## ADMINISTRATION REPORT

OF THE

# INCUMBERED ESTATES IN SIND

1923-24



BY AUTHORITY

KARACHI
PRINTED AT THE COMMISSIONER'S PRINTING PRESS.
1925

Provided by: www.sanipanhwar.com

Table of contents of the Administration Report for the year 1923-24.

	Sub.	oject.		1	Para.	Page
Introduction		•••	•••		1	1
Personnel		•••			2	iò.
Progress of the depai	tment		•••		3	2
Leases, &c		•••	•••		4	ib.
General results of wo	king, inc	ome and exp	penditure		5	3
Claims	•••	••	•••		6	3 6
Liquidation schemes	***	•••	•••	•••	7	7
Indebtedness	•••	•••	•••	•••	8	8
Takavi	•••	•••	•••	•••	9	9
Private loan	••	•••	•••		10	ib.
itigation	•••	•••	•••	•	11	ıb.
overnment dues	•••	•••	•••		12	to
ost of management	•••	•••			13	13
lanager's tour	•••	. •••	• •••		14	ib.
Records	•••	•••	***	•	15	ið.
Establishment	•••	•••	***	••••	16	ib.
Office building	***	. •••		•	17	ib.
ducation	***	•••	•••	•••	i8	14
General	•••	•••	•••	• • •	19	ıb.
	Annex	KURES,				
Statement showing ca Appendix 1.—Show			 expenditure	of the		15
estate		•••	•••	•••		18
Do. III.—Showi	ng the ge	kavi tr <mark>ans</mark> ad neral result		ms and		20
	ties of the	e est <b>ates</b> result of th	 e working	of the	•••	21
	rtment	***	•••	•••		23
Do. VList o	f suits	***	•••	•••	4	25
Do. VI.—Show	ing the ba	alance outsta	anding on a	account		-3
of Go	vernment	demands	•••	•••!		27

# Provided by: www.sanipanhwar.com

# Below Administration Report of the Incumbered Estates in Sind for the year 1923-24.

No. P-89-R.

## REVENUE DEPARTMENT."

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND,
Government House, Karachi, 5th December 1924.

#### Submitted to Government.

- 2. The number of applications for protection pending disposal at the close of the preceding year was 42, to which 73 new applications were added during the year, as compared with 60 in the previous year. Out of the total of 115 applications, 93, as compared with 49 in the previous year, were disposed of, leaving 22 pending at the close of the year. Of 119 estates under management at the beginning of the year 18, as against 17 in the preceding year, were released-15 under section 27 and 3 under section 19 of the Incumbered Estates Act. Forty-six new estates were taken under-protection, the number of estates at the close of the year being 147.
- 3. Out of the 165 estates that were under management for the whole or a part of the year, 62 were leased to outsiders and 4 to the estate-owners, 5 were managed departmentally, and 50 were partly leased to outsiders or were managed in other ways. As regards the remaining 44 estates, 15 were relinquished before the crops were ready; in one no cultivation was undertaken; in one case management was prohibited by the District Court; in the case of one estate the produce was appropriated by the estate-owner; and 26 came under management after the crops had been removed.
- 4. The gross demand on behalf of the estates amounted to Rs. 7,87,000, which sum included Rs. 70,000 on account of the arrears of the past year. Against the former amount, recoveries totalled Rs. 7,10,000, leaving an outstanding balance of Rs. 77,000 as compared with Rs. 76,000 in the preceding year.

# Provided by: www.sanipanhwar.com

The details of the balance are shown below:-

- (r) Due from estate-owners ... Rs. 2,000
- (2) Under consideration for being written off ,, 10,000 as irrecoverable.
- (3) Due from outside lessees ... ... ,, 35,000
- (4) Minor sums including amounts since ,, 30,000 recovered and postponements given, &c.

Total ... Rs. 77,000

The percentages of recovery from outside lessees and estateowners on account of the current year's dues were 93.4 and 90.5 respectively as compared with 92.8 and 94.02 in the previous year, while the percentage on the total demand from all sources came to 90.2 compared with 88.2 in the previous year.

- 5. The expenditure amounted to Rs. 7,46,000, an increase of Rs. 1,11,000 over the expenditure of the previous year. The chief increase is in the payment of Government demands, proved debts and miscellaneous expenses including maintenance allowance.
- 6. The number of claims filed and settled during the year was 361 and 316 respectively as compared with 62 and 85 in the previous year. The balance of unsettled claims at the close of the year was 48 as against 3 in the previous year.
- 7. Liquidation schemes for 12 estates, compared with 9 in the previous year, were sanctioned during the year, leaving 51 yet to be drawn up.
- 8. The aggregate indebtedness of the estates under management was Rs. 8,65,657. To this were added Rs. 5,41,601 on account of fresh awards on new claims. Out of the total indebtedness amounting to Rs. 14,07,258, Rs. 10,715 were written off owing to the resettlement of an account with a former lessee and estates relinquished under section 19 of Act XX of 1896, and Rs. 1,33,157 were paid, as compared with Rs. 1,06,358 (including rebate secured) in the previous year, leaving a balance of Rs. 12,63,386 at the end of the year.
- 9. The land revenue arrears as shown in the District Officers' revenue administration reports amount to Rs. 32,731 whereas the reports of the Manager and Court of Wards in Sind show

Rs. 34,056. The relatively small difference of Rs. 1,325 does not call for explanation.

The following table compares the Government demand, recoveries and arrears on account of land revenue and takavi for the year under report and the preceding year:—

•		192	3-24.		Percentage
	Demand.	Recoveries	Arrears	Percentage recovered of demand.	collections in 1922-\$3,
Arrears accrued.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	*	
t. Before management-					
(a) Land Revenue	31,182	9,144	22,038	29.3	421.
(ð) Takavi	73,047	13,719	59,328	188	24.4
2.—In the previous years after management—					
Land Revenue	11,840	8,057	3,783	68 04	629
3 -During the year under report-		•			
Land Revenue	r,50,831	1,46,237	4,594	<b>9</b> 6·9	977
Total Land Revenue	1,93,853	1,63,438	30,415	84 3	872
Total Takavi	73,047	13,719	59,328	188	244 -

10. The incidence of the cost of management to income was 8.26 as compared with 8.56 in the previous year.

11. The administration of the estates under Khan Bahadur-Nabi Baksh has been entirely satisfactory.

J. L. RIEU, Commissioner in Sind.

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
REVENUE DEPART

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
BOMBAY.

