

C O N T E N T S .

1. Annual Report: Administration of  
Minors' Estates, Bombay Presi-  
dency, ending 31st July 1923. pp. 1- 10.
2.     dto. <sup>Z231, 111-2 ✓</sup>     "     1924. pp. 11- 22.
3.     dto. Court of Wards 1923. <sup>FA</sup> pp. 23- 45.
4.     dto. <sup>Z231, 1133-2 ✓</sup>     dto.     "     1924. pp. 46- 70.
5.     dto.     dto.     "     1925. <sup>F5</sup> pp. 71- 95.
6. Report: Administration of  
Estates under the Court of  
Wards, Punjab, ending 30th  
September <sup>Z236, 1133-2 ✓</sup> 1922. pp. 97-105.
7.     dto.     "     1923. pp. 106-114.
8.     dto.     "     1924. pp. 115-121.
9.     dto.     "     1925. <sup>F5</sup> pp. 112-128.
10. Administration Report:  
Incumbered Estates in Sind,  
<sup>Z233, 216 ✓</sup> 1922-1923. pp. 129-145.
11.     dto.     "     1923-1924. pp. 146-163.
12.     dto.     "     1924-1925. <sup>F5</sup> pp. 164-179.
13. Report on the Working of the <sup>in Mysore</sup>  
Indian Companies Act, 1913 etc. pp. 180-215.  
<sup>XMS 218 ✓</sup> <sup>F5</sup>
14. Administration Report: Excise  
Lept. Bombay, 1926-1927. pp. 216-293.

## CONTENTS.

### MONEY PROPER.

PARA.	PAGE
1. Number of estates under management ...	1
2. Income ... ..	1
3. Expenditure ... ..	2
4. Collection of estates' dues ...	2
5. Government revenue, cesses and rents ...	3
6. Cost of management ... ..	3
7. Advances granted to cultivators ...	3
8. Special schemes for management ...	3
9. Maintenance and education of minors ...	4
10. Repayment of debts and existing liabilities ...	4
11. Litigation ... ..	5
12. General remarks ... ..	5
Statements I, II and III ... ..	6—11
SIND.	
Report ... ..	13
Statements I, II and III ... ..	14 & 15

### NORTHERN DIVISION.

F. G. PRATT, Esq., I.C.S., Commissioner, N. D.

### CENTRAL DIVISION.

G. W. HATCH, Esq., I.C.S., Commissioner, C. D.

### SOUTHERN DIVISION.

J. GHOSAL, Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S., Commissioner, S. D.

#### (1) NUMBER OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT.

*Northern Division.*—At the beginning of the year under report, there were 23 estates (1 large and 22 small) under management. Three estates (2 in Surat and 1 in Kaira) were released while one estate (in Ahmedabad) was newly taken under management. The year thus closed with 21 estates under management (1 large and 20 small).

*Central Division.*—At the beginning of the year there were 12 small estates under management—2 in each of the districts of Ahmednagar and Sholapur, 3 in Nasik and 5 in West Khandesh. No new estate was added during the year while 2 estates in the West Khandesh district were released from management on account of the minors having attained majority. Statistical information regarding the 10 estates under management at the close of the year is given in the accompanying three statements.

2. The Collector of Sholapur was appointed by the District Judge as guardian of the large estate of minor Shrikisan Narayandas Bhaya of Sholapur. As the order was passed at the close of the year charge of the estate could not be assumed during the year.

*Southern Division.*—At the beginning of the year there were 31 estates under management. Two estates in Belgaum were released and 3 new estates—2 in Belgaum and 1 in Bijapur—were taken over. The year thus closed with 32 estates under management.

#### (2) INCOME.

*Northern Division.*—The total gross income of the estates during the year amounted to Rs. 58,599 as against Rs. 48,152 during the preceding year. The increase is due to the following reasons:—

- (a) In the Ahmedabad District, an increase of Rs. 8,272 is due partly to good recoveries and partly to the addition of the income of the Vatva estate newly taken under management.
- (b) In the Kaira District, the increase of Rs. 7,474 is due to the sale-proceeds of the landed property of the Piplao estate in order to pay off its heavy debts.

As a set off against these special items of increase, there has been a decrease of Rs. 3,707 in the Broach District due mainly to the absence of recovery of heavy arrears as in the previous year.

The income of the estates in the Surat District shows a decrease of Rs. 1,592 due to the release of 2 estates from management.

