Report on the Administration of Minors Estates in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions and in Sind for the Year 1914-1915

1916

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

PARA			Page
	PRESIDENCY PROPER.		
1.	Number of estates under management		1
2.	Income	• •	1
3.	Expenditure	••	2
4.	Collection of estate dues	••	2
5.	Government revenue, cesses and rates	• •	3
6.	Cost of management		3
7.	Advances granted to cultivators	••	3
8.	Special schemes for management	,	3
9.	Maintenance and education of minors	• •	3
10.	Repayment of debts and existing liabilities	••	4
11.	Litigation	••	5
12.	General Remarks		5
•	Statements I, II, III	••	6—8
	Sind.		
	Report	• •	9 .
	Statements I, II, III	• •	10

Northern Division.

F. G. PRATT, Esqr., I.C.S., Commissioner, N. D.

Central Division.

W. F. HUDSON, ESQR., I.C.S., AG. COMMISSIONER, C. D.

Southern Division.

P. R. CADELL, Esqr., C.S.I., C.I.E., I.C.S., Commissioner, S. D.

(1) Number of estates under management.

Northern Division.—At the beginning of the year under report, there were one large and 28 small estates under management. Five estates in Ahmedabad and two in Kaira were released from management on the minors' attaining majority. One estate in Kaira and two in Broach were taken under management and the year thus closed with one large and twenty-four small estates under management. The accounts of the two estates in Broach which were taken under management during the year have been excluded, while those of the two estates (one in Ahmedabad and the other in Kaira) released from management at the close of the year have been included in the statements accompanying the report.

Central Division.—At the beginning of the year there were 18 small estates under management—2 in each of the districts of East Khandesh, Ahmednagar and Sholapur, 4 in Nasik and 8 in West Khandesh. One estate in the Sholapur District was added while 6 estates—2 in each of the districts of East Khandesh and West Khandesh and 1 in each of the districts of Sholapur and Nasik—were released from management during the year on account of the minors having attained their majority. Thus the total number of estates under management at the close of the year was 13, the statistical information regarding which is given in the accompanying 3 statements.

The estate of the minor Giram in Sholapur District was released from management in December last but the moveable property of the estate is still in charge of the Collector. Giram died in February last and as it is likely that the estate would be mismanaged by his widow the Collector has requested the District Judge to take steps under Section 5 (b) of the Court of Wards Act.

The Collector of Ahmednagar was appointed by the District Judge guardian of the property of Mr. Katdhare, late Head Karkun in that district. As all the immoveable property lies in the districts of Ratnagiri and Kolaba and as the Collector could not manage it properly he applied to the District Judge who discharged the Collector from guardianship of the property under Section 40 of the Guardians and Wards Act (vide Government Order No. 1154, Revenue Department, dated 22nd April 1921).

Southern Division.—Two estates were released and four added during the year. The number of estates at the close of the year was thus 31 as against 29.

(2) Income.

Northern Division.—The total gross income of the estates during the year amounted to Rs. 32,011 as against 55,614 during the preceding year. The decrease of Rs. 23,603 is mainly due to scarcity conditions necessitating the grant of suspensions of land revenue demand. The gross income includes petty loans borrowed by the estate holders for maintenance and in one case it includes recoveries of debts.

Central Division.—The gross income of the estates amounted to Rs. 4,837 as against Rs. 37,285 in the preceding year. The decrease is due partly to the release of the 6 estates and partly to the postponement of the recovery of rents owing to the unfavourable season.

Southern Division.—The gross income fell from Rs. 84,862 to Rs. 75,577. The decrease was partly due to the release of the Shintre estate of Belgaum and partly to the bad season.

(3) Expenditure.

Northern Division.—The total gross expenditure of the estates under management amounted to Rs. 33,491 as against 43,306 during the preceding year. The decrease is partly due to the curtailment of unusual expenditure and partly to the suspension of Government dues during the year on account of scarcity conditions. Rs. 3,793 were paid towards the liquidation of private debts.