From

KHAN BAHADUR NABI BAKSH
MAHOMED HUSSAIN, M.A., LL.B.,
MANAGER, INCUMBERED ESTATES IN SIND.

To

THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

SIND INCUMBERED ESTATES' OFFICE, Camp Hyderabad, 1st October 1924.

Subject.—Administration Report for the year 1923-24.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the annual report on the administration of the Incumbered Estates Department for the year ending 31st July 1924.

Personnel. 2. The Department remained in my charge throughout the year under report.

The office of the Assistant Manager remained vacant from 1st August 1923 to 15th August 1923 and again from 30th March 1924 to 6th April 1924. During the remaining period it was held by the following officers:—

- Mr. Rasul Baksh Pir Baksh from 16th August 1923 to 11th September 1923.
- Mr. Bhawandas Fatehchand from 12th September 1923 to 29th March 1924.
- Mr. Nanikram Jethmal from 7th April 1924 to 31st July 1924.

Mr. Ali Baksh Gul Mahomed continued as Deputy Manager incharge of Upper Sind Division throughout the year. The office of Deputy Manager, Lower Sind Division, was held by Mr. Abdul Majid Khan from 1st August 1923 to 4th May 1924 and thereafter upto the end of the year by Mr. Motiram Jamiatrai.

Progress of the department.

3. The number of estates under management was as under:—

At the beginning of the year		119
Relinquished under section 27		15
Relinquished under section 19	• •••	3
		18
		101
Taken up during the year	***	45
Under managment at the close	of the year	146

The total number of estates admitted to protection since the application of Act XX of 1896 was 1,123 out of which 977 have been relinquished. One more estate was also admitted to protection but no notice under section 12 of the Act has been issued in respect of that as the cancellation of the order of management is under consideration. The figures of applications for protection were—

Pending on 1 Received dur	st August 19: ing the year	23 	***	42 73	115
Admitted (fir them are i	nal orders in re n abeyance)	espect of	f one of	46	•••
Rejected	•••	•••	•••	47	
-			•		93
	•	Bala	ince		22

Out of these 10 have since been disposed of, 6 more have been reported upon (2 during the year and 4 after), 6 only remain to be disposed of. All of them were received during the last 3 months of the year under report, and necessary details regarding them called for from the Mukhtiarkars have not yet been received. Out of 47 rejected, 24 were rejected because the applicants were not found to be zamindars under the Act and 9 because they withdrew having settled privately with their creditors and the remaining 14 for other reasons.

4. Out of 165 estates that remained under management for the whole or part of the year—

62 were leased entirely to outsiders.

- 4 were leased entirely to estate owners.
- 5 were managed wholly by the department.

- 1 produce taken away by the estate owners.
- 26 came under management after the crops were removed.
  - 1 no cultivation during the year.
- 15 relinquished before the crops were ready.
- 50 partly leased to outsiders and partly managed in other ways
- management prohibited by District Court except over a small area which is under lease.

165

That the tendency to arrange outside leases continues to be the guiding principle of the Department is clear from the fact that the number of estates leased entirely and partly to outsiders during the year is 112 as against 108 during the preceding year. Departmental management of 5 estates was inevitable as leases could not be arranged of these, 3 were worked on cash rent system. Only one of the estates remained uncultivated as against 3 in the preceding year. This was due to chronic deficiency of water-supply. Sale of the land of this estate has now been arranged and it will soon be discharged. The Manager presided at auctions of leases at 11 centres in Upper Sind and 11 in Lower Sind.

5. In Appendix I are given the details as to the general results of the working of the estates. The aggregate income and expenditure.

of the working of the estates. The aggregate income in the year amounted to Rs. 7,10,090 as against Rs. 5,63,242 in the preceding year, showing the net increase of Rs. 1,46,848. Comparing Appendix I with that submitted last year it is noticed that the items of income showing increase give the total increase of Rs. 1,68,558, and those showing decrease give the total decrease of Rs. 21,710.

The increase is mainly due to the fact that a good number of estates was taken under management during the year. The bulk of increase occurred under the following heads:—

(1)	Compensation for land acquired by		,
	Government	Rs.	1,115
(2)	Miscellaneous, notice fee, percentage, &c.	,,	16,863
(3)	Consideration of leases, given to estate owners adjusted to maintenance	2)	6,702
(4)	Produce taken by estate owners or default made by them adjusted to maintenance	м	2,057
(5)	TO 1 (1		1,02,787
(6)	Accessment on leased lands		20.020

18,121

(7) Amani produce

There has been increase in other minor heads also, which when added to the amounts detailed above, brings the gross amount of increase to Rs. 1,68,558. On the other hand the decrease of Rs. 21,710 is explained as under:—

		•			
(1)	Compensation for land	awarded by	Civil		
` ,	Court decree	•••		Rs.	250
(2)	Payment by estate ow	ners for disc	harge		
	of their estates	•••		13	τ,848
(3)	Pattadari	•••		"	9,227
(4)	Lapo	• • •		,,	1,593
(5)	Produce of lands, give	n in maintei	iance.		
137	adjusted to maintenance	æ		19	4,848
(6)	Assessment on lands,	given in	main-		
` ′	tenance	•••	•••	19	2,106
(7)	Produce enjoyed by less	ees on leases	given		
1,,	before management a	djusted to n	niscel-		
	laneous expenses	•••	•••	"	1,838
		Tota	d	Rs.	21,710

The decrease under heads (3) and (4) is due to the estates, yielding pattadari and lapo, having been discharged. The decreases under other heads need no explanation.

The arrears due to the department at the beginning of the year were Rs. 75,544. Of these Rs. 5,957 were due to the estates relinquished during the year, leaving the net amount of Rs. 69,587 as under:—

Rs.

Rs.

From outside lessees Rs. 40,711 } From other outsiders ,, 10,096 }  From estate owners as lessees 11,384 Due on account of miscellaneous demands 7,396	69,587
Add demands of the year-	J,0 1
From outside lessees Rs. 5,30,865 From other outsiders , 36,261 } 5,67,126	
From estate owners as lessees 34,852	
Due on account of miscellaneous	
demands 1,15,335	
August	7,17,313
Making the total amount for recovery	7,86,900

Out of the arrears the amount recovered was Rs. 26,853 from outside lessees, Rs. 6,833 from other outsiders, and Rs. 383 from estate owners; the amount adjusted to maintenance was Rs. 6,880, leaving the balance still unrecovered Rs. 28,638.