*Central Division.*—The gross income of the estates amounted to Rs. 8,729 as against Rs. 10,612 in the preceding year. The decrease is due partly to the handing over of the 2 estates in the West Khandesh District and partly to smaller receipts in the estates in the Nasik District.

*Southern Division.*—The gross income fell from Rs. 93,579 to 81,898. The decrease is due partly to the release of 2 big estates in Belgaum, and partly to the fact that last year's figures included collections of past arrears.

### (3) EXPENDITURE.

*Northern Division.*—The total gross expenditure of the estates under management rose from Rs. 47,653 to Rs. 58,183. The increase is mainly due to:—

- (a) payment of private debts of the estates to the extent of Rs. 6,977.
- (b) investment of Rs. 5,272 in Broach, in Government securities.
- (c) expenditure on marriages and house repairs, etc., to the extent of Rs. 897.

*Central Division.*—The gross expenditure amounted to Rs. 7,488 as against Rs. 7,800 in the previous year. The decrease is due partly to the release of 2 estates in the West Khandesh District and partly to smaller expenditure of the estates in the Nasik District.

2. Out of Rs. 7,488, Rs. 3,000 were spent for the marriage ceremony of the sister of ward Deshmukh in the Sholapur District.

*Southern Division.*—The total gross expenditure amounted to Rs. 54,552 as against Rs. 70,364 which included Rs. 10,500 invested last year in Government Promissory Notes.

### (4) COLLECTION OF ESTATES' DUES.

*Northern Division.*—Out of the total demand of Rs. 54,217 as against Rs. 54,065 of the preceding year, Rs. 39,816 were collected. Rs. 396 were converted into bonds or decrees and Rs. 1,150 were written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 12,855 (Rs. 4,305 current and Rs. 8,946 arrears) as authorised arrears.

*Central Division.*—The total demand was Rs. 11,219, of which Rs. 7,335 were recovered, leaving a balance of Rs. 3,784, of which Rs. 3,044 pertain to the estate of Deshmukh in the Sholapur District. Most of the outstanding balance of this estate has been suspended on account of failure of crops.

*Southern Division.*—Out of the total rental demand of Rs. 72,081, Rs. 54,887 or 76·14 per cent. were collected as against 84·61 per cent. and Rs. 2,348 were written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 14,793; the Hebli estate alone (in Dharwar) is responsible for arrears of Rs. 5,327 and steps are in progress for their recovery. In Ratnagiri the rental demand was collected in full and the arrears in other districts are not large. Recourse to Revenue Courts was necessary for the realisation of rent in Kanara, Kolaba and Bijapur.

(5) GOVERNMENT REVENUE, CESSES AND RENTS.

*Northern Division.*—All Government dues ordered to be paid according to the anna valuation of crops were paid up in full during the year under report.

*Central Division.*—All Government dues were paid by the estates.

*Southern Division.*—Government dues were paid up in full except suspended revenue.

(6) COST OF MANAGEMENT.

*Northern Division.*—The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 4,176 or 7·1 per cent. of the total gross income as against Rs. 2,210 or 4·6 per cent. during the previous year. The rise in the cost of management is due to the recovery of the management charges of some estates in arrears in the Ahmedabad District.

*Central Division.*—Only 2 estates of Deshmukh and Mohanlal in Sholapur and Nasik districts respectively paid towards cost of their management which amounted to 1 and·7 per cent. of their incomes respectively. The Collector states that steps have been taken to reduce the cost of the latter estate. The management of the remaining estates was conducted departmentally through the Mamlatdars of the respective talukas.

*Southern Division.*—The aggregate cost of management was Rs. 7,478 or 9·1 per cent. of the total gross income as against Rs. 7,567 or 8·1 per cent. Only in 4 estates—1 in Belgaum and 3 in Dharwar—the incidence of cost exceeded the prescribed limit of 10 per cent. The increase in Belgaum was slight and that in Dharwar was due to the rental arrears having remained uncollected especially of the Hebli estate.

(7) ADVANCES TO CULTIVATORS.

No advances were made during the year to tenants by any of the estates.

(8) SPECIAL SCHEME FOR MANAGEMENT.

*Northern Division.*—Two estates in Ahmedabad were given on lease, while the rest were managed departmentally.

*Central and Southern Divisions.*—No special scheme was framed or undertaken.

