	Ler material.	For muand,	Per maund.	Per manud.	Per maund.	Per n:aund,	Per maund.	Per maund.	Per maund.
98-97 17-98 13-90 19-1900, 10-1901, 12-1903, 13-1904,	Re. a. 2 13 2 1 1 8 2 4 1 13 1 12 2 3	Rs, a. 2 10 1 13 1 5 2 2 1 8 1 8 2 1 1 15	Rs. a. 3 3 2 4 1 11 2 7 1 15 1 15 2 4 1 12	Rs. a. 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 15 6 15 6 15 7 5 5 14	Rs. a. 6 4 4 4 4 4 5 6 4 6 1 6 2 5 0	Rs. a. 16 0 16 0 15 5 14 13 18 1 18 1 16 8 17 4	Rs. a. 11 0 11 8 10 12 10 15 10 12 10 11 10 12 11 4	Rs. c. 2 8 2 4 1 6 2 3 2 4 1 8 1 10 1 11	2 2 2 1 14 1 2 1 13 1 1 4 1 7 1 6 1 7	Rs. a. 4. 4 4. 0 3. 1 3. 4 2. 6 3. 2 3. 4	Rs. a. 4 0 3 11 2 14 3 2 3 2 3 2 2 15 3 3	Ra. a. 2 8 2 3 1 5 1 14 2 11 2 12 2 3 2 1	Ps. n. 3 14 3 3 2 3 2 13 4 2 4 1 2 6	Rs. a. 4 8 4 1 2 7 3 8 4 7 4 7 3 7	Rs. a. 4 14 4 2 3 3 3 11 4 1 4 1 4 2 3 13	Bs. a. 4 9 3 9 2 3 3 4 3 10 3 10 - 3 6 2 14		Rs. a. 3 14 2 9 2 3 2 12 2 12 2 11 2 9

APPENDIX XX.

RETURN of BIRTHS and DEATHS and VACCINATION in the Jacobabad taluka during the past 8 years.

			VACOR	SATION.	
Year,	 Births.	Deaths.	Primary.	Re-vac- cination.	REMARKS
1896 1897 1898 1899 1900 1901 1902 1903	1125 1116 1067 1155 1147 1246 1135 1078	913 999 700 637 852 737 993 811	1360 1621 1509 1457 1550 1570 1828 1540	478 428 262 265 114 215 76 28	The figures for births and deaths are for the calendar years and those of vaccination for the financial years.

APPENDIX XXI.

JACOBABAD TALUKA.

STATEMENT showing Coercive processes adopted in the recovery of land revenue during the past 4 years in the Jacobabad taluka.

													-						
	NC (Bow	Notice under S. 152, (Bombax Act V of 1873.)	S 152, of 1873.)	Fan	Fenalty under S. 148.	S. 148.		DISTBAINT AND PROPERTY	BAINT AND SALE OF MOVEABLE PROPERTY UNDER E. 154.) V EABLE 14,		-	FORFEITUE	E AND SAL	FORFEITURE AND SALE OF OCCUPATOR UNDER S. 153.	wai.a	OEB S	. 153.	
į		A mount of	Amount					Arrears	Arrears	4	, į	Arrears on arcount	Occupancy of land declared forfeited.	y of land forfeited.	Occupancy of land sold to the public.		Forfeited land returnéd to defaulter.		Occupancy of land remaining with Government.
X GBF.	of of cases.	arrears for which notice issued.	A P	No. of ensea.	Amount due.	Amount levied.	вачаз јо ,оИ	on account of which distraint was resorted to	of account of which sale was resorted to	realised by	esan to oN	of which forfentie wis reserted to.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Areassment.	hostinor Lates vid		Агноватыель. А	Assess-
		Rs. a. p.	Rg. g.		ES, a	P. a.		E. S.	RE. 8.	Rs. g. p.		Rs. 9.	A. 99	Rs. 9.	A. Rs. Rs.	ě.	tio	¥	g. Rs. a.
1900-1901	464	50,454 8 0	263 12	15	1,975 14	43 0	;	<u>:</u>	i	:	10	1,619 14	649 27	1,524 9				679	27 1,524 9
1901-1902	338	35,360 11 7	136 4	30	1,817 4	115 4	:	:	;	. !	16	736 14	283 27	687 14			:	283	25 687 14
1902-1903	328	35,086 15 5	138 4	9	421 14	25 4	:	:	;	:	:	:	;	:	- ! - <u>!</u> 		 	:	
1903-1904	381	52,893 11 6	161 0	;	;	:	-	780 14	180 14	718 5 4	12	176 0	67 36	167 10	: : : :		:		85 167 10
TOTAL	15:1	1,75,825 9 6	639 4	IE .	8,315 0	183 8	H	780 14	780 14	F 2 874	13	2,526 12	1,001.8	2,330 1	:		<u> </u>	1,601	8 2,380 1
AVERAGE	378	42,956 6 5	159 13	133	828 12	45 34	<u> </u>	195 4	195 4	179 9 4	<u> </u>	631 11	21 093	0 563			·	250 12	12 595 0
		,																	

C. M. BAKER.
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

56

APPENDIX XXII.