Out of the current year's demands, viz., Rs. 7,17,313, Rs. 6,50,168 were recovered and Rs. 18,973 were adjusted to maintenance, leaving the balance of Rs. 48,172 still to be recovered. Out of the recoveries made Rs. 6,27,405 were recovered from outsiders (outside lessees as well as other outsiders) and Rs. 22,763 from estate owners. Total recoveries and adjustments were thus Rs. 7,10,090 out of Rs. 7,86,900 due for recovery:

The total outstanding balance at the end of the year thus amounted to Rs. 76,810, out of which a sum of Rs. 10,088 has since been recovered and the remainder is explained as under:—

•		Rs.
Recovery stopped under orders of Commissioner Recovery stopped pending sale arrangement o portion of estate lands under orders of Co missioner	f a	3,111
Postponement granted by Manager to outsid	ers	0)
for good reasons		7,128
Amounts under consideration for writing off irrecoverable		
Due on account of assessment for 1923-24 reported by Mukhtiarkars until after the close	not of	10,149
the year	•••	1,051
Due from estate owners  Due from estate owners, which have to be recoup	 ped	2,010
from their cash maintenance allowance	•••	3,953
Due from outsiders, under process of recovery	•••	34,775
Total	•••	66,722

The amount due from estate owners is very small being Rs. 2,010 as against Rs. 5,235 of the preceding year. As it is usually difficult to recover such arrears, most of the amount will have in the end to be adjusted to estate owners' maintenance.

The percentage of recovery and adjustments out of current year's demands to such demands due from outside lessees was 93'4 as against 92'8 of the last year; that of recovery and adjustment to similar demands due from estate owners was 90'5 as against 94'02 of the last year; and that of recoveries and adjustments to total demands from all sources was 90'2 as against 88'2 of the last year.

On the whole there is an increase of 2 per cent. in the amount of recoveries which will appear satisfactory. Every possible endeavour is being made by this department to effect recoveries, but in this respect, it has entirely to depend upon outside agency.

The total expenditure of the department, as will appear from Appendix I, amounts to Rs. 7,45,531 as compared with Rs. 6,34,735 in the previous years. The chief items of increase are Rs. 10,200 in Government demands, Rs. 42,650 in maintenance allowance, Rs. 54,618 in miscellaneous expenses, Rs. 27,671 in proved debts and Rs. 550 in private loans. Those of decrease are Rs. 16,411 under cultivation expenses, Rs. 2,200 under Government loans and Rs. 6,282 under cost of management. Thus the net increase comes to Rs. 1,10,796.

The following figures show the result of the three forms of management:—

(1) Income from leases—		
(1) Lease money	R	ls. 3,91,862
(2) Assessment	•••	,, 1,37,006
(2) Income from lands given to est owners for maintenance—	ate	
(1) Adjusted to maintenance	•••	, 512
(2) Assessment	•••	,, 159
(3) Gross produce of lands managed departmentally—	ged	
(1) Net profits	•••	,, 28,527
(2) Cultivation expenses	•••	,, 96
(3) Assesment	•••	,, 10,703

Percentage of net profit realised to Government assessment under the three kinds of management are Rs. 282, 322 and 266 as compared with Rs. 247, 237 and 115 of the last year.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	No.	Amount. Rs.
Claims pending at the beginning of the year.	3	10,770
•	353	9,47,068
New claims filed in respect of estate No. 1077, which was thrown out un- der section 19	8	16,266
	364	9,74,104

•	No.	Amount. Rs.
Deduct—		
(a) Decided on which Rs. 5,39,101 were awarded 5,39,101	308	8,28,367
(b) Decided on which Rs. 8,720 were awarded. But they were written off owing to estates having been		
thrown out under section 19	8	16,266
	316	8,44,633
Balance	48	1,29,471

Out of 48 claims shown as balance 39 claims did not become ripe for hearing during the year. Four claims pertain to an estate which has since been thrown out under section 19, one claim is in connection with a pre-existing lease and has been postponed from time to time owing to the persistent absence of the estate owner. The final hearing has however been fixed for the 15th October The remaining 4 claims have been postponed sine die as these are alleged by the estate owner to be connected with some sale transaction in respect of which filing of a suit on behalf of the estate is under contemplation. Thus every endeavour has been made to dispose of the claims during the year. The number of claims heared during the year is a record one during the laste 20 years with the exception of 1916-17. But the amount involved in the claims during the year under report, viz., Rs. 8,44,633 is unparalleled in the entire history of the department. Seven appeals were preferred during the year under report of which 6 were rejected and in one the decision of my predecessor was modified.

7. Out of 146 estates now under management liquidation schemes for 83 were sanctioned in the previous years and schemes for 12 were sanctioned during the year under report leaving a balance of 51 as compared with 21 shown in the last year's report. For 4 estates sanction has been applied for. The balance of 47 is explained as under:—

Pending settleme	ent of income	on arrangem	ent of	
lease	***	•••	•	I
Pending decision can be settled	of civil suit a	after which	income	ī
Estates taken liquidation sch	up within the	year from	which	45

Three revised liquidation schemes were sanctioned during the year. For one estate sanction has been applied for.

0 (71)	Rs.	Rs.
8. The aggregate indebtedness of the estates under management upto the opening of the year was  Add—	•	8,65,657
<ul> <li>(a) Further awards on claims in respect of estates under management at the close of the year</li> <li>(b) Award modified by the Commissioner in Sind in an appeal</li> </ul>	5,39,101	
lodged by the appellant	2,500	
	5,41,601	
Deduct—		
Amount written off owing to resettle- ment of an account with the former lessee in the claim filed against estate No. 1072	26.1	
against estate No. 10/2	264	5,41,337
Total		14,06,994
Deduct-		
Amount written off owing to estates relinguished under section 19	10,451	•
Paid during the year Rebate secured	1,33,157	
·		1,43,608
Indebtedness at the end of the year		12,63,386

No efforts were spared to reduce indebtedness. The amount paid exceeds that paid in the previous year by Rs. 27,471. The balance of indebtedness, however, exceeds that shown in the previous year by a little less than 4 lakhs. This is due to the heavy liability of over 5 lakhs added within the year, on account of a large number of estates having been admitted to protection, and can be paid off only gradually.

9. Details of takavi loans are shown in Appendices II and IV.

The balance of Rs. 450 outstanding at the end of last year with the amount due for recovery during the year has been paid up and clean sheet presented at the end of the year.

Private loans. 10. The balance due by the estates at the beginning for the year was Rs. 20,925.

#### Add—

(a) Ar	nount	borrowed	during	the	year	•••	Rs.	•••

(b) Interest accrued during the year ... , 1,108

Deduct paid with interest ... -... , 4,908

Balance still due ... ... Rs. 17,123

The above balance bears interest as under:—

Rs 2,900 at 4 per cent.