In the Kaira District, the expenditure shows an increase of Rs. 787 over the previous year's figure. The following were the items of special expenditure during the year.

Name of estate.	i	Amount spent.	Object of expenditure.							
• .	.	Rs.								
Kalu estate		1,167	Purchase of lands for the Kalu estate.							
Anand estate]	1,150	Repairs to buildings and the building of a Dalkhali.							
Mahudha estate		700	Pregnancy ceremony of the Mahudha Minor's wife.							
Piplao and Mahudha estates	·l	850	Litigation expenses.							
Piplao and Lindora estates		605	Repayment of debts.							

In the Broach District Rs. 1,500 were given for the after-death ceremonies of the grandmother of the Amod minors and Rs. 400 were invested in the Bombay Development Loan. Steps will be taken to invest the surplus balances of the Vedach, Bakrol, Khojbal, Tavra and Palej estates.

Central Division.—The gross expenditure fell from Rs. 22,197 to 7,276. The decrease is mainly attributed to release of 6 estates from management during the year.

Southern Division.—The total gross expenditure fell from Rs. 62,555 to Rs. 60,184. If the amounts invested this year and the year previous are excluded from the figures of expenditure, there is a net increase of Rs. 10,000 which is due to the increased cost of maintenance and improvements to the buildings in Belgaum.

(4) Collection of estates' dues.

Northern Division.—Out of the total rental demand of Rs. 41,571, Rs. 18,411 were collected and Rs. 313 were written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 22,847 for collection during future years (Rs. 15,669 for the current and Rs. 7,178 for previous years). The balance chiefly consists of suspension of rent granted to cultivators of estates on account of the failure of the rains. In the Broach District, the total demand of Rs. 7,465 consists of rent of lands and houses and shows a decrease of Rs. 2,641 over the previous year figure. The decrease is mainly due to the fact that in the previous year there were large arrears of former years to be collected which were reduced during the course of that year. In the year under report there were small arrears to collect. The demand for the current year also was reduced on account of the unfavourable nature of the season and fall in the price of cotton.

Central Division.—The unfavourable nature of the season made it difficult to collect the rental demand.

The total demand was Rs. 9,717 of which Rs. 3,197 were recovered and arrears to the extent of Rs. 20 were written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 6,500.

Southern Division.—Out of a total rental demand of Rs. 75,469, Rs. 52,974 or 70.2 per cent. was collected as against 87.9 per cent. during the preceding year and Rs. 1,762 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 20,733. Rs. 8,850 out of this balance pertains to the Hebli estate of Dharwar where the crops were bad for three successive years including the year under report. Steps are in progress for the

recovery of this amount. The collection suffered also in Belgaum and Bijapur on account of famine as a result of which suspensions were granted in several cases. Recourse to Revenue Courts was as usual necessary for the recovery of rent in Kanara and Kolaba. The arrears in Kolaba also are large for the recovery of which the Collector is taking special steps.

(5) Government revenue, cesses and rates.

Northern Division.—All Government dues ordered to be paid according to the anna valuation were paid up in full during the year under report.

Central Division.—Amounts due to Government have been paid except in the case of assessments suspended owing to failure of crops.

Southern Division.—Suspensions were granted in Bijapur and in case of some estates in Belgaum on account of the failure of crops.

(6) Cost of management.

Northern Division.—The total cost of management of all the estates amounted to Rs. 2,703 or 8.4 per cent. of the total gross income as against 2,252 or 4.05 per cent. during the previous year. The increase in the percentage is due to reduced income of estates on account of scarcity conditions.

In the Kaira District the cost of management shows an increase of Rs. 596 over the previous year's figure which is explained as under:—

- (a) Increase in the pay of the establishment.
- (b) Recovery of the previous year's management charges in case of Piplao, Lindora and other estates.

Central Division.—Only two estates—Deshmukh of Akluj and Mohonlal of Malegaon in Sholapur and Nasik districts respectively—paid towards the cost of their management. The management of the rest was conducted departmentally through the Mamlatdars of the respective talukas.