Nominal Boll of large Landholders in the Jacobabad taluka.

		1896	3-97.	1903-	1904.	DECR	EASE.	Incr	EASE.	
No.	Name of khatadar.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Remarks.
		A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	
1	Bahram Khan Abdul Ghani, Umrani,	1,096 37	440 8	1,096 30	1,868 6	0 7		***	1,427 14	
2	Ilahi Bakhsh Kalati Khan, Khoso.	890 25	500 7	992 35	1,149 11			102 10	649 4	Granted more land.
3	Kimatrai Kashiram, Hindu	1,072 25	534 1	1,072 25	1,195 2				661 1	
4	Badal Khan Bangul Khan, Dasti,	454 10	1,443 6	382 15	1,339 7	71 85	103 15	***	111	Fold the land.
5	Musamat Zainah wife of Bakhaho, Kehar.	270 20	555 6	292 25	676 8	•••	*11	22 5	121 2	
6	Jethomal Dhanumal, Hindu	260 31	516 10	260 31	658 2			•••	141 8	
7	Fateh Khan Hasan Khan, Sabayo.	1,228 31	1,412 7	1,264 1	1,487 10	.,.		3 5 10	75, 3	• .
8	Hamid Khan Ghulam Husein, Wagho,	3,010 7	4,826 7	3,171 28	4,975 9	.,		161 21	149 2	Granted more land.
9	Ghulam Haidar Kaisar Khan, Wagho.	1,139 25	2,437 9	1,509 20	4,371 1			369 35	1,933 8	Do.
10	Lukman Haji Khan, Khoso	1,036 18	705 14	*1*	•••	1,036 18	705 14	***	441	Died: khata transferred to his son, Vide No. 93,
11	Habadur Khan Dil Murad Khan, Khoso,	2,128 18	2,772 9	2,770 21	4,998 13	.,,		642 3	2,226 4	Granted more land.
12	Dad Muhammad Zangi Khan, Khoso.	•	2,320 7	1,629 35	3,067 1	•••	.,,	341 0	746 10	Do.
13	Dost Muhammad Yar Mu- hammad, Birohi.	416 25	562 3	420 35	413 2		149 1	4 10		
14	Kalandar Shah Khair Shah, Sayad.	791 15	1,362 3	783 30	2,040 13	7 25			678 10	
15	Saidino Suleman, Sarki	233 25	566 0	232 0	648 5	1 25		•••	82 5	
16	Dewalmal Parumal, Hindu	1,106 3	1,799 8	1,099 38	2,387 4	6 5		•••	587 12	
17	Daryadinomal Kodumal, Hindu.	1,960 39	2,849 12	552 39	1,294 3	1,408 1	1,615 9	45.	•••	Transferred to Nur Muham- mad No. 130 in accordance with the Civil Court's decree.
18	Bachal Khan Mauledino, Sadhayo,	1,144 25	1,382 1	1,152 11	1,591 4			7 26	2(9 3	
19	Hamid Khan Ghulam Mu- hammad, Panwhar.	1.571 15	937 6	1,664 35	2,807 14			93 20	1,870 8	
20	Musamat Chhuti, daughter of Bakhsho, Kehar.	455 20	819 5	.,,		455 20	819 5	***	,	Sold his land to No. 114,
21	Warisdino Dhanidino, Panwhar.	422 19	815 10		•••	422 19	815 10	•••		Died: his son inherited. Vide No. 112.
22	Chandiram Doulatram, Hindu.	751 35	1,206 6	744 25	2,452 12	7 10	.,.		1,246 6	
\$ 3	Khan Muhammad Dur Mu- hammad, Jamali.	420 12	605 12	420 12	849 8	***		,,,	24 3 12	
24	Dheran Khan Gahno Khan, Khoos,	853 3 5	1,410 9	,		853 35	1,410 9	,		Died: khata transferred to his daughter-in-law. Vide No. 88,
25	Lashkar Khan Khair Mu- hammad, Jamali,	481 10	782 5	473 5	702 8	8 5	79 13	•••		
2 6	Khialdas Bhawanmal, Hindu.	402 15	809 4	402 15	1,377 2	•••		•.• 	567 14	
27	Ibrahim Khan Piaro Khan, Jamali.	8 65 3 5	816 11	179 80	277 4	186 5	539 7		,	Sold his land.
2 8	Mughim Khan Bakhsho Khan, Bulehdi.		3,435 6	2,277 0	3,865 8	469 15	69 14	***		Partitioned with his brother, Vide No. 104.
2 9	Sadik Muhammad Baksho Khan, Bulehdi.		649 8	307 35	953 15			•••	304 7	
3 0	Rasul Bakhsh Amir Bakhsh, Bhuto.	7,483 22	10,609 1	7,369 20	11,216 9	114 2		***	607 8	