" 625 at 5 per cent.

" 13,600 at 6 per cent.

Total ... Rs. 17,125

The percentage of repayments to outstandings was 28.65 as against 21.39 in the previous year. The result would however have been still better were it not for the fact that the amount of Rs. 3,600 which was borrowed for payment of 2nd and 3rd class debts, could not be repaid, except in due course of liquidation.

Litigation under report was 13. One fresh suit was filed during the year, and in one more, which had been filed against an estate owner before coming under management, the Manager was joined as co-defendant. The total number of suits was therefore 15 as shown in Appendix V. In one of the two fresh suits the Manager was the defendant and in one he was plaintiff.

Out of the 15 suits, one was withdrawn by the plaintiff, and one concerned an estate which was discharged within the year under report. The balance at the end of the year was thus 13.

Government dues.

12. The arrears due to Government were as under:—

#### I.—Arrears before management—

(1)	Government	Revenue—	•
-----	------------	----------	---

Balance outstanding as shown last year. Rs	14,362	
Deduct-Corrections since notified "	983	•
		13,379
\ m \ '		

# (2) Takavi—

Balance of last year	•••	Rs.	51,060	
Add—Corrections since notified	•••	"	1,275	
			<del></del>	52,335
Total balance payable	•••			65,714
Paid-to Government revenue	•••	Rs.	7,843	
Takavi	•••	35	12,949	
	,			20,792

44,922

#### Fresh demands on account of new estates-

Governm	ent revenue		Rs.	17,803	
Takavi	•••	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20,712	
•			,,,	38,515	
Paid	.•••	***	••• ,,	2,071	36,444
•			,,		
٠			Total Rs.	•••	81,366

Government revenue	Rs. 22,038
Takavi	,, 59,328 ———— 81,366

Per last year		f payment i	s 2'9 as con	npared wit	h 29° <b>2</b> .rej	oorted .
II.—Arr	ears durin	g managem	ent (Govern	ment reve	nue only)	<b>-</b>
	Opening b		 e notified	Rs.	4,489	,
!	Paid durin	g the year		•	Rs	11,840 8,057
				Balance	e Rs.	3,783
Pe last yea		of payment	is 68 <sup>.</sup> 04 as <b>c</b>	ompared v	vith <b>62</b> ·9 re	eported
III.—C	urrent de for the y		overnment r	evenue	Rs. 1	,50,831
F	Paid			•••	,, I	,46,237
				Balance	Rs.	4,594
	ercentage is year.	of payment	is 969 as	compared	with 97.7	of the
IV.—J	amrao exp	enses—				
•	Opening Add—Co		 nce notified		377 377 R	.s. 3,142
	Paid	•••	***	•••	••• ;	, 1,158
		i.		Balaı	nce R	s. 1,624

Percentage of payment under this head is 48.3 as against 55.3 of the last year.

These figures are on the whole satisfactory. The only unsatisfactory result is noticeable under the head "Arrears before management." But this is due to the fact that as much as Rs. 38,515 were added on account of new estates taken under protection during the year.

The balance of Rs. 4,594 unpaid out of the current demand is explained as under:

Postponement allo	wed by reve	nue authorities	•••	Rs.	59
Since paid	•••	•••	•••	);	358
Due by amani esta	.tes	•••	•••	<b>)</b> )	436
Due from estate	owners	• • •	•••	,,	1,025
Due from outsiders	S	101	•••	"	2,719
		Tota	.1	Rs.	4.504

Unauthorized arrears under this head thus amount to Rs. 4,180 only.

The amount of arrears of Government revenue under all heads is Rs 30,415 as against Rs. 21,713 in the previous year. This increase is due to Rs. 17,803 having been demanded on account of new estates, against Rs 8,374 demanded in the previous year. Out of the amount of Rs. 30,415, Rs. 24,998 are under postponement leaving Rs. 5,417 as unauthorized arrears. Out of these Rs. 4,180 fall under current demand for which postponement could not be asked for owing to want of timely intimations from the Mukhtiarkars.

Under Jamrao expenses arrears amount to Rs. 1,624 as against Rs. 2,765 of the previous year.

The figures of arrears received from Mukhtiarkars have been compiled and these show Rs. 1,27,981 as against Rs. 91,367 appearing in the books of this department the net difference of Rs. 36,614 is explained as under:—

				Ks.	Ks.
Land revenue	•••		+	25,633	
Takavi	•••		+	17,671	
Non-jamabandi items	•••	***	+	3,866.	
					+47,170
Land revenue	***	•••	_	2,130	
Takavi	***	•••	-	8,389	
Non-jamabandi items	• • • •		_	37	•
					-10,556
		24 1 4 4 7 7			

Net difference ...

The net difference of Rs. 36,614 may be explained as including Rs 4,173 paid by the Manager at the close of the year but not brought into accounts by the Mukhtiarkars, Rs. 10,788 on account of new estates not notified before, Rs. 16,806 on account of old estates not demanded before and Rs. 4,847 demanded on account of Takavi and interest not notified before.

- Cost of management charged during the year 1922-23 was Rs. 49,283 as against Rs. 55,565 charged in previous year. The total cost of the joint Departments, the Incumbered Estates and the Court of Wards was Rs. 92,263 as against Rs. 87,280 in the previous year. The excess of about Rs. 5,000 is chiefly due to about Rs. 900 more paid on account of the Manager's salary, about Rs. 1,700 more paid on account of Assistant Manager's salary and about Rs. 2,300 more on account of the Deputy Managers' salaries, the last paid on account of the revised scheme of Mukhtiarkars coming into operation during the year. Even then the incidence of cost of management to income was 8'26 as against 8'56 in the previous year. The fall in the incidence is due to the increase in income. It is hoped that during the next year there will be still a further fall.
  - 14. A list of the Manager's camps is appended. The Manager inspected 28 estates in 43 dehs during the year and remained out of Headquarters for 175 days.
    - Records. 15. The destruction of the record due for the year under report was duly attended to.
- satisfactorily on the whole and bore the burden of increased work cheerfully. Service in the Department does not attract the right type of recruits with the result that vacant clerical appointments remained unfilled for months. This matter however is engaging my attention and will be dealt with separately.
- office building.

  Same accommodation as in the previous year.

  The office of the Deputy Manager, Lower Sind, is also accommodated in the same building. The Deputy Manager, Upper Sind, holds his office at Sukkur in the same rooms as in the previous year.