Southern Division.—The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 6,704 or 8.8 per cent. of the total gross income as against Rs. 4,849 or 5.7 per cent. in the preceding year. The incidence of cost was high in Ghongdi (50.52), Sanikop (12.60), Belvdi (17.96) and Mutalik (14.32) in Belgaum and in Hebli (13.24) in Dharwar, owing partly to the fall in revenue due to the failure of crops and partly to the increase in the cost of maintenance. The abnormal rise in Ghongdi is also due to the fact that an item of Rs. 170-13-6 was wrongly debited to the estate. This item has subsequently been transferred to the proper estate. The percentage of cost for this estate is therefore really about 41.

(7) Advances to cultivators.

No advances were made during the year to the tenants by any of the estates.

(8) Special scheme for management.

Northern Division.—One estate in Ahmedabad was given on lease while the rest were managed departmentally.

Central and Southern Divisions.—No special scheme was framed or undertaken.

(9) Maintenance and education of minors.

Northern Division.—The total expenditure under this head amounted to Rs. 8,705 as against 10,679 during the previous year.

The number of minors of school going age was 25 (1 in Ahmedabad, 11 in Kaira and 13 in Broach) as against 18 in the previous year. Of these only 17 (1 in Ahmedabad, 8 in Kaira and 8 in Broach) attend schools. Of the 17 minors referred to above one resides in the Talukdari Hostel at Nadiad, I is attending the Baroda

College and is in the Previous Class and 15 attend local English or vernacular schools. A special tutor continues to be engaged for the two Amod minors.

On the whole the progress in this direction seems to be satisfactory.

Central Division.—All reasonable demands for the maintenance of the wards and their relatives dependent on them continued to be satisfied.

The minor Pawar in the Sholapur District is the son of Subhedar Sakharam, who died on field service. On the recommendation of the Collector, Government sanctioned a family pension of Rs. 20 per mensem till the minor attains majority.

All the 13 minors are of school going age. Two are attending English schools, 5 are receiving education in local vernacular schools, one is learning commercial business and the rest do not attend any school.

Southern Division.—One of the Ghongdi wards of Belgaum was sent back to Athni, as the climate of Belgaum did not agree with him. He is now reading in the Athni English School. The Kodni ward has left school and gone home in spite of all attempts to induce him to resume his studies. The remaining wards in Belgaum are reported to be doing well.

Out of the 13 wards in Dharwar 2 are attending English schools, 8 are in Vernacular schools and 3 have left school. There was a slight increase in the maintenance allowance of the wards owing to high prices.

The Bijapur ward is attending the primary school at Jamkhandi where he is living with his mother-in-law. A monthly allowance of Rs. 25 is paid for his maintenance and that of his wife.

The Honkeri ward in Kanara is reading in the Kumta primary school. His progress is reported to be moderate.

Of the two wards in Kolaba, one was maintained by his guardian relative at her own cost. A sum of Rs. 25 per month is paid for the maintenance and education of the other, who is attending the primary school at Panvel.

(10) Repayment of debt and existing liabilities.

Northern Division.—The debts due by the estates on the assumption of management amounted to Rs. 1,31,355 which by the commencement of the year under report were reduced to Rs. 62,636. In Kaira, the total liabilities of all the estates with the exception of the Piplao estate amounted to Rs. 2,737 at the close of the previous year. The debts of the Piplao estate were settled during the year. These debts amounted to Rs. 19,975 which when added to the sum of Rs. 2,737 referred to above brings, the total liabilities of the estates in that district to Rs. 22,712.

The amount of additional debt incurred during the year is shown at Rs. 10,015. This includes the figures of land revenue due to Government suspended during the year. The total liabilities thus rose to Rs. 72,651. Claims amounting to Rs. 134 were rejected by Civil Court and those amounting to Rs. 982 were remitted by creditors and Rs. 3,793 were paid from the current income. The total liabilities at the end of the year thus stood at Rs. 67,742. Looking to the unfavourable condition of the season the liquidation of debts was satisfactory.