		189	6-97.	1903	1904.	Disch	EASE.	Inch	EASE.	
To.	Name of khatedar.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Remarks.
		A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rg. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	
31	Kalandar Baksh Khalik- dad, Panwhar.	764 35	1,175 12			764 35	1,175 12		•••	Died: his son inherited Vide No. 109.
32	Mir Khan Balu Khan, Jamali	532 35	969 0	401 20	598 6	128 15	370 10	***	***	
33	Ghulam A'i Khan Jafar Khan, Bulehdi.	2,390 25	3,978 7	2,252 5	4,963 0	138 20		•••	984 9	Partitioned with his brothers.
34	Azizulah Suhrab Khan, Khoso.	284 5	844 4	284 15	947 7			0 10	103 3	
35	Gulab Khan Itbar Khan, Rind	302 15	523 0	243 30	775 7	58 25	•••	•••	252 0	
36	Sakhawatrai Sahibrai, Hindu	379 5	607 2	383 5	875 2			4 0	6 8 0	
37	Karimdino Mulan Rato,	11,257 13	14,006 5	6,588 18	9,782 1	4,668 35	4,224 4	***	•••	Partitioned with hi
8 8	Drakhan. Rahim Khan Kadir Baksh,	6,603 10	6,295 13			6,603 10	6,295 13			brother. Vide No. 92. Died: his son inherited
39	Khoso. Osto Muhammad Alahdad.	404 2	852 4			404 2	852 4	•••		Vide No. 132, Died: his son inherited
4 0	Drakhan. Shah Muhammad Pir	822 26	1,357 5	786 1	1,436 5	36 25	***	•••	79 0	Vide No. 131.
4 1	Baksh, Chhajro. Musamat Murad Khatun	541 35	835 7	4		5 41 35	- 835 7	•••		Transferred the khata t
42	wife of Ghulam Kadir, Chhajro.		595 7	385 1	959 14	-		 1 15	364 7	Mutammad Hasan, No. 89 in partition.
	Rahman Khan Minho Khan, Jamali.	383 26					•••	1 13		
48	Sher Muhammad Chhato Khan, Bhuto.	43 5 2	753 15	428 18	950 8	6 24	•••	***	196 9	
44 45	Amin wd. Jani, Buriro Imam Baksh Mir Muham-	·	1,089 5 4,413 9	1,231 30 1,667 30	1,445 0 2,705 4	62 30 1.816 30	1,708 5		355 11	Partitioned with h
4 6	mad, Buriro. Wali Muhammad Kalandar	645 10	987 5	966 35	2,422 12		,	321 25	1,435 7	brother. Vide No. 94, Inherited from his father,
47	Baksh, Buriro.	:	940 1	633 0	1,510 14	11 35			600 3	
48	Karim Baksh Ali Baksh, Buriro.	644 35			1,064 8	280 0		•••		Partitioned with h
	mad, Buriro.		982 7			250 0	***			brothers,
4 9	Sharbat Khan Jafar Khan, Mundrani.	1,944 20	3,068 12	2,130 3	6,511 10	•••	•••	185 23	3,442 14	Gets land on khas mokal.
50	Baloch Khan Dodo Khan, Mundrani,	764 35	1,194 1		•••	764 35	1,194 1	•••		Died: his son inherited Vide No. 126.
51	Osto Allanhdo Bhaledino, Drakhan,	4,908 27	5,268 0	4,920 22	7,915 6	·. .	•••	11 35	2,647 6	
52	Rahimdino Wahidino, Drakhan.	8,649 31	10,148 6	2,491 0	4,703 12	6,158 31	5,444 10	•••	•••	Partitioned with hi brothers. Vide Nos. 127 128 and 129.
53	Dodo Khan Pir Baksh, Bhuto.	17.261 31	20,714 5			17,261 31	20,714 5	•••		Died: his son inherited Vide No. 125.
54	Tajo Khan Alam Khan, Odho.	5,664 21	8,213 7	,		5,664 21	8,213 7	•••	41.	Died: his son inherited Vide No. 124.
55	Budho Khan Pir Baksh. Thahim.	2,955 7	4,173 7	2,849 27	5,951 11	105 20	***		1,778 4	
56	Musamut Hava daughter of Chhutai Khan, Mirkhiani	4,965 86	5,796 1	4,499 1	7,320 2	466 35		•••	1,524 1	Fallow forfeited.
57	Nabi Baksh Talib Khan, Odho.	2,430 2	2,114 12	2,424 32	4,297 4	5 10	***		2,182 8	
58	Imam Baksh do,	1,458 5	1,569 0	1,353 35	1,740 2	104-10			171 2	Partitioned with his
59	Mehrab Khan Piaro Khan, Jamali,	546 36	977 5	567 11	1,631 2	•••		20 15	653 18	brother. Vide No. 721
30	Miandad Gulbeg, Jamali	1,905 25	1,126 5	•••		1,005 25	1,126 5	***		Died: his son inherited Vide No. 122.
31	Ali Sher Lashar Khan, Jamali.	323 12	860 8		***	323 12	860 8	***	***	Died: his son inherited.
2	Khuda Baksh Dodo Khan, Bhuto.	5,961 19	9,172 15		•••	5,961 19	9,172 15	***	•••	Transferred the whole khata to No. 117.
33	Dodo Khan Ganwhar Khan, Bulehdi.	495 0	769 7		•••	495 0	769 7		•••	Died: his son inherited Vide No. 118.