## (9) MAINTENANCE AND EDUCATION OF MINORS.

*Northern Division.*—The total gross expenditure under this head amounted to Rs. 8,740 as against Rs. 8,637 during the previous year. The increase is nominal and requires no explanation.

The number of minors of school-going age was 22 (2 in Ahmedabad, 14 in Broach and 6 in Kaira) against 27 during the previous year. Of these only 15 (2 in Ahmedabad, 7 in Broach and 6 in Kaira) are taking education either in local English or Vernacular Schools and 1 in the Broach District is attending the Arts College in Baroda. One of the minors in the Kaira District passed the Matriculation examination and is now employed in Government service.

*Central Division.*—Out of the 10 minors 5 are with their relatives who maintain them. All reasonable demands for the maintenance of the remaining minors continued to be satisfied.

2. Out of the 10 minors 7 are males and 3 are females. The person of none of the minors is under superintendence. The 3 female minors do not go to school. Out of the 7 males—

1 is learning Commercial business.

2 are doing nothing.

1 tends the sheep and goats of his grandfather who maintains him.

3 are receiving education in Vernacular schools.

## 7

*Southern Division.*—All reasonable demands for the maintenance of the minors and their relatives dependent on them continued to be satisfied.

Of the 37 minors, 34 are males and 3 females. Of the 34 males—

3 have left school.

19 are receiving education in primary schools.

11 are receiving education in English Schools.

1 is studying in the Karnatak College.

The Hebli (Dharwar) wards are now of age. The appeal in the Privy Council has been decided in their favour and the estate continues under management pending Government orders for its release. The ward of one more estate in Dharwar has attained majority and the estate will shortly be handed over.

## (10) REPAYMENT OF DEBT AND EXISTING LIABILITIES.

*Northern Division.*—The debts due by the estates on the assumption of management amounted to Rs. 1,12,204 as against Rs. 1,02,109 reported last year. The increase is due to the assumption of management of the Vatva estate in Ahmedabad which is indebted to the extent of Rs. 10,565. The amount of Rs. 1,12,204 was by the commencement of the year under report, reduced to Rs. 46,125. Additional debt to the extent of Rs. 11,856 was incurred during the year. The total liabilities thus rose to Rs. 57,981. Claims amounting to Rs. 450 were remitted by creditors and Rs. 16,055 were paid from current income. Rs. 8,440 in

Kaira were paid by sale of property. The total liabilities at the end of the year thus stood at Rs. 33,835. The liquidation of debts was satisfactory.

*Central Division.*—Only 2 estates—Marwadi and Renukabai—in the Nasik District are in debt. The aggregate debt due at the beginning of the year was Rs. 3,450 which remained unpaid at the end of the year for want of funds. The Collector states that as the balances of the estates at the end of the year are satisfactory it may be possible to pay off some of the debts in the current year.

*Southern Division.*—The total repayment of debt amounted to Rs. 1,800 as against Rs. 4,290.

*Belgaum.*—Only 2 estates are in debt and the annual instalments have been paid.

*Dharwar.*—Only 2 estates are in debt; the annual instalment due from 1 estate (Shirkol, Kulkarni) could not be paid as the creditor was dead and enquiry is in progress as to his heirs. In the case of the other, the instalment was not due.

*Kolaba.*—Of the 2 estates, 1 is in debt to the extent of Rs. 66 only which will be repaid next year.

*Bijapur, Kanara and Ratnagiri.*—All the estates are free from debt.

#### (11) LITIGATION.

*Northern Division.*—In addition to the suit that was pending at the beginning of the year, 3 more were filed in the Ahmedabad District. One of these was withdrawn. The year thus closed with 3 suits pending.

*Southern Division.*—The Collector of Belgaum was concerned as plaintiff or defendant in 7 suits, of which 2 were decided in favour of the wards, and 5 were pending at the close of the year.

As the judgment-debtors failed to pay the first instalment of debt due to a Kolaba ward, steps are being taken for the execution of the decree and recovery of the whole amount.

#### (12) GENERAL REMARKS.

*Northern Division.*—During the year under report, surplus balances to the extent of Rs. 5,272 in the Broach District and Rs. 1,450 in the Kaira District were invested in Government Securities and Postal Certificates.

The administration by Government agency of the minors' estates continued to be beneficial to the minors concerned.

*Central Division.*—The administration of the estates through local officers has been satisfactory.

*Southern Division.*—The accounts of the estates were for the first time audited by the Local Audit Department in accordance with Government Resolution, Revenue Department, No. A.—66 of 9th November 1921. No serious irregularities were noticed.