18. The education of minor estate owners or minors dependent on the estate owners, continued to receive attention. The following summary indicates the general result:—

(1) Under school going age	34
(2) Over school going age ·	24
(3) Doing cultivation, looking after cattle and	•
household affairs	90
(4) In Government service	6
(5) Not attending school—	
(1) No school being available in their	
vicinity	2
(2) Without sufficient reasons	2
(6) Studying in schools	63
(7) Studying in Mulla schools or under private	
tuition	14
·	235

have been good. Though the season of 1923-24 was normal there has been progress all round. The prospects of the current year 1924-25 are promising except in tracts which have been affected by floods. The late rise of the Indus caused anxiety in the beginning of the season but timely rains and the steadiness of the river later on upto the end of the season have been helpful.

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your most obedient servant,

N. B. MAHOMED HUSSAIN, Manager, Incumbered Eastates in Sind. List of camps of the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, for the year ending 31st July 1924.

Month,	Date.	Camp.
August 1923	1st to 13th 14to 16th 17th to 31st	Hyderabad Casual leave Hyderabad.
September 1923	'ist to 8th 9th 10th 11th 12th to 14th 15th to 18th 19th to 30th	Karachi.
October 1923	Ist to 6th 7th to 8th 9th Ioth Ioth Iith Iith Iith Iith Ioth Ioth Ioth Ioth Ioth Ioth Ioth Io	Talhar (taluka Tando Bago) Hyderabad Sukkur.
November 1923	1st 2nd to 4th 5th to 6th 7th 8th to 10th 11th 12th 13th 14th to 17th	Hyderabad Mirpurkhas Sanghar Jhol (taluka Sinjhoro) Hyderabad Berani (taluka Shahdadpur) Tando Adam Tando Adam to Hyderabad Hyderabad.

Month.	Date.		Camp.
November 1923	18th to 19th	•••	Laki (mahal Manjhand).
_		• • •	Sehwan.
			Leave.
·	26th to 27th		Dadu.
			Sita road (taluka Kakar).
	<b>3</b> oth		Sita road to Hyderabad.
December 1923			Hyderabad.
	3rd to 12th		Casual leave.
	13th to 14th		Hyderabad. •
			Hyderabad to Karachi.
ļ	_	- 1	Karachi.
			Hyderabad.
ļ	23rd to 31st .	•••	Christmas holidays.
January 1924			Christmas holidays.
			Hyderabad.
i			Shadi Pali (taluka Samaro)
	_		Hyderabad.
		- 1	Tando Mahomed Khan.
			Hyderabad.
			Shahdadpur.
			Nawabshah.
; 	24th to 25th .	•••	Pad Idan (taluka Nau-
	26th		shahro).
			Hyderabad.
	-O.L		Hyderabad to Larkana. Larkana.
	2011 10 3151 .	••!	Lai Kalla.
February 1924			Larkana.
			Casual leave.
,	_	• • •	Shikarpur.
	13th .	•••	Shikarpur to Jacobabad and back.
	• • • h • a • • • • h		
<u>.</u>	14th to 17th		Shikarpur. • Sukkur.
	18th to 27th 28th to 29th		Pano Akil.
/	zom to zym .	•	1 and Ann.
March 1924	ıst .	•••	Pano Akil.
-	2nd .	• • •	Rohri.
	3rd to 4th .		Pir-jo-Goth (taluka Rohri.)
	0 1	•	•

Month.		Ddte.		- Camp.
March 1924		6th to 14th 15th		Jacobabad. Tajodero (taluka Jacob- abad.)
		16th to 17th 18th	•••	Larkana. Larkana to Naudero and
		19th to 25th		back. Larkana (22nd public holiday).
•		26th		Larkana to Khairpur Jusso and back. Shikarpur.
	ļ	27th 28th to 31st		Hyderabad.
April 1924	•••	1st to 6th 7th to 18th 19th 20th	•••	Hyderabad. Karachi. Hyderabad. Hyderabad to Shikarpur.
		21st to 27th 28th to 30th		Shikarpur. Sukkur.
May 1924		1st to 3rd 4th 5th to 10th 11th 12th to 24th 25th 26th to 31st	•••	Sukkur. Sukkur to Hyderabad. Hyderabad. Hyderabad to Sukkur. Sukkur. Sukkur to Jacobabad and Jacobabad to Hyderabad. Hyderabad.
June 1924	•••	1st to 2nd 3rd 4th to 9th 10th	•••	Hyderabad. Hyderabad to Karachi. Karachi. Karachi to Hyderabad. Hyderabad.
July 1924	. ••	11th to 30th 1st 2nd		. Hyderabad. . Hyderabad to Karachi and
		3rd to 11th 12th to 14th 15th to 19th 20th to 31st	٠.	back.  Hyderabad  Idd holidays.  Leave in lieu of holidays.  Hyderabad.

# APPENDIX I.

			Rs	Rs.
Balance at the close of the last year	` <b></b>	•••	•••	53,035
Produce during the year (1)	•••	•••	7,10,090	
Takavi advanced by Government culturists' and Land Improvement I	under Loans A	Agri-	, 1,000	
Private loans raised	•••	•••	• • • • • •	•
Refund of cultivation expenses	•••		30,510	
Refund of management expenses	previous	sly paid		
from the Manager's account		***	45,000	7,86,600
			•	
Total Receipts including last	year's t	alances	•••	8,39,635
Expenditure on account of Govern	nment d	emands.		
		Rs.		
Arrears before management	•••	22,863		
Arrears accrued during management	•••	8,057		
Current year		1,46,237		
Jamrao expenses	•••	1,518	0.6	
•	_		1,78,675	
Maintenance to estate owners	٠	•••	1,50,442	
Cultivation expenses •	•••	•••	13,398	
Miscellaneous expenses as per reverse (2)	details	on the	1,70,326	
Repayment of takavi advanced by Go	overnme	nt under	4	
the Agriculturists and Land Imp	rovemen	it Loans	1,450	
Acts	•••	•••	3,800	
Repayment of private loans		•••	•	
Proved debts discharged	•••	••	1,33,157	
Cost of management for 1922-23	. ***	***	49,283	
Do. do. 1923-24	•••	•••	45,000	
1	Fotal exp	penditure	•••	7,45,531
Balance on 31st July 1924	•••	***	•••	94,104
(1) Is made up as under:—				
Sales of land			8,233	
Compensation for land acquired by			1,115	
Compensation for land awarded	by Ci	vil Court		
decree	•••	•••		