		1894-	1895.	1903-	1904.	DECE	MASH.	Імсв	KASB.	_
No.	Name of khatadar.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area,	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Bunabie.
		A. g.	Ra. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	A. g.	Rs. a.	
64	Bakhsho Mirza, Bulchdi	386 3	583 1	886 3	801 14				218 13	
65	Haibat Khan Malhu Khan, Bulehdi.	384 30	897 11	•••		384 80	897 11	•••		Died: his sons inherited. Vide Nos. 120 and 121.
66	Muso Khan Ganwhar Khan, Chaliwan,	202 30	648 11	75 25	268 10	127 5	380 1		··· ·	Partitioned with his rela- tions.
67	Dulahdinomal Tekchand .	502 5	758 6	•••	••·	502 5	758 6		•••	Died : his son inherited.
6 8	Hashmatrai Kimatrai	2,358 3 6	4,076 2	3,352 16	6,358 1	6 20		•••	2,281 15	Vide No. 123.
69	Gokaldas Chhatomal	2,666 5	3,121 0	2,608 20	5,829 1	57 25	•••		2,708 1	
70	Kimatrai Ramel and	3,360 4	3,905 5	3,360 19	6,209 13		•••	0 15	2,304 8	
71	Din Muhammad Mahbat Khan, Khoso.	242 39	584 10	242 39	791 1		•••	•••	206 7	
72	Alah Bakhah Talib Khan, Odho.	1,446 0	3,236 11	2,433 34	4,456 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		987 34	1,219 12	Got in partition from his brother. Vide No. 58.
73	Malhumal Sumomal	2,848 6	3,218 12	2,833 30	6,793 14	14 16	•••		3 ,175 2	
74	Ghulam Nabi Mahrab Klan, Sadhayo	696 3 0	1,089 13			696 30	1,089 13			Died: his son inherited.
7 5	Gada Khan Ramzan Khan, Bhaio.	3,805 21	4,385 9	3,805 11	5,883 4	0 10			1,497 11	
76	Kaisar Khan Warayo, Kowrejo,	464 21	1,066 9	336 26	981 3	127 35	85 6	• • • •		Partitioned with his rela- tions,
77	Ghulum Haidar Mahrub Khan, Sadhayo,	691 5	1,042 7	691 5	1,281 14	••	•••		239 7	
78	Rasul Bukhsh Kuisar Khan, Wagho.	•••	' 	256 30	597 15		•••	256 30	597 15	Got in partition.
79	Jamshedji Pullanji'	•••	·	888 34	1.078 2			888 34	1.078 2	 Inherited from his brother.
80	Alan Khan Jumo Khan, Dasti,	181 35	461 15	191 35	589 15	•••	•••	7 0	128 0	
81	Mithumal Kamumal	179 35	446 13	192 25	605-8	•••		12 30	158 11	
82	Mitho Pandhi Sarki	208 20	88 12	208 20	628 0				539 4	
٤3	Ranhdomal Sidhumal	159 25	287 9	330 20	1,004 2	•••	***	170 35	716 9	Got in accordance with Civil Court's decree from
81	Kherajmal Dewalmal	323 31	416 5	588-26	1,743 4	·••		264 35	1,326 15	Chhinkumal. Do. Lekhumal.
85	Ahmad Khan Chodio Khan,	175 5	346 10	175 0	522 4	0 5			175 10	
86	Sadhayo. Ali Bakhsh Mahrab Khan, Panwhar.	344 23	435 6	344 23	590-15	***			155 9	
87	Ghulam Rasul Ghulam Nabi, Sadhayo.	•••		696 30	1,645 0	•••	• ••• •	696 30	1,645 0	Inherited from his father. Vide No. 74.
88	Musumat Sumri wife of Gahno, Khoso.		 	248 35	572 2	***		248 35	572 2	Inherited from her father- in law, Vide No. 24.
89	Muhammad Hasan Kadir Bukhsh, Chhairo.	•••		342 5	832 12			342 5	832 12	Inherited from No. 41.
90	Alah Bakhah Abdul Rah- man, Bhati,		. 	353 15	783 10			353 15	783 10	Got from his father who had purchased it.
91	Partabrai Ramchand	177 31	469 14	177 31	532 11				62 13	
92	Sahibdino Mulan Rato, Drakhan.	•••	···	5,313 25	8,074 10			5,313 25	8,674 10	Got from No. 37 in partition.
98	Abdul Karim Lukman, Khoso.		! !	1,036 39	979 4	 		1,036 39	979 4	Inherited from No 10.
91	Nabi Bakhsh Mir Muham- mad, Buriro.	.	 1	1,319 20	2,345 6		 }	1,319 20	2,345 6	Do. 45.
95	Husen Shah Khudadad Shah.	305 15	383 1			305 15	383 1			Died: his son inherited.
96	Sijawal Shah Husen Shah .			305 15	731 4			805 15	781 4	Inherited from No. 95.
97	Nabi Bakhah Lukman, Bulehdi.		· · · ·	217 10	569 0		 !	217 10	569 0	Got in partition.
98,	Sathi Phulu Buriro	560 10	144 4	551 30	908 2	8 20	† 		463 14	
99	Faiz Muhammad Ghulam Muhammad, Buriro.	270 0	238 7	270 0	579 11	***	 .		341 4	
100	Abdul Nabi Pir Bakhsh, Buriro.			485 30	954 15			435 30	954 15	