The Record of Rights was introduced in 2 Inam Villages of the Bhuyar estate in Bijapur.

The management of the estates by the Collectors has been satisfactory and continues to be popular.

## STATEMENT

Statement showing the general position of Minors' Estates under Divisions during

(Details are given only for estates with a gross revenue of Rs. 10,000

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Total area of Estate.	Culti- vated Area.	Unculti- vated Area.	Total assess- ment.	Total Land Revenue Demand including cesses.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	<i>Northern Division.</i>		Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Ahmedabad	Sher Juma Khanji Mahomed Khanji (Dholka).	6,526*	5,921*	605*	10,515*	16,030
2-4	Do.	Total for small estates.	2,899	2,488	411	4,999	6,775
5-10	Kaira	Do. do.	1,858	1,033	825	1,176	6,276
11-20	Broach	Do. do.	951†	956	5	2,586†	11,312
21	Surat	Do. do.	20	13	7	45	217
		Total. N. D. ...	13,264	10,411	1,853	19,921	39,610
	<i>Central Division.</i>						
1 & 2	Sholapur						
3 to 5	West Khan- desh	Total for small estates.	6,191	6,097	94	1,392	6,181
6 to 8	Nasik						
9 & 10	Ahmednagar						
		Total. C. D. ...	6,131	6,097	94	1,392	6,181
	<i>Southern Division.</i>						
1	Belgaum	Sankeshwar math	7,004	6,364	640	11,444	11,469
2	Dharwar	Hebli	Mars 146-1-4	Mars 146-1-4		3,651	12,396
3 to 19	Belgaum						
20-21	Bijapur						
22 to 28	Dharwar	Total for small estates.	5,718	4,457	1,261	7,987	35,290
29	Kanara						
30-31	Kolaba						
32	Ratnagiri						
		Total. S. D. ...	Acres 12,722 Mars. 146-1-4	10,621 146-1-4	1,901	23,082	59,138
		Grand Total...	Acres 31,177 Mars 146-1-4	27,929 146-1-4	3,648	43,795	1,04,929

\* Change in area and assessment is due to the fact that half the share in the Parbhuran Giras belonging to Pyarmahomed Khan has been released from management during the year.



No. I.

*management in the Northern, Central and Southern  
the year 1922-23.*

or over. Figures for other estates are lumped together.)

Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expendi- ture.	BALANCE.		Total Liabi- lities.	Cost of manage- ment.	Percentage of cost on gross in- come.
		Invested.	Uninvested.			
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
19,348	16,414	...	5,388	8,896	1,815	9.3
6,502	6,542	...	1,553	16,460	857	12.6
15,511	14,706	3,550	5,494	6,072	553	3.6
16,609	20,521	22,943	8,312	1,228	951	5.7
329	...	...	1,692	799	...	...
53,599	58,183	26,493	22,434	33,475	4,176	7.1
8,729	7,488	19,173	7,632	3,450	151	1.7
8,729	7,488	19,173	7,632	3,450	151	1.7
18,842	4,688	92,500	7,355	...	1,939	10.2
11,061	10,272	37,600	9,489	...	1,531	13.83
51,995	39,593	1,55,291	41,670	14,013	4,008	7.7
31,898	54,552	2,85,691	58,614	14,013	7,478	9.1
1,49,226	1,20,223	3,31,357	89,580	50,938	11,805	7.9

8 Acres of land assessed at Rs. 23 have been redeemed from mortgage possession of the Amod Estate.  
5 Acres of land in Baroda territory assessed at Rs. 37 were not shown in previous years. This is shown now.  
1 Acre of land assessed at Rs. 6 is given under mortgage possession of the Palej estate by one debtor.