## APPENDIX I-contd.

		•			Rs.	Rs.
(1) Is made 1	ıp as undei	-concld.				
Payments :	made b <b>y t</b> h	e estate own	iers		9,625	
Patedari	•••	•••	***		*****	
Old debts	•••	•		•••	******	
Yomio	•••		•••	•••	1,200	
Lapo	•••	•••	***	•••		•
		st, notice fee	, percen	tage, sale		
of trees,			***	. •••	38,945	
	on of lease to mainten	s given to th ance	e estati	e owners	15,912	
Produce of	land given	in maintena	nce	do	512	
Assessment	t of land gi	ven in maint	enance	***	159	
Produce ta made by	ken away them adiu	by estate ested to main	owners ( tenance	or default	9,429	
•	-	essees on lea			\$11.5	
manager	nent adju	sted to m			•	
penditur		•••	•••	•••	56,766	
Produce of	leases	•••	•••	•••	3,91,862	
Assessmen	t on leased	estates	•••	•••	1,37,006	
Amani p	roduce					
Asses	sment	•••	•••	10,703	•	
Cultiv	ation exper	ises	•••	• 96		
Net p	-			28,527		
•				<u> </u>	39,326	
(2) Details of	f miscellan	eous expend	iture :			7,10,090
		repairs to h and other p			68,678	. *
Balances g ment	iven to the	estate own	ers on r	elinquish-	16,338	
Construction	on of and	repairs to sl	uices	•••	960	
Suit expen	ses	4++		•••	1,052	
Interest on	proved de	bts			6,256	
Interest on	private loa	ins			1,108	•
Interest on	Governme	nt loans			344	
Expenditur tuition	e in conn	ection with	estato	owners	- -	
•	on and stan	nps			539	
		ormer lessee:	s	***	32 56,766	
	boundary i		·	•••	30,700	
-	ion given t		•••	•••	1,968	•
Compensar	6.TUIL	- 1033003	•••	•••	1,900	

#### APPENDIX I-concld.

				Rs.	Rs.
(2) Details of miscellane	ous exp	enditure—conci	d.		
Purchase of land and	Malkano	·	•••	1,600	
Garden and other cult	ivation e	expenses	•••	4,455	
Other petty items and	maps	•••	•••	663	
Construction of building	ngs in N	awabshah	•••		•.
Jail expenses	•••	····	•••	• 95	
Amount paid to an	outside	sharer under	Civil		
Court decree		***		82	
Produce to co-sharers	•••	• •••		8,850	
					1,70,326

N. B. MAHOMED HUSSAIN, Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

#### APPENDIX II.

# Government loan for takavi.

Balance at the close of the last year		Rs. 450	Rs.
Fresh advances during the year	•••	1,000	1,450
Deduct—			1,430
Repayment during the year	•••	•••	1,450
Balance still due to Government	۸.	•••	Nil.

N. B. MAHOMED HUSSAIN, Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

#### APPENDIX III.

## I.—ESTATES REPORTED ON UNDER SECTION 17.

		No. o										Lia	biliti	<b>e</b> s.			•	Ţ,	
	u	whic nder m	man ent.	nage-	by the		•		Tota	l of	claim	s r <b>e</b> g	ister	ed und	er sec	tions	12 :	and 14.	
District.		Upto 1922-23.	3-24.		As estimated b	on 6.			to en:				In 19	23-24.				Total.	
•		Upto	Ju 1923-24.	Total.	As es	secti	No		Áπ	10 <b>U</b> U	t.	No.		Amour	ıt.	No.		Amo	int.
Hyderabad Nawabshah Thar Parkar Larkana Sukkur Upper Sind From		79 197 59 69, 243 188		79 197 59 62 243 188	1,09 2,27 14,19 1,83	368	}	1 7 6 5	:	74, 7,12, 1,60, 2,76, 5,65, 2,35,	705 069 742 975 716	 66		2,75	,000 ,462	67 252 147 66 441 220		1 2 8 2	74,924 ,23.705 ,60.068 ,75,742 41,437 ,35,716
tier Total	-	927	<u></u>	927	25,53	.165	117	5 : 		1,78,  2,04,				2.86	,462	1238	- -		,78,017 ,90,609
	T		Liab	ilities	-con	clá.	1	Ī	_	-			Deb	ots disc	harge	d,	!		
				awai	ded b					pto e 1922-	- nd o: 23.	f		In 192	3-24.			· Tota	al.
District.		Upt end 1922-	of		n 3-24.	То	ital.		mou		Amo fo whi settle	r ich		ount rded.	Amo fo whi settle	r ich		mount arded.	Amount for which settled.
Nawabshah Thar Parkar Larkana		39,: 3,92, 83,: 1,89.: 3,44,: 1,34,: 85,:	174   144   522   91 <b>9</b>		3,236 3,452	4,0 8 1,8 5,5 1,3	9,269 5,456 3,174 9,144 8,074 4,919 5,098	1	22 9 78,0 26,8 51,3 56 9 49,8 49,1	07 97 56 02 51	77 26 49 1.56 49	.947 .722 .724 .603 .080 .851 .835	6 1 2 1	2.653 8,372 6,518 3,106 1,074 5,267 6,167	68 6 13 21 15	,653 ,372 ,518 ,106 ,074 ,267	1.	25,585 46,379 33,415 64,462 77,976 65,118 55,326	24,600 1,46,094 33,242 62,709 1,77,154 65,118 52,003
Total .	1	2,68,	146	2,20	5,688	14,9	5,134	1	,35,1	04	4,27	,762	1,3	3,157	1,33	,157	5	,68,261	5,60,919
		ained due to	st July 1923.	aining due to	on sist july	Ĭ	n 192		Арр			23-24			es reli under tion 2	sec-	sh-	ing	remain- under gement.
District.		Amount that remained due to	creditors on 31s	Amount still remaining due to	1924.	Modified.	Remanded.	Rejected.	Total.	Modified.	Remanded.	Rejected.	Total.	upto 1922-23.	In 1923-24.	1000	LOCAL.	Upto end of 1922-23.	At the end of 1923-24.
Hyderabad Nawabshah Thar Parkar Larkana Sukkur Upper Sind Fro		3,14, 56, 1,37, 1,87, 85,	277 788 720 068	2.59 4! 1.2- 3.86	3.684 9.077 9.759 4.682 9.891	"ī		  1	ï	- i	! ! <b>!!!</b> ! <b>!!!</b>	i i	2	71 180 45 57 213 165	1 2 2 3	2	72 82 49 59 17 66	8 17 14 12 30 23	15 10 10 26
tter Total .	-	.د. .دولا	939 342		0,772 0,573	 1		···	2		<del></del>	2	3	817	1:	-,	87 32	110	-
	1		_	_						1		1 -		1	<u> </u>	- 1 0	4	111	1 33