		1896-	1897.	1908	1904.	Dace	EASE.	Inch	RASD.	
No.	Name of khamdar,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment,	Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Remarks.
101	Punhu Khan Ibrahim Khan, Jamali.	A. g. 268 10	Rs. a. 260 7	A, g.	Rs. s.	A. g. 268 10	Rs. a. 260 7	A. g.	Re. a.	Died: his son inherited. Vide No. 102.
102	Murid Punhu Khan, Ja-	***	.,,	221 30	640 4	•••		221 30	640 4	Inherited from his father. Vide No. 101.
103	mali. Wali Muhammad Jafar Khan, Bulehdi.	207 10	375 14	207 10	701 11	•••			825 13	
104	Dilawar Khan Bakhsho Khan, Bulshdi.	•••		394 30	556 5	.,,		394 30	556 5	Got in partition from his brother. Vide No. 28.
105	Ali Khan Gazi Khan, Ja-	245 14	887 6	245 9	508 11	0 5			116 5	
106	Ganwhar Khan Mir Mu- hammad, Jamali.	272 5	453 7	272 5	918 14	•••	···	***	465 7	
107	Mohrab Khan Ghulam Mu- hammad, Jamali.	221 24	363 7	221 34	532 6	***		0 10	168 15	
108	Chtuto Khan Gazi Khan, Lashari.	154 0	367 9	151 25	522 13	2 15	•••	••••	155 4	÷
109	Gul Muhammad Kalandar Bakhsh, Panwhar.	***	***	7 67 3 5	1,229 11	•••	***	767 35	1,229 11	Inherited from No. 31.
110	Bachul Khan Fakir Mu- hammad, Bulehdi.	346 20	479 9	344 10	712 9	2 10	•••		233 0	
111	Ali Bakhsh Hamid Khan, Sadhayo.	116 30	267 15	230 9	761 15	•••		113 19	494 0	
112	Alam Khan Warisdino, Panwhar.	***1		644 19	1,393 6			644 19	1,393 6	Inherited from his father. Vide No. 21.
113	Muhammad Araf Shah Ghous Muhammad Shah.	160 04	412 14	160 34	582 15				140 1	
114	Pokarmal Manghamal			382 5	1,008 5	***	•••	882 5	1,008 5	Purchased from No. 20.
115	Khair Muhammad Abdul	***		237 5	685 0		•••	237 5	685 0	Purchased the land.
116	Rahman, Bhati. Chhinkumal Pamanmal,	170 35	360 10	219 27	779 9	• •		48 32	418 15	Furchased more land.
117	Hindu. Shah Nawaz Khan Ghulam	•••		5,635 37	9,939 13			5,635 37	9,989 13	Inherited from No. 62.
118	Murtiza, Bhuto. Balu Khan Dudo Khan, Bulehdi.		.,,	495 0	1,366 12	•••	•••	495 0	1,366 12	Inherited from No. 63.
119	Gahno Khan Bahram Khan, Jamali,	451 35	482 0	451 35	1,234 15				752 15	
120	Diat Khan Haibat Khan,		***	218 35	717 9	,.,		218 35	717 9	Inherited from No. 65.
121	Bulehdi. Malhu Khan, Bulehdi		ļ 	161 25	567 5			161 25	567 5	Do.
122	Gul Beg Khan Miandad, Jamali.		***	1,005 25	2,114 10	•••		1,005 25	2,114 10	Inherited from No. 60.
128	Mithumal Dulahdinomal, Hindu.		•••	465 25	926 12	•••		465 25	926 12	Do. 67.
124	Ial Muhammad Tajo Khan, Odho.	•••		5,835 12	13,520 15	****		5,835 12	13,520 15	Do. 54.
125	Ilahi Bakhsh Dudo Khan, Bhuto.	•11	•…	16,858 2	21,589 8	***	,	16,858 2	21,589 8	Do. 53.
126	Dodo Khan Baloch Khan, Mundrani,	***		933 24	1,184 1	***	,	983 24	1,184 1	Do. 50.
127	Bhaledino Khuda Bakhsh, Drakhan.	***		825 25	1,020 5	•••		825 25	1,020 5	Got in partition from No. 52.
128	Alah Bakhsh Wahidino, Drakhan,	•••	,,,	2,197 15	3,298 13		,	2,197 15	3,298 13	Do.
129	Abdul Gafur, Drakhan	•••	,	2,258 23	4,587 7	***		2,258 23	4,587 7	Do.
130	Nur Muhammad Khan Mu- hammad Sheikh.	- 11		1,254 20	1,882 15		41.	1,254 20	1,882 15	Got in accordance with Civil Court's decree, vide No. 17.
131	Nehhau Khan Osto Muham- mad, Drakhan.	4-1	4.4	401 2	944 12		v 6.4	4404, 2	944 12	Inherited from No. 89.
182	Hazar Khan Rahim Khan, Khoso.		.;;	6,767 19	11,141 0			6,767 19	11,141 0	Do. 38.