## STATEMENT

Statement showing the efficiency of rent collections in Minors'  
Central and Southern Divisions

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	DEMAND.			COLLECTIONS	
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
<i>Northern Division.</i>			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Ahmedabad ...	Sher Juma Khanji Mahomed Khanji (Dholka).	16,030	8,777	24,807	12,243	3,992
2-4	Do.	Total for small estates.	6,775	3,991	9,766	6,727	60
5-10	Kaira ...	Do. do.	5,276	2,836	8,112	4,628	900
11-20	Broach ...	Do. do.	10,340	972	11,312	10,298	691
21	Surat ...	Do. do.	217	3	220	214	3
Total, N. D. ...			38,838	15,579	54,217	34,110	5,706
<i>Central Division.</i>							
1 & 2	Sholapur ...	Total for small estates.	6,181	5,038	11,219	4,716	2,619
3 to 5	West Khandesh ...						
6 to 8	Nasik ...						
9 & 10	Ahmednagar ...						
Total, C. D. ...			6,181	5,038	11,219	4,716	2,619
<i>Southern Division.</i>							
1	Belgaum ...	Sankeshwar Math ...	11,462	108	11,570	10,812	70
2	Dharwar ...	Hobli ...	13,396	5,363	17,759	8,931	2,130
3 to 19	Belgaum ...	Total for small estates.	38,187	4,565	42,752	31,471	1,473
20-21	Bijapur ...						
22-25	Dharwar ...						
26	Kanara ...						
30-31	Kolaba ...						
32	Batnagiri ...						
Total, S. D. ...			62,045	10,036	72,081	51,214	3,673
Grand Total ...			1,06,564	30,653	1,37,517	90,040	11,998

## No. II.

*Estates under Government management in the Northern,  
during the year 1922-23.*

Total.	Amount written off.	BALANCE.			DETAILS OF BALANCE.		Remarks.
		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.	Current year.	Previous year.	
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
16,235	452	.....	6,120	6,120	3,752	4,368	
6,787	.....	.....	2,979	2,979	48	2,931	
5,588	653	396	1,475	1,871	463	1,408	
10,989	45	.....	278	278	42	236	
217	.....	.....	3	3	.....	3	
39,816	1,150	396	12,855	13,251	4,305	8,946	
7,335	.....	329	3,555	3,884	1,465	2,419	
7,335	.....	329	3,555	3,884	1,465	2,419	
10,862	557	.....	78	78	.....	78	
11,061	1,371	346	4,961	5,327	3,465	1,862	
32,944*	430	1,252	8,136	9,366	6,696†	2,669	
54,887	2,348	1,598	13,195	14,793	10,161	4,632	
1,02,036	3,498	2,333	29,605	31,928	15,931	15,997	

\* Rs. 53 were collected in advance last year.

† This includes suspended revenue of Rs. 3,356.

## STATEMENT

Statement showing progress made in liquidation of debt  
Divisions during the

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Balance of debt at the beginning of the year.	Additional debt incurred during the year.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	<i>Northern Division.</i>		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Ahmedabad ...	Sher Juma Khanji Mahomed Khanji (Dholka).	7,693	10,404	8,614
2-4	Do.	Small estates ...	66,320	19,351	2,772
5-10	Kaira ...	Do. ...	31,074	14,072	440
11-20	Broach ...	Do. ...	6,418	2,298	.....
21	Surat ...	Do. ...	799	.....	.....
		Total, N. D. ...	1,12,204	46,125	11,856
	<i>Central Division.</i>				
1 & 2	Nasik ...	Total for small estates.	7,893	3,450	.....
	<i>Southern Division.</i>				
3 to 19	Belgaum ...	Total for small estates.			
22 to 28	Dharwar ...		28,105	15,113	700
30 to 31	Kolaba ...				

## No. III.

*in the Minors' Estates in the Northern, Central and Southern year 1922-23.*

DEBTS SETTLED DURING THE YEAR.					Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management of estate that estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks.
By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Manager or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.			
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
.....	.....	.....	.....	10,152	8,896		
.....	.....	.....	450*	4,833	16,840		
.....	8,440	.....	.....	.....	6,072		
.....	.....	.....	.....	1,070	1,238		
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	799	25th May 1925.	
.....	8,440	.....	450	16,055	33,835		
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,450		
.....	.....	.....	.....	1,800	14,013		

\* Remitted by Government on account of *jama*.

The estates in other districts and the other estate in Nasik District are free from debt.

## SIND.

L. J. MOUNTFORD, Esq., I.C.S., Commissioner in Sind.

*Assumption and relinquishment of estates.*—The year opened with twelve estates under management, to which three (one in the Nawabshah District, one in the Sukkur District and one in the Hyderabad District) were added. As one estate in the Nawabshah District was released, the number of estates under management at the close of the year was 14.

In no case was the guardianship of the person of a minor with the Collector.

*Income.*—The total gross income of the estates was Rs. 5,723 as against Rs. 1,923 in the last year. The increase is mainly due to the fact that a sum of Rs. 3,175 was realized this year by sale of three building plots in the Sukkur District.