Central Division.—Only two estates—Marwadi and Renukabai—in the Nasik District are in debt. The aggregate debt due at the beginning of the year was Rs. 4,314. No fresh debt was incurred, but interest amounting to Rs. 218 was added to it. Rs. 615 were paid by the Marwadi estate in repayment, but nothing was paid by the Renukabai estate owing to scarcity.

Southern Division.—The total repayment of debt amounted to Rs. 3,643 as against Rs. 1,700.

Four out of the 19 estates in Belgaum are in debt. The liabilities of one of these have not yet been ascertained.

The debt of the Shirkol Kulkarni estate of Dharwar has been reduced from Rs. 4,017 to Rs. 2,817. The Shirkol Patilki estate has a debt of Rs. 400 which will be paid off in the current year.

In Kolaba a suit for Rs. 500 has been filed against one of the minors, by a Marwadi, in the Small Causes Court, Poona, on account of some transaction in the time of the minor's grandfather. The Government Pleader, Poona, is conducting the suit on behalf of the minor and the result is expected to be favourable.

The estates in Bijapur and Kanara are free from debt.

(11) Litigation.

Northern Division.—The only suit in the Ahmedabad District which was pending at the beginning of the year was not decided during the year.

In the Kaira District one of the two appeals relating to the Mahudha estate was decided in favour of the minor and the other is still pending. Three suits were filed against the Piplao estate by the creditors of the estate. In two of these, decrees have been passed for payment of Rs. 3,000 on 1st September of each year and the third was compromised.

Central Division.—For the recovery of decretal amounts due to the estates darkhasts have been ordered to be filed on behalf of Zumberlal's estate in West Khandesh and a darkhast for Rs. 1,780 has already been filed on behalf of minor Dange.

Southern Division.—The Collector of Belgaum was concerned as plaintiff or defendant in six suits, of which three were decided in his favour leaving three pending at the close of the year.

The Hebli estate of Dharwar still continues under management, pending the result of the appeal filed in the Privy Council against the decision of the High Court.

(12) General Remarks.

Northern Division.—In the Kaira District there is an uninvested balance of Rs. 10,359. This amount is required for the payment of estates' dues and cannot therefore be invested.

The administration by Government agency of the minors' estates continued to be beneficial to the minors concerned.

Central Division.—The administration of the estates through local officers has been satisfactory.

Southern Division.—The management of the estates by the Collectors has been efficient and continues to be popular.

STATEMENT I.

Statement showing the general position of minors' estates under Government management in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions during 1920-21.

(Details are given only for estates with a gross revenue of Rs. 10,000 or over. Figures for other estates are lumped together.)