No. 3199 of 1905.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Superintending Engineer's Office, I. R. B. D., Karachi, 8th June 1905.

From

D. GEORGE, ESQUIBE,
Superintending Engineer,
Indus Right Bank Division,

To

THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

SIR,

With reference to letter No. 990 of the 28th March last from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, submitting proposals for the revision of the settlement in taluka Jacobahad, I have the honour to submit the following report.

- 2. I am in cordial agreement with the Deputy Commissioner's proposals, and especially with the proposed rise in the rates of rice and dubari rates, which have hitherto been lightly assessed. I have accordingly but few remarks to make.
- 3. The Deputy Commissioner has proposed to divide group I into two groups, I-A and I-B, in the former of which the best rice crops are produced and in the latter the best dry crops; though these crops, it is stated, are liable to deteriorate if much rice is growing in the vicinity. The same rate is, however, proposed for the dry crops in both groups, but a lower rice rate is proposed in group I-B. As it is well known that the tendency of rice cultivation is to increase and as it is admitted that the extension of rice will deteriorate the soil of the fields that now grow dry crops, it is a matter for consideration whether it is wise to make the rate for rice lower in group I-B than in group I-A as it may have a tendency to transfer the rice cultivation from group I-A, which is best suited for it and where it already exists, to group I-B, where it should not be encouraged.
- 4. It is true that the Deputy Commissioner states that group I-B contains but little rice, and that not capable of bearing the highest rates, but as rice is not wanted in group I-B and its presence is injurious to other crops, if the higher rate chokes rice off entirely, no harm will have been done, but rather the reverse.
- 5. I would not suggest any increase in the dry crop rates for class I B, although the dry crop lands are admittedly better than those in group I-A, as zamindars with land in both classes of dehs might be tempted to grow these dry crops in the dehs where the assessment is lowest.