*Expenditure.*—The expenditure during the year amounted as under to Rs. 3,043 as against Rs. 1,493 in the preceding year:—

	Rs.
Government assessment ...	767
Maintenance ...	275
Suit expenses ...	100
Liquidation of debts ...	1,503
Marriage expenses ...	381
Miscellaneous ...	17
	3,043

*Management of immoveable property.*—Of the 14 estates under management, eight were leased, one remained as before in charge of the minors' uncle who pays the Government assessment and maintains the minors from the income, and two consist of residential houses which have been leased on rent. As regards the remaining three, the lands could not be cultivated as the estates were taken up under guardianship late in the year.

*Management of moveable property.*—The moveable property of all the wards excepting one in the Thar Parkar district continued in charge of their relations. That of the ward in the Thar Parkar District was, as reported last year, in charge of the Sub-Divisional Officer.

*Liabilities.*—The liabilities of the estates under management at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 7,673, which are due by three estates in the Larkana District and one in the Sukkur District. Out of this amount a sum of Rs. 1,503 was paid from the income of the estates and Rs. 3,514 were paid by the minor's relations by private arrangement.

*Education.*—There were in all nineteen wards, being fourteen boys and five girls. Of the former, four are reported to be receiving education.

*Litigation.*—No new suit was filed during the year. The suit and the appeal referred to in the previous year's report are still pending.

## STATEMENT I.

*Statement showing the general position of estates under Government management in the province of Sind during the year 1922-23.*

Serial No.	Name of Division.	Name of estate.	Total area of estate.			Total assessment.	Land Revenue demand including cesses.	Total gross income recovered.	Total expenditure.	Balance (including last year's balance).		Total liabilities	Cost of management.	Percentage of cost on gross income.	Remarks.
			Acres	Acres	Acres					Rs.	Rs.				
1 to 14.	Sind.	Total of small estates.	698	263	430	751	1,810	5,723*	3,043	2,500	6,192	2,656	...	...	

\* This is exclusive of Rs. 3,514 paid by the minors' relations for the liquidation of debts.

## STATEMENT II.

*Statement showing the efficiency of rent collections in estates under Government management in the province of Sind during 1922-23.*

Serial No.	Name of Division.	Name of estate.	Demand.			Collections			Amount written off.	Balance				Remarks.	
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.	Total.		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.	Details of balance.		
1 to 14.	Sind	Total of small estates.	Rs. 1,810	Rs. 328	Rs. 2,138	Rs. 1,607	Rs. 327	Rs. 1,534	...	...	Rs. 204	Rs. 204	...	...	

## STATEMENT III.

Statement showing progress made in liquidation of debts in estates under Government management in the province of Sind during the year 1922-23.

1	Serial No.	2	Name of Division.	3	Name of estate.	4	Debts due on assumption of charge.	5	Balance of debts at the beginning of the year.	6	Additional debts incurred during the year.	Debts paid off during the year					13	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that the estate could be cleared off.	14	Remarks.	
												7	8	9	10	11					12
												By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by the Manager or Civil Court.	Remitted by the creditor.	From current income.	Balance.				
						Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				
1 to 14.	Sind	Total of small estates.	8,539	7,673	...	...	4,763*	...	...	255	2,66										

\* This includes Rs. 3,514 paid by minors' relations by private arrangements.



*Annual Reports.*

Annual report on the administration of Minors' Estates in the Bombay Presidency for the year ending 31st July 1923.

## GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

## REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Resolution No. P.—65.

Bombay Castle, 11th April 1924.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind, No. P.—98-R. dated 27th September 1923.

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D., No. W.R.D.—29 dated 9th October 1923.

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D., No. W.R.D.-P.R.B.—11—2 dated 12th October 1923.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D., No. W.R.D.-P.R.B.—2 dated 18th October 1923.

Submitting the reports on the administration of Minors' Estates in their respective charges for the year ending 31st July 1923.

Letter from the Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division, No. W.R.D.-P.R.-II. dated 5th January 1924.

RESOLUTION.—Recorded.

By order of the Governor in Council,

H. K. KIRPALANI,

Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

To

- \*The Commissioner in Sind,
- \*The Commissioner, N. D.,
- \*The Commissioner, C. D.,
- \*The Commissioner, S. D.,
- \*The Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division,
- \*All Collectors, including the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

\* With copies of the report.