erial .	Name of	Name of Estate.	Total area of	Cuiti- vated	Unculti- vated	Total	Total land revenue demand	Total gross income	Total gross	Bala	nee. '	Total	Cost of manage-	Percen- tage of cost on	
No.	District.	Aline of Eserce.	Estate.	arca.	area.	ment.		recovered.	expendi-	Invested	Unin- vested.	liabilities.	ment.	gross income,	1
1	2	3	4	5	6	. 7	8	9	. 10	11	12	13	14	15	
			Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
	Northern											10 800	540	11.5	
1	Abmedabad.,	Sher Jumakhanji Sher Mahomed Khanji (Dhol- ka).	ıt -	6,340	1,784	13,340	8,839	4,692	6,949		1,999	13,899	340		
~∔	Do	Total for small estates	3,070	2,605	_465	5,134	6,042	5,419	4,334		2,040	29,505	460	8.5	ļ
-14	Kaira	Do	2,111	1,284	827	2,137	9,481	13,763	12,314	3,542	10,359	21,973	1,028	7	
22	Broach	Do	658	,648	10	1,489	7,465	7,024	9,167	21,064	7,450	1,566	672	9.4	l
2 5	Surat	Do	85	78	7	331	1,287	1,113	727	3,382	1,674	799	3		
		Total, N. D.	13,998	10,955	3,043	22,431	33,114	82,011	33,491	27,988	23,522	67,742	2,703	8.4	
	Çentral	Division.						1 .	,				\	,	
-2	Ahmed-	1	1	١٠	_						,				l
—8 —11	nagar. West Khan- desh.	Total for small	6,262	5,003	359	1,468	6,261	4,837	7,276	28,111	4,919	3,917	132	2.7	
-11 & 13	Nasik Sholapur.									,					
		Total, C. D.	6,262	5,903	359	1,468	6,261	4,837	7,276	28,111	4,919	3,917	132	2.7	
		1	1	,		 		1					!	<u> </u>	ſ
1	Southern				٠.]	
-19	Do.	. Sankeshwar Mati	6,998	6,358	640	11,427	11,489	14,412	6,165	92,800	5,946		1,398	9.7	١
20	Bijapur .			1					-						
28	Dharwar .	Total for small catates.) 5,384	4,642	742	10,860	53,241	61,165	54.019	205,342	56,226	19,113	5,306	8.6	
20	Kanara .		1								, , , , , ,		'		ł
3 1	Kolaba ,	. J		1	ĺ	(-							1	-	ŀ
			Mars.	Mars.			·				<u> </u>			·	r
		Total, S. D	. 146-1-4	146-1-4]]			1	ì						١
			Acres. 12,382	Acres. 11,000	1,382	22,287	64,730	75,577	60,184	2,98,142	62,172	19,113	6,704	8-8	
	(Grand Total	32 642	27,858	4,784	48 190	1,04,105	1,12,425	1,00,951	<u> </u>	90,613	90,772	9,539	8.4	1

STATEMENT II.

Statement showing the Efficiency of the Rent Collection in Minors' Estates under Government Management in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions during the year 1920-21.

				Demands			Collections	•]	Balances.		Details o	f balance.	
erial No.	Name of District.	Name of satate.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On ac- count of ar- rears.	Total.	Amount written off.	Converted lato bonds or de- trees.	Others.	Total.	Current,	Afreara	Kemarka,
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	4.5
	,		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Re.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	i		
	Northern	Division.					'		j			}	Ì	j	
	Ahmedabad	Sher Juma Khanji Maho- medk ha n j i (Dholka)	-,-,-	2,915	11,754	311	208	519	•		11,231	11,231	8,528	2,708	
2-4	Do. ·	Total for small estates.	6,042	2,001	8,043	4,346	1,057	5,403	44	••	2,596	2,596	1,652	914	
5-14	Kaira	Do	9,481	3,539	13,020	7,051	. 288	7,339	265		5,416	5,410	2,308	8,108	
15-22	Broach	Do	6,687	778*	7,465	3,839	355	4,194	}	••	3,271	3,271	2,648	423	
23-25	Surat	Do	1,287,	2	1,289	954	2	956	••	••	333	333	333		
	·	Total, N. D	32,336	9,235	41,571	16,501	1,910	18,411	313		22,847	22,847	15,660	7,178	
	Central	Division.	•	. ,	-										
1-2	Ahmednagar	,					1				•	•			
3—8	West Khan- desh	Total for small es-	7,810	1,907	9,717	2,751	446	3,197	20	329	6,171	6,500	1,440	6,060	
9—11	Nasik	tates.										!			
12-13	Sholapur							`	,	ļ			_		
		Total, C. D.	7,810	1,907	9,717	2,751	446	8,197	20	320	6,171	6,500	1,440	5,000	
					}						 				
	Southern	Division.				. `		;				l .			
_1	Belgaum	Sanke s h w a r Math.	11,489		11,489	. 8,921		8,921	486-		2,082	2,082	2,082		
2—19	Belgaum	Дец.			, !				ļ			·	-		
20	Bijapur			•	,			44 050	1 000	8,906	9,665	18,651	11,462	7,189	
1-28	Dharwar	Total for	53,241	10,739	63,980	40,833	3,220	44,053	1,276	6,800	9,003	50,001		"	
29 0-31	Kanara Kolaba	tates.				}			 						
	,_	Total, S. D	64,730	10,739	75,469	49,754	8,220	52,974	1,762	8,996	11,737	20,733	13,544	7,160	
	.	Grand Total	1,04,876	21,881	1,26,757	69,006	5,576	74,582	. 2,095	9,325	40,755	60,080	30,653	19,427	

SNATEMENT III.