# APPENDIX III-concld.

#### II .- ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT, BUT NOT REPORTED ON.

.,									Liabilit	ues.						-
	No. 0 which under n	b ca	me lage-	by the under		Tot	al of c	aims	register	ed unde	r sect	ions 1	2 an	d 14.		
District.	Up to 1922-23.	3-24.		estate-owners section 6.	t	Jp to e 1922		•	In 19	23-24.			Т	otal		-
	Up to	In 1923-24.	Total.	As es estat secti	No.	As	nount.		10.	Amount	. 1	No.		Amo	unt.	_
Karachi Hyderabad Nawabshah Thar Parkar Larkana Sukkur Upper Sind Frontier.	7 43 15 10 27 34 16	4 4 3 4 17 11 2	11 46 18 14 44 45 18	138,845 110,375 37,395 37,948 174,884 568,581 76,225	1 6 3		1,28 8,116 11,32	7   1 6   1 3   1	19 10 7 6 05 18	77,01 94,45 18,79 14,36 1,43,89 2,36,44 75,52	91 94 52 97 1 67 1	20 11 13 9 05 20 21	•	1	77,57; 95,77; 26,91; 25,68; 43,89; 5,10; 75,57;	5
Total	151	. 45	196	11,44,25	13		34,91	4 2	86	6,60,6	06 5	299		6	.95.52	5
AND TOTAL	1,078	45	1123	36,97,418	1,184	2	2,39,06	1 3	53	9,47,0	68 1	,537		31	,86,12	,
			Liab	oilities—ee	mld.		CI	aims 1	remaini	ng undi	sposed	of		To	al	-
District.		τ		warded l	y the		At	the en	nd of	In	1923-5	24.		nount	Amou for whice	
	Up t of 19			In 1923-24.	To	otal.	No	. A	mount	No.	Απ	מעסם	1 6	war- led.	settl	
Karachi Hyderabad Nawabshah Thar Parkar Larkana Sukur Upper Sind Fron	1	3,125 8,497 0,245	'	18,365 47,201 3,173 5,180 71 484 1,43,013 26,234	1: 7	8,365 7,201 6,:94 3,677 1,484 3,258 6,234		.		8 1 5 2 12 10 10	20	1,016 1,700 2,622 680 0,333 9,056 4,064			! :	 •• ••
Total	2	1,86		3,14,649	3,3	6,513				48	1,2	9,471	-		_	
GRAND TOTAL	12,	90,31	.0	5,41,337	18,3	1,647	<b>-</b>	-			_		_	•••		
Plania	emained due to		remaining due ors on 31st July		In 192	2-23.	Арре	eals.	In 19	23-24.		line	ates quish inder	ed	Esta rema ins und mana mer	in- ( er ke-
District	Amount that remained of		Argount still remain to the creditors on 3	Modified.	Remanded.	Rejected.	Total.	Modified.	Remanded.	Rejected.	Total,	Upto 1922-23.	In 1933-24.	Total.	Upto end of 1932-23.	At the end of 1923-24.
Karachi Hyderabad Nawabshah Thar Parkar Larkana Sukkur Upper Sind Fron						 1	i			- 2 2 	2 2	6 40 13 8 27 32 16	ï	6 41 13 9 27 33 16	1 2 2 3	5 5 5 17 18 2
tier.		-		-		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-			<u> _</u>	_		_	_
Total	<del>  -</del>	-				1 2	- <del>1</del>	1	-	- 6	4-7	959	18	977	119	51 14
				1 -					1			1	1_			

Note. - The difference from the figures of 1922-23 is due to the omissions of the figures of estates relinquished.

N. B. MAHOMED HUSSAIN,

Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

#### APPENDIX IV.

Statement showing the results of the working of the Sind Incumbered Estates up to 31st July 1923 distinguising the results arrived at up to 31st July 1923 from those of the current year 1923-24 ending 31st July 1924.

						•			
			for whi agemen d			f which Mas ceased.	anage-		
District.	1923.				der under tion 19.	By orde section		27. maine	
•	Upto 31st July 1923.	la 1923-24.	Total.	Upto 31st July	In 1923-24.	Upto 31st July 1983.	In 1923-24.		nagement 31st July 1923.
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9
Karachi Hyderabad Nawabshah Thar Parkar Larkana Sukkur Upper Sind Frontier	86 239 74 79 270 222 108	4 4 3 4 17 11	90 243 77 83 287 233	6 40 13 8 27 32	I	71 180 45 57 213 165 86	1 2 4 2 4 1		.9 19 16 • 14 30
Total .	1,078	45	1,12	3 142	3	817	15		119
	No. of estates which		Total a awarde credit	ed to	Amou	nt paid.	Amo	unt	settled.
District.	remained under manage- ment on 31st July 1924.		Upto 31st July 1923.	In 1923-24.	Upto 31st July 1923.	In 1923-24.	Upto 31st July 1923.		In 1923-24.
1	10		11	12	13	14	15		16
Karachi  Hyderabad  Nawabshah  Ihar Parkar  Arkana  Jukkur  Jpper Sind Frontier	12 20 15 15 43 34 7	3 1 3	39,269 92,220 86,296 97,641 44,622 45,164 85,098	18,365 60,437 3,172 5,180 284,936 143,013 26,234	21,947 77,722 26,724 49,603 156,080 49,851 45,835	2,653 68,372 6,518 13,106 21,074 15,267 6,167	22,0 78,0 26,5 51,0 156,0 49,0	397 356 302	2,653 68,372 6,518 13,106 21,074 15,267 6,167
Total	146	12,	90,310	541,337	427,762	133,157	435,1	104	133,157

#### APPENDIX IV-concld.

	Amount	Amou	nt i	Ace	agricult	Governmentists loan	18 Act, an	d the la	er the
District.	which remained due to creditors on 31st July 1923	paid to creditors on 31st		Amount outstanding on 31st July 1923.	Advances in 1923-24.	Interest accruing on 31st July 1924.	Total advances with interest.	Amount repaid in 1923-24.	Amount written off owing to estates re-
1	17	18	. i	19	20	21	22	23	24
Karachi	16,337 314,215 59,399 146,285 187,726 95,315 35,939	3 306,3 5 56,6 138,5 451,3 223,6 5 56,	278 253 359 582 259 266	450	1,00	-	145 593 1,056 	145 593 1,056	
		Account of private loans.							
District.	on 3151	Amount outstand- ing on 31st July 1923.	i	ance a	Interest ceruing on 1st July 1924.	Total advances with interest.	Amount repaid in 1923-24.	ing or	d- ly o
1 -	25	26	2	7	28	29	30	31	32
Karachi Hyderabad Nawabshah Thar Parkar Larkaoa Sukkur Upper Sind Frontier	  	825  1,600 2,000 4.500 1,400 10,600			44  54 80 242 46 642	869  1,654 .2,080 4,742 1,446 11,242	244  1,654 80 942 546 1,442	3,80 9,80	0
Total		20,925			1,108	22,033	4,908	17,12	5

<sup>•</sup> Amount remaining due to the creditors on 31st July 1923 as shown in the last year's report was Rs. 8,65,657 of which Rs. 10,451 have been written off as the estates against which they were due have been relinquished under section 19.