Mr. Johnston, Executive Engineer, Begari canals, reports that in his opinion the following 9 dehs should be taken out of group I-B and put in I-A:—

1. Badal Wah.

2. Lal Lodro.

3. Dasti.

4. Dilawarpur.

5. Meharshah.

6. Cantonment.

7. Janodero.

8. Nawazo.

9. Rind Wahi.

He states that they are all near a ready market, their water-supply is good, and the land is, in his opinion, quite equal in quality to many of the dehs already grouped in in I-A.

If the rates are made the same in both groups I-A and I-B, as I am inclined to think the proper course, there is of course no object in dividing group I into two.

6. The Deputy Commissioner proposes to abolish the garden rate. The principal garden crops in the district are vegetables, melons, cucumber, mangoes, Indian corn, but there are no perennial crops such as plantains or sugarcane. The Desert canal now flows for 10 months of the year and the Begari nearly 8, and it is possible this class of cultivation may arise and necessitate a special rate in the near future; but at present there seems no objection to the abolition of the garden rate.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
D. GEORGE,
Superintending Engineer,
Indus Right Bank Division.

No. 2519 or 1905.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Deputy Commissioner's affice, Jacobabad, 9th July 1905.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

To

The Commissioner in Sind.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No. 1804, dated the 14th June 1905, on the subject noted on the margin, I have the honour to submit a statement showing the extent of rice cultivation in the I-B group, the dehs recommended for transfer to the I-A group being placed first.

- 2. In these 9 dehs, there is practically no rice grown. In some, e. g., Lal Lodro, it would be impossible to grow it as the supply is lift. But all the 9 dehs are within 5 miles of Jacobabad, and there may be a temptation to grow rice in those where there is flow irrigation. Mr. Baker considered the Rs. 4. rate on I-B as heavy a burden as Rs. 4-8 on I-A. His opinion is entitled to great weight, and it may therefore be taken that any rate above Rs. 4 for I-B is not a fair one.
- 3. The extension of rice cultivation is by no means a benefit and has been partly, at any rate, responsible for the temporary ruin of the Shahdadpur taluka. Even at the present moment, with the canals flowing at their full capacity, and fuller than ordinary, the water has barely reached parts of that taluka. This is almost entirely due to the absorption of the supply by the rice cultivation in the west of the Jacobabad taluka. My own opinion is that, where rice has already been cultivated to any extent, a fair rate only should be imposed, or hardship will be caused, but that where rice has not been cultivated to any appreciable extent, the rate should be at least mildly prohibitive.
- 4. For these reasons, I agree with the Executive Engineer's proposal regarding his 9 dehs and would go even further and add to them all I-B dehs in which the area under rice last year was under 50 acres. I do not think it would be fair to prohibit the cultivation of rice where it has already been permitted to any extent, and do not agree with the Superintending Engineer that the whole of class I-B should be assessed with I-A. But this is a matter of opinion, and only 3 or 4 dehs are affected, and the question arises whether it is worth making a I-B class for 4 dehs only when the advantages of the restriction of rice are so great.
- 5. With regard to the Superintending Engineer's 5th paragraph, Rs. 2-12 does not appear to be a high rate for good flow lands, and I submit that Mr. Baker had a knowledge of the fertility of the soil possessed neither by myself nor by the officers of the Public Works Department. It should be sufficient check on rice to put a high assessment on it without making other flow cultivation cheaper than it deserves. A great deal of water is wasted on flow dry crop land, and in my humble opinion it is the lift cultivator who deserves compassion. This he has received in the continuance of his present rates.
- 6. If the Superintending Engineer's proposal to abolish class I-B for rice and mine that Mr. Baker's dry crop rates should stand are accepted, the effect is that class I-B is altogether abolished.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C. A. BEYTS,
Deputy Commissioner,
Upper Sind Frontier.

63
STATEMENT showing the extent of rice cultivation in the I-B group.

	.	Dob Area o				R	ice culti	V ATIO	ЭЙ.	
No.	Deh.		deh.		1902-19	03.	1903-19)04.	1904-1	905.
			A.	g.	A .	g.	A.	g.	A.	g,
1	Badal Walı	•••		30			***		•••	
2	Dilawarpur		•	38		35	29	15	3	
3	Janidero	•••	10,420	20	10	0	20	0	5	0
4.	Lal Lodro		1,665	0					•••	
5	Mehar Shah	•••	1,936	3	4	0	10	5	10	5
6	Nawazo	• • •	6,430	19					•••	
7	Dasti			$18 \mid$	5	20	19	20	25	30
8	Cantonment	• • •	1,816	11					***	
9	Rind Wahi	• • •		35	***				•••	
10	Shahpur		4,327	20	153	15	168		152	
11	Fatehpur			39	104	0	110	15	47	10
12	Shahdadpur		1,949	0						
13	Bachalpur		2,419	38	118	35	93	30	85	
14	Kaisarabad		2,948	19	•••		•••		l .	15
35	Mouladad		1,624	88	63	0	69	0	52	35
16	Mulah Rato	• • •	3,005	0	2	15	2	15		10
17	Khair Wah		2,803	29	120	0	258	26	251	11
18	Thariri Bhaleno			10	44	5	58			
19	Bhalenabad		1,875	9	• • •		25	30	***	
20	Dadpur		3,996	24			•••			

Revenue Survey and Assessment.

Sind.

Revision settlement of the Jacobabad Táluka of the Upper Sind Frontier District.

No. 11333.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 30th November 1906.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 1642, dated 21st June 1906-Submitting, with

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, No. 990, dated 28th March 1905, and accompaniments.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, Indus Right Bank Division, No. 3199, dated 8th June 1905.

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, No. 2519,

dated 9th July 1905, and accompaniment.

Letter* from the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, No. 3759, dated 9th December 1905.

his remarks, the papers specified in the margin, containing proposals for the revision settlement of the Jacobabad Taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier District.

RESOLUTION.—The proposals made by the Commissioner in Sind are sanctioned. The appended statement shows the rates as sanctioned.

- The settlement should be introduced from 1st August 1906, and guaranteed for a period of ten years subject to the usual reservation.
- The petitions of objections do not disclose any grounds which would lead Government to modify the orders passed above.

G. MONTEATH,

Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind (with the maps. It is requested that the requisite number of copies of the same may be supplied to Govern-

The Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier (with) the petitions of objections),

The Superintending Engineer, Indus Right Bank Division,

The Accountant General,

The Public Works Department of the Secretariat,

The Government of India (by letter).

With of the memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind and of its accompaniments.

* Not printed.

† Printed on the reverse.

of 1906.

Rev 3509

No.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to

	Barani		Rs. 8, P.	1 8 0	1 8 0	180
	- <u>-</u>	nwatered.	Ra. 8. p.	0 0 1	200 100 180	1 0 0
	Dubari.	Watered, Unwatered.	Rs. a. p. Rs. s. p. Rs. s. p.	200100	0 0 8	200 100 180
BABI.	Chahi or wells.				of the rules for the administration of irri-	(Commissioner's special circular No. 59),
į	Irrigated	1801	Rs. 8. P.	30088008	3 4 0	3 0 0
	Un- irrigated rabi, i.e.,	bosi and sailabi.	Rs. a, p. Rs. a, p. Rs. a, p. Rs. a, p.	3 0 0	2 12 0	280
	Barani.		Rs. s. p.	180	40 180	120 180
	Irrigated woods and	meadows.	Rs. a. p.	160	1 4 0	1 2 0
	Chahí or wella.			Will be charged in accord- (160 180	of the rules for the administration of irri- gaticnal settlements	(Commissioner's special circular No. 59).
1 20	Lift v sided by	flow.	Rs. s. p.	0 83	114 0	1 10 0
Кнавте.	Flow sided by	lift.	Rs. s. p.	2 10 0	0 9 %	2 2 0 1 10 0
	#13		Rs. 8. p.	0 0 8	1 12 0	1 8 0
	Other flow		Rs. a. p.	2 12 0	2 8 0	94
	5 5		Rs. 8, p.	4 8 0	0 4	8 8
	Gardens.			To he sesses of ac-	<u> </u>	
	Group.			:	_ ====================================	III

* This includes rabi crops which have been irrigated (in any way, except from wells) after being sown.