Statement showing Progress made in Liquidation of Debts in the Minors' Estates in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions during the Year 1920-21.

		· ·				,	Debts s	ettled du	ing Curre	nt year.	1	Debt by	
Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of estate.	Debts due on assump- tion of charge.	due of debt at the beginning of the		By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of pro- perty.	Rejected by the Man- a ger or Civil Court.	Remitt- ed by credi- tors.	From current income.	Balance.	which it was expect- ed on assum- tion of manage- ment that the es- tate would be clear of debt.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	. 6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Northern	Division.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	'Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
1	Ahmedabad	Sher Juma Khanji Mahomed Khanji (Dholka)	7,693	7,840	7,137	••	••	. :	••	1,078	13,899	•	
2-4	Do	Total for small satates.	80,911	29,669	2,878		•• ,	••	982	2,060	29,505	,	
5—14	Kaira	Do	35,708	22,712	•••		• •	134		605	21,973		
15—22	Broach	Do	6,244	1,616		\ · ••	••			50	1,566	٠٠.	
2325	Surat	Do. ·	799	799		••	••	• • •	••	••	799	• ••	
		Total, N. D.	1,31,355	62,636	10,015			134	982	3,793	67,742		
	Contrat	Division.											
12	Nasik	Total for small estates.	7,893	4,314	218		••		••	615	3,917		The estates in other district and the obs
				-	' ' .	-	٠			٠.			estate in No. district are in from debt.
		Division.		, ,	• •	 		,	-	,	, ,		
	Belgaum Dharwar	Total for small estates.	28,353	22,756	••	••	••,	,		3,643	19,113	•••	
	Kolaba		F .]			ļ .	·				
	, ,	Total	1,67,601	89,708	10,233	•• -	••	134	982	8,051	90,772	•••	

SIND.

J. L. RIEU, Esq., C.S.I., I.C.S., COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

Assumption and relinquishment of estates.—The year opened with 13 estates under management, to which one new estate in the Larkana District was added. As no estate was released, the total number of estates under management at the close of the year was 14.

In no case was the guardianship of the person of a minor with the Collector.

Income.—The total gross income of the estates was Rs. 1,578 as against Rs. 4,882 in the last year. The decrease is mainly due to the fact that the previous year's figures included Rs. 1,893 on account of receipts of sale-proceeds of unnecessary jewellery and surplus live-stock belonging to the minor in the Thar Parkar District and to the recovery of Rs. 896 awarded by Civil Court in a suit filed on behalf of an estate in the Larkana District. There were no such receipts in the year under report.

Expenditure.—The expenditure during the year amounted as under to Rs. 2,434 as against Rs. 2,336 in the preceeding year.

			Total	0.494
Miscellaneous	• •	• •	–	587
Suit expenses	• •	• •	• •	404
Marriage expenses		• •	• •	205
Maintenance	• •		• •	5 54
Government assessment		• •	• •	684
	_			Rs.

Total . . 2,434

Management of immoveable property.—Of the 14 estates under management 10 were leased, one was managed departmentally, one was managed by the minor who is grown up, one remained as before in charge of the minors' uncle who pays the Government assessment and maintains the minors from the income, and the remaining one consists of residential houses which have been leased on rent.

Management of moveable property.—The moveable property of all the wards excepting one in the Thar Parkar District continued in charge of their relations. That of the ward in the Thar Parkar District was as reported last year in charge of the sub-divisional officer.

Liabilities.—The liabilities of the estates under management at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 7,805 which are due by three estates in the Larkana District and one in the Sukkur District.

Owing to lack of funds nothing could be paid during the year under report.