N. B. MAHOMED HUSSAIN, Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind.

#### APPENDIX V.

List of suits instituted by or against the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind, for the year ending 31st July 1924.

Serial No.	Name of Plaintiff,	Name of defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
1	Mirza Ali Madad Beg.	Manager /	Suit for accounts Declaration and injunction.	Withdrawn by the plaintiff.
2	Mohanlal and Khasturi Chand.	Manager and estate owner Nahi Baksh Bhambhro estate No. 991.	sion of lands	Distt. Court,
3	Seth Jethomal and others.	Faiz Mahomed and others Estate No. 1031, Manager as pro forma party.	Partition and mesne prifits.	Pending in Distt. Court, Larkana.
4	Manager represent- ing the estate of Mahomed Saleh No. 906.	Ghulam Mustafa and others.	Do	Pending in Distt. Court, Sukkur.
5	Do	Khuda Baksh and others.	Do	Do.
6	• Do	Ali Mahomed and others.	Do	Do.
7	Do	Ghulam Mustafa and others.	Do	Do.
8	Do	Khuda Baksh and others.	Pattition and possession of lands.	Do.
9	Kherajmal and others.	Mir Mahomed and others Estate No. 335 and Manager.		Pending in the Distt. Court, Larkana.
10	Nawab Ghulam Rasul Khan.	Nawab Ghulam Hyder Khan, Estate No. 1033, and Ma- nager.	Suit for parti- tion and ac- counts.	Estate discharged in 1923-24.
11.	Mir Mahomed Baksh Khan, Estate No. 1058, and Manager.	Hemandas	Suit for recovery of profits.	Pending in Distt. Court, Hyderabad.

#### APPENDIX V-concld.

List of suits instituted by or against the Manager Incumbered Estates in Sind for the year ending 31st July 1924.

Serial No.	Name of plaintiff.	Name of defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
12	MirAbdullah Khan, Estate No. 1048 and Manager.		Suit for recovery of debts.	Pending in Distt. Court, Hyderabad.
13	Seth Santdas	Mir Abdullah Khan, Estate No. 1048 and Manager.		Do.
14	Bachal Khan Laghari, Estate No. 1073 and Manager.		Redemption of mortgage of arable land.	
15	Phatumal and Khajumal,	Pir Ghulam Hyder Shah, Estate No. 1075 and Manager.	sion of land.	Pending in the Court of Judicial Com- missioner of Sind.

N. B. MAHOMED HUSSAIN, Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind. Statement showing the balance on account of Government demands on 31st July 1923, amount claimed, amount paid during the year and the balance outstanding on 31st July 1924.

			Government dues payable by estates before they came under management.										Arrears accrued by estates during management.		`	
	District.	,		Balance on 31st July 1923 as shown					Amount demanded by the revenue authorities in 1923-24 on account of new estates.				Balance outstan- ding on 31st July 1923 as	Balance outstan- ding on 31st July	Amount deman- ded for 1923-24.	Total demands (total of columns 0, 10, 12 and 13).
			,	in the Admini- stration Report.	Govern- ment arrears.	Takavi including interest.	jamrao expenses.	Total	Govern- ment arrears.	Takavi including interest,	Jamrao expenses.	Total.	shown in the Admini- stration Report.	ig23 as now correc- ted.		
	ı			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Ks.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.		Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Re.
Karachi	•••		١	12,;88	3,433	9,586		13,019	<b>3,</b> 076	2,234		7,310	262	263	6,814	27,406
Hyderabad			•••	3,3 ;8	1,811	445		2,256	530			530	1,462	3,072	17,362	23,220
Nawabshah			•••	15,303	640	12,284	3,142	16,066		598		598	3,356	3,305	21,538	41,507
Thar Parkar	***			12.540	1,930	10,647		12.577	1,156	1,700		2,856	1,789	1,747	17,019	34,199
l.arkana			•••	22,483	4.683	17,031		21,714	1,673	5,710		7,383	74	2,946	34,175	66,218
Sukkur	•••			2,715	882	2,342	•••	3,224	9,188	10,470	•	19,658	155	254	28,246	51,382
Upper Sind	•••	٠	•••		***	,.,			180			180	253	253	25.677	26,110
		Total		68,187	13,379	52,335	3,142	68,856	17,803	20,712		38.515	7,351	11,840	1,50,831	2,70,042

District.	•		P	ayments 1	made duri	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1924.									
	Paid from	Paid from	Paid from col.	Paid from col. 7	Paid from col. 8	Paid from col. 9	Paid from col, 12	Paid from col.	Total	Arrears before management.			Arrears accrued during	Current	Total.
	col.	col.								Govern- ment revenue.	Takavi.	Jamrao expenses.	manage- ment.	years.	• .
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	· Ks.	Rs	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.
Karachi	572	31					263	6,798	7,664	7,937	11,789			16	19,742
Hyderabed	755	231		85		*	2,812	14,851	18,734	1,501	214		260	2,511	4,486
Nawabshah	45	6,932	1,518				1,013	20,501	30,009	595	<b>5</b> ,950	1,624	2,292	1,037	11,498
Thar Parkar	906	2,904		100			516	17,019	21,445	2,080	9,443		1,231		12,754
Larkana	4,683	982		144	200		2,946	33,655	42,610	1,529	21,559	'		520	23,608
Sukkur	882	1,869		972	570		254	27,858	32,405	8,216	10,373			388	18,977
Upper Sind .:.							253	25,555	25,808	180				122	302
Total	7,843	12,949	1,518	1,301	770		8,057	1,46,237	1,78,675	22,038	59,328	1,624	3,783	4,594	91,367

Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind-

N. B. MAHOMED HUSSAIN,