Rducation.—There were in all 18 wards including 12 boys and 6 girls. Of the former, as reported last year, one is unable to study owing to defective eyesight, one is employed as an assistant teacher, one is an agriculturist and of the remaining 9, only 3 are reported to be receiving education.

Litigation.—No new suit was filed during the year. The suit and the appeal referred to in the previous year's report are still pending.

STATEMENT I.

Statement showing the general position of Estates under Government Management in the Province of Sind during the year 1920-21.

91.1	Name of	Name of	Total area of	Cultivat-	Unculti- vated	Total	Land Revenue	Total gross income	Total expendi-	year's	nce ing last balance)	Total liabi-	Cost of	Percent- age of cost on	Remark
Serial No.	Division.	Estate	Estate.		Area.	ment.	demand including cesses.	recover-		Invest-	Un- invested.	lities.	ment.	gross income.	Monat E
1	2	S	4	5	. 6	7	8 /	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	- 16
			Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Re.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
1 to 14	Sind.	Total of small estates.	631	154	477	509	1,139	1,578	2,434	3,700	3,633*	7,805†	••		• Includes 1 account of received 1 Court of W
	· ·	,		<u>;</u>						-	;			,	the time ing up the tate in the District.
`	•			 	,	1		,							† The liabi an estate Larkana Di not shown i
ļ	!		. ,	1	,			,				,	,		year as to not know time of the the estate court of war
	÷				:]					· . ·				

STATEMENT II.

Statement showing the Efficiency of Ren! Collections in Estates under Government Management in the Province of Sind during the year 1920-21.

,			Demand.			Collections.					Balances	•	,	
Seri al No.	Nome of Division,	Name of estate.	Current,	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On ac- count of ar- rears.	Total.	Amount written off	Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	'Total,	Details of balance.	'Remarks.
1	2	8	4	5	. 6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1 to 14	Sind	Tetal of small estates	1,139	200	1,339	929	200	1,129			210	210		

STATEMENT III.

Statement showing Progress made in Liquidation of Debts in Estates under Government Management in the Province of Sind during the year 1920-21.

				,	1	Debts paid off during the year.						Debt by			
Seri ai No.	Name of Division.	Name of estate.	Debta due on assump- tion of charge.	ning of	during the year.	tional debts incurred	By incur- ring fresh debts.	By sale of pro- perty.	Rejected by the Man- ager or Civil Court,	Remit- ted by the creditors.	income.	Balance.	which it was expect- ed on assump- tion of mana- gement that the estate could be cleared off.		Remarks.
1	2	3	4	6	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	18	าน้	14	
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.		32	 -	
1 to 14	Bind	Total of small estates	8,876	7,806		••	٠.,			••	7,805		-		

BOMBAY: PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT CEMTEAL PRESS.

Annual Report on the Administration of Minors' Estates in the Bombay Presidency for the year ending 31st July 1921.

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

Revenue Department.

Resolution No. P.-65.

Secretariat, Fort, Bombay.

Dated the 8th May 1922.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind No. Rev.-2475 dated 11th October 1921.

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D., No. W.R.D.P. R.B.-11-2 dated 16th October 1921.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D., No. W.R.D.P. R.-2 dated 17th October 1921.

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D., No. W.R.D.-29 dated 7th November 1921.

Submitting the Reports on the Administration of Minors' Estates in their respective charges for the year ending 31st July 1921.

RESOLUTION. - Recorded.

By order of the Governor in Council,

SHAMRAO D. MANKAR,

Under Secretary to Government.

To

- * The Commissioner in Sind,
- * The Commissioner, N. D.,
- * The Commissioner, C. D.,
- * The Commissioner, S. D.,
- * All Collectors, including the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,
- * The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind; * The Director of Agriculture,
- * The Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records.
- * The Director of Public Instruction,
- * The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Gov-
- * The Accountant General,
- The Oriental Translator to Government,
- * The Editors' Tables,

* With copies of the Report.

11. Rev. 88

The Government of India, by letter, The Under Secretary of State for India, by letter.

Ml. Rev. 88

Nò.

of 1922.